arXiv:1604.00365v1 [math.AT] 1 Apr 2016

The first stable homotopy groups of motivic spheres

Oliver Röndigs, Markus Spitzweck, Paul Arne Østvær

April 1, 2016

Abstract

We compute the 1-line of stable homotopy groups of motivic spheres over fields of characteristic not two in terms of hermitian and Milnor K-groups. This is achieved by solving questions about convergence and differentials in the slice spectral sequence.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**
1.1 Background and motivation . . . 2
1.2 Main results and outline of the paper . . . 2

2 Slices of spheres and the first Hopf map **4**
2.1 Compatible pairs and local stable motivic homotopy . . . 4
2.2 Slices of the motivic sphere spectrum . . . 5
2.3 Cellularity and slices of K-theory spectra . . . 11
2.4 Multiplicative structure on sphere slices . . . 12
2.5 The first motivic Hopf map and slices . . . 16

3 Convergence of the slice spectral sequence **20**
3.1 Preliminaries . . . 20
3.2 Connectivity . . . 24
3.3 Cellularity . . . 27
3.4 Convergence . . . 32

4 Differentials for the motivic sphere spectrum **36**
4.1 The first differential . . . 36
4.2 Beyond the first differential . . . 43

5 The 1-line **52**

A The Steenrod algebra and its dual **56**

B Ext groups for complex bordism **57**

1 Introduction

1.1 Background and motivation

We present a systematic approach to computing stable homotopies between motivic spheres. These invariants are the universal ones for smooth varieties subject to $\mathbf{A}^1$-invariance in the sense of motivic homotopy theory [40], [63]. The idea of studying universal invariants is at the heart of the philosophy behind the theory of motives (motivic homotopy theory can be viewed as a non-abelian generalization). Decades of research in algebraic topology have lead to beautiful mathematical structures unearthing the notoriously difficult problem of classifying maps between spheres up to homotopy, see e.g., [17]. Our approach to the corresponding algebro-geometric problem employs the slice spectral sequence. The formalism of this approach is remarkably convenient. It allows us to read off differentials using the action of the motivic Steenrod algebra on motivic cohomology groups [24], [66]. Our focus in this paper is not just restricted to specific computations, but also the context we lay out to formulate and carry them out. There is still much to be done in this program, and many more questions could be answered by pressing these computations further.

1.2 Main results and outline of the paper

For simplicity we let F be a field of characteristic zero. The motivic spheres $S^{p,q}$ are bigraded objects indexed by integers p and q, and so are the stable homotopy groups $\pi_\star\mathbf{1}$ of the motivic sphere spectrum over F. By work of Morel [40], $\pi_{p,q}\mathbf{1} = 0$ if $p < q$ and the 0-line $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \pi_{n,n}\mathbf{1}$ is the Milnor-Witt K-theory $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{MW}}_*(F)$ of F, which incorporates a great deal of nuanced arithmetic information about the base field. A next logical step is to compute the terms $\pi_{n+1,n}\mathbf{1}$ on the 1-line. One of the major inspirations for this paper is Morel's π_1-conjecture in weight zero. It states that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_2(F)/24 \to \pi_{1,0}\mathbf{1} \to F^\times/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \to 0. \tag{1.1}$$

Here, $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_*(F)$ is the Milnor K-theory of F [38]. In this paper we prove Morel's π_1-conjecture. The surjection in (1.1) arises from the unit map for the hermitian K-theory spectrum $\mathbf{KQ}$ of quadratic forms [18]. We view its kernel as the image of the motivic J-homomorphism $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_2(F) = \pi_{1,0}\mathbf{GL} \to \pi_{1,0}\mathbf{1}$ for the general linear group $\mathbf{GL}$. In general, this kernel is not a direct summand of $\pi_{1,0}\mathbf{1}$. One can make precise the statement that $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_2(F)$ is generated by the second motivic Hopf map $\nu \in \pi_{3,2}\mathbf{1}$ obtained from the special linear group $\mathbf{SL}_2$, while $F^\times/2\oplus\mathbb{Z}/2$ is generated by the topological Hopf map $\eta_{\mathsf{top}} \in \pi_{1,0}\mathbf{1}$. The generators are subject to the relations $24\nu = 0$ (a motivic analogue of the Adams conjecture) and $\eta^2\eta_{\mathsf{top}} = 12\nu$, where $\eta \in \pi_{1,1}\mathbf{1}$ is the first motivic Hopf map. More generally, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we show an exact sequence of Nisnevich sheaves on smooth schemes of finite type

$$0 \to \mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_{2-n}/24 \to \pi_{n+1,n}\mathbf{1} \to \pi_{n+1,n}\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}. \tag{1.2}$$

Here, $\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}$ is the effective cover of hermitian K-theory arising in the slice filtration of the motivic stable homotopy category of F (this does not affect homotopy groups of non-negative

weight). The rightmost map in (1.2) is surjective for $n \geq -4$ (compare with [2, Corollary 6]). The exact sequence (1.2) vastly generalizes the computations in [45] for fields of cohomological dimension at most two. Our computations of motivic stable homotopy groups are carried out on F-points. However, the same holds true for Nisnevich sheaves since the motivic homotopy sheaves are strictly $\mathbf{A}^1$-invariant, and hence unramified sheaves on smooth schemes of finite type, see Morel [39, Theorem 6.2.7], [41, Theorem 2.11].

It is interesting to compare with the computations of unstable motivic homotopy groups of punctured affine spaces in [3], [4], [5]. If $d > 3$, the extension for the unstable homotopy sheaf $\pi_d(\mathbf{A}^d \smallsetminus \{0\})$ conjectured by Asok and Fasel [37, Conjecture 7, p. 1894] coincides with (1.2). As noted in [4], the exact sequence (1.2) and a Freudenthal $\mathbf{P}^1$-suspension theorem imply Murthy's conjecture on splittings of vector bundles over algebraically closed fields.

Our approach is to divide and conquer the slice spectral sequence for the motivic sphere spectrum. More precisely, we

(1) identify the slices of $\mathbf{1}$ and parts of their multiplicative structure,

(2) give widely applicative conditions under which the slice spectral sequence converges,

(3) and express the first slice differentials in terms of motivic Steenrod operations.

An explicit answer to (1) is out of reach because the slices of $\mathbf{1}$ involve the E_2-page of the topological Adams-Novikov spectral sequence as conjectured by Voevodsky [64] and verified by Levine [29] for fields. Our solution applies to Dedekind domains of mixed characteristic. Much more work remains on the multiplicative structure; we collect just enough results for the purpose of this paper by introducing techniques that will be useful for further computations. This is carried out in Section 2.

Salient among the results for (2) is Levine's convergence result [28] for fields of finite cohomological dimension. Our solution for general fields, see Section 3, clarifies the distinct role of η in the context of the slice filtration: Theorem 3.50 identifies the slice completion of any motivic spectrum admitting a cell presentation of finite type with its η-completion. This firmly answers Voevodsky's question of convergence of the slice spectral sequence [64].

In Section 4 we partially solve (3) in a range suitable for computing the 1-line; the Adem relations, hermitian K-theory, and the solution of Milnor's conjecture on quadratic forms in [52] help a great deal in our analysis (prior to Proposition 4.18 it is explain why the exact sequence (1.2) involves the effective cover $\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}$ instead of $\mathbf{KQ}$). Give and take technical details, the problem of computing the E_2-page of the slice spectral sequence has now been turned into questions about motivic Steenrod operations.

Finally, in Section 5 all the pieces fall into place as we show all higher slice differentials relevant for the 1-line are trivial and determine hidden multiplicative extensions.

One of the techniques we employ breaks the complicated sphere spectrum $\mathbf{1}$ into simpler pieces by completing and inverting with respect to the Hopf map η. The arithmetic square for η allows us to put the pieces back together again. This is used repeatedly throughout the paper, especially in Section 5 as a preparation for the identification of the 1-line.

Throughout the paper we employ the following notation.

F, S	field, finite dimensional separated Noetherian base scheme
$\mathbf{Sm}_S$	smooth schemes of finite type over S
$S^{s,t}$, $\Omega^{s,t}$, $\Sigma^{s,t}$	motivic (s,t)-sphere, (s,t)-loop space, (s,t)-suspension
$\mathbf{SH}$, $\mathbf{SH}^{\mathbf{eff}}$	motivic and effective motivic stable homotopy categories of S
E, $\mathbf{1} = S^{0,0}$	generic motivic spectrum, the motivic sphere spectrum
A, $\mathbf{1}_A$	abelian group, motivic Moore spectrum of A
Λ, $\mathbf{M}A$	ring, motivic Eilenberg-MacLane spectra of a Λ-module A
$\mathbf{MGL}$, $\mathbf{MU}$, $\mathbf{BP}$	algebraic and complex cobordism, Brown-Peterson spectrum
$\mathbf{KGL}$, $\mathbf{KQ}$, $\mathbf{KW}$	algebraic and hermitian K-theory, Witt-theory
f_q, s_q	qth effective cover and slice functors
$H^{*,*}$, $h^{*,*}$, $h_n^{*,*}$	integral, mod-2, mod-n motivic cohomology groups, $n > 2$.

The ring Λ is assumed to be commutative and unital. Let E_Λ be short for $\mathsf{E} \wedge \mathbf{1}_\Lambda$. Our standard suspension convention is such that $\mathbf{P}^1 \simeq \mathsf{T} \simeq S^{2,1}$ and $\mathbf{A}^1 \smallsetminus \{0\} \simeq S^{1,1}$ for the Tate object $\mathsf{T} = \mathbf{A}^1/\mathbf{A}^1 \smallsetminus \{0\}$. We write $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathsf{E}}(q)\colon \mathsf{s}_q\mathsf{E} \to \Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_{q+1}\mathsf{E}$ or simply $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathsf{E}}$ for the first slice differential of E [52, §2], [64, §7]. For $r \geq 1$, we write $d^r_{p,q,n}(\mathsf{E})\colon E^r_{p,q,n}(\mathsf{E}) \to E^r_{p-1,q+r,n}(\mathsf{E})$ or simply $d^r(\mathsf{E})$ for the rth differential in the n-th slice spectral sequence.

2 Slices of spheres and the first Hopf map

In this section we verify Voevodsky's conjecture [64, Conjecture 9] on the slices of the motivic sphere spectrum over base schemes with compatible coefficients in the sense of Definition 2.1. This applies to Dedekind domains of mixed characteristic as well as to fields as in [29, §8]. Our analysis includes a discussion of the multiplicative structure of the slices of $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$. Relating these to the E^2-page of the topological Adams-Novikov spectral sequence is a key input in our computations of stable motivic homotopy groups.

2.1 Compatible pairs and local stable motivic homotopy

Definition 2.1: Let S be a base scheme and let Λ be a localization of the integers $\mathbb{Z}$. The pair (S, Λ) is called *compatible* if the following conditions hold:

(1) There is a canonically induced equivalence

$$\Phi_S \wedge \mathbf{1}_\Lambda\colon \big(\mathbf{MGL}/(x_1, x_2, \ldots)\mathbf{MGL}\big) \wedge \mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{M}\Lambda.$$

Here, $\pi_*(\mathbf{MU}) = \mathbb{Z}[x_j | j \geq 1]$, where $|x_j| = j$ [49, Theorem 3.1.5(a)].

(2) The first effective cover $\mathsf{f}_1(\mathbf{M}\Lambda)$ is contractible [64, §2].

(3) The weight zero motivic cohomology groups with Λ-coefficients of every connected component of S agrees with Λ in degree 0 and the trivial group in nonzero degrees.

Remark 2.2: A pair (S, Λ) is compatible if S is ind-smooth over a field or a Dedekind domain of mixed characteristic having the property that every positive residue characteristic of S is invertible in Λ [59, Theorem 11.3]. In particular, if F is a field of exponential characteristic $p \geq 1$, then $\big(\mathrm{Spec}(F), \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]\big)$ is a compatible pair. Let T be a finite set of primes in a number field F containing all infinite primes and all primes above some rational prime number ℓ. Then the ring of T-integers $\mathcal{O}_T$ in F and the localization $\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$ form a compatible pair $(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_T), \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)})$, e.g., the ring of ℓ-integers $\big(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\ell}]), \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}\big)$. If S is regular, the pair $(S, \mathbb{Q})$ is compatible by [42, Theorem 10.5], [61, Lemma 6.2]. We conjecture that $(S, \mathbb{Z})$ is compatible provided all the connected components of S are irreducible.

Next we define the Λ-local stable motivic homotopy category. Let $\mathsf{Spt}^{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{P}^1}\mathbf{MS}$ be the category of motivic symmetric spectra with the stable model structure [26, Theorem 4.15]. Here, **MS** is short for the category of pointed simplicial preshaves on $\mathbf{Sm}_S$, a.k.a. motivic spaces over S. The following model structure exists by [16, Theorem 4.1.1(1)].

Definition 2.3: The Λ-local stable model structure is the left Bousfield localization of the stable model structure on $\mathsf{Spt}^{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{P}^1}\mathbf{MS}$ with respect to the set of naturally induced maps

$$\Sigma^{s,t}\Sigma^{\infty}X_+ \to \Sigma^{s,t}\Sigma^{\infty}X_+ \wedge \mathbf{1}_{\Lambda}$$

for all integers s, t, and $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_S$. Denote the corresponding homotopy category by $\mathbf{SH}_{\Lambda}$.

Remark 2.4: A map $\alpha\colon \mathsf{E} \to \mathsf{F}$ is a weak equivalence in the Λ-local stable model structure if and only if $\alpha \wedge \mathbf{1}_{\Lambda}\colon \mathsf{E}_{\Lambda} \to \mathsf{F}_{\Lambda}$ is a stable motivic weak equivalence. When $\Lambda = \mathbb{Q}$, this defines the rational stable motivic homotopy category. By [16, Theorem 3.3.19(1)] there exists a left Quillen functor from the stable to the Λ-local model structure on $\mathsf{Spt}^{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{P}^1}\mathbf{MS}$. We shall refer to its derived functor as the Λ-localization functor.

The slice filtration in $\mathbf{SH}_{\Lambda}$ is defined exactly the same way as in **SH** [64, §2] by taking Λ-localizations of compact generators of **SH**. Since the Λ-localization functor preserves effective objects, these two slice filtrations are compatible in the sense that the connective covers f_q and slices s_q commute with Λ-localization.

2.2 Slices of the motivic sphere spectrum

To determine the slices of the motivic sphere spectrum we compare with algebraic cobordism, as in [29].

Lemma 2.5: *The cone of the unit map* $\mathbf{1} \to \mathbf{MGL}$ *lies in* $\Sigma^{2,1}\mathbf{SH}^{\mathbf{eff}}$.

Proof. Theorem 3.1 in [61] shows the cone is contained in the full localizing triangulated subcategory of **SH** generated by the motivic spheres $S^{p,q}$, for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q > 0$. □

Remark 2.6: In fact, the cone of the unit map $\mathbf{1} \to \mathbf{MGL}$ is contained in the smallest full subcategory of **SH** that contains the spheres $S^{2q,q}$, for $q > 0$, and is closed under homotopy colimits and extensions. See the proofs of [62, Theorem 5.7] and Lemma 3.25.

By definition the zeroth slice functor s_0 is trivial on $\Sigma^{2,1}\mathbf{SH}^{\mathbf{eff}}$. Thus $\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}) \to \mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{MGL})$ is an isomorphism according to Lemma 2.5. The slices of $\mathbf{MGL}$ are known for compatible pairs (S, Λ) by [61, Corollary 4.7] and the Hopkins-Morel-Hoyois isomorphism [23, Theorem 7.12], see [58, Theorem 3.1]: There exists an isomorphism of $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$-modules

$$\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{MGL}_\Lambda) \cong \Sigma^{2q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda \otimes \mathbf{MU}_{2q} = \bigvee_{I=(i_1,\cdots,i_r)} \Sigma^{2q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda. \tag{2.1}$$

Here, the coproduct runs over indices $i_j \geq 0$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{r} j \cdot i_j = q$, i.e., monomials of total degree q in the polynomial generator x_j for $\pi_*(\mathbf{MU})$. In particular, $\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{MGL}_\Lambda) \cong \mathbf{M}\Lambda$ and we obtain a proof of [64, Conjecture 10] for compatible pairs, see e.g., [15, §6 (iv),(v)] and [47, Theorem 3.6.13(6)] for the $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$-module structure:

Theorem 2.7: *The unit map $\mathbf{1} \to \mathbf{MGL}$ induces an isomorphism on zero slices. Hence if the pair (S, Λ) is compatible, $\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \cong \mathbf{M}\Lambda$ and the slices of any $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$-module have canonical $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$-module structures.*

Remark 2.8: The module theory of motivic cohomology in relation to motives was worked out in [53], [54]. In [15, §6 (iv),(v)] and [47, Theorem 3.6.13(6)] it is shown that $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathsf{E})$ is a module over the motivic ring spectrum $\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1})$. Using (2.1) it follows that the slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{MGL}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ degenerates.

Proposition 2.9: *Let Λ be a localization of the integers. Then the standard cosimplicial resolution of the algebraic cobordism spectrum*

$$\mathbf{1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{MGL} \;\substack{\longrightarrow\\ \longrightarrow}\; \mathbf{MGL}^{\wedge 2} \;\substack{\longrightarrow\\ \longrightarrow\\ \longrightarrow}\; \mathbf{MGL}^{\wedge 3} \cdots$$

induces a natural isomorphism on all slices

$$\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \overset{\cong}{\to} \operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta} \mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{MGL}_\Lambda^{\wedge \bullet}). \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. The basic idea is to work with the Adams tower for the fiber $\overline{\mathbf{MGL}}$ of the unit map of the algebraic cobordism spectrum:

$$\begin{gathered}
\overline{\mathbf{MGL}} \to \mathbf{1} \to \mathbf{MGL} \\
\overline{\mathbf{MGL}}^{\wedge 2} \to \overline{\mathbf{MGL}} \to \overline{\mathbf{MGL}} \wedge \mathbf{MGL} \\
\cdots \\
\overline{\mathbf{MGL}}^{\wedge (n+1)} \to \overline{\mathbf{MGL}}^{\wedge n} \to \overline{\mathbf{MGL}}^{\wedge n} \wedge \mathbf{MGL} \\
\cdots
\end{gathered}$$

The commutative algebras $\mathsf{ComAlg}(\mathsf{Spt}^{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{P}^1}\mathbf{MS})$ form a combinatorial model category. This holds by applying [19, Theorem 3.6] to the commutative operad. We may assume $\mathbf{MGL}_\Lambda$ is a cofibrant object of $\mathsf{ComAlg}(\mathsf{Spt}^{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{P}^1}\mathbf{MS})$ and likewise for $\overline{\mathbf{MGL}}_\Lambda$ in $\mathsf{Spt}^{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{P}^1}\mathbf{MS}$. Then $\mathbf{MGL}_\Lambda^{\wedge i}$ is a commutative monoid with the correct homotopy type in the sense that it is equivalent to the derived smash product of $\mathbf{MGL}_\Lambda$ with itself $i \geq 2$ times. We obtain strict diagrams

$$\Delta \to \mathsf{ComAlg}(\mathsf{Spt}^{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{P}^1}\mathbf{MS});\ [n] \mapsto \mathbf{MGL}_\Lambda^{\wedge (n+1)}, \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\Delta \to \mathsf{Spt}^{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{P}^1}\mathbf{MS};\ [n] \mapsto \overline{\mathbf{MGL}}_{\Lambda}^{\wedge m} \wedge \mathbf{MGL}_{\Lambda}^{\wedge(n+1)}. \tag{2.4}$$

With the conventions above we show there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathsf{s}_q(\overline{\mathbf{MGL}}_{\Lambda}^{\wedge m}) \stackrel{\cong}{\to} \operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta} \mathsf{s}_q(\overline{\mathbf{MGL}}_{\Lambda}^{\wedge m} \wedge \mathbf{MGL}_{\Lambda}^{\wedge(\bullet+1)}). \tag{2.5}$$

The proof proceeds by downward induction on m. If $m \geq q+1$, then all the qth slices appearing in (2.5) are trivial according to Lemma 2.5. (Note that $\overline{\mathbf{MGL}} \in \Sigma^{2,1}\mathbf{SH}^{\mathbf{eff}}$.) For the induction step we claim there exists a naturally induced commutative diagram of distinguished triangles in $\mathbf{SH}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathsf{s}_q(\overline{\mathbf{MGL}}_{\Lambda}^{\wedge(m+1)}) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta} \mathsf{s}_q(\overline{\mathbf{MGL}}_{\Lambda}^{\wedge(m+1)} \wedge \mathbf{MGL}_{\Lambda}^{\wedge(\bullet+1)}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathsf{s}_q(\overline{\mathbf{MGL}}_{\Lambda}^{\wedge m}) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta} \mathsf{s}_q(\overline{\mathbf{MGL}}_{\Lambda}^{\wedge m} \wedge \mathbf{MGL}_{\Lambda}^{\wedge(\bullet+1)}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathsf{s}_q(\overline{\mathbf{MGL}}_{\Lambda}^{\wedge m} \wedge \mathbf{MGL}_{\Lambda}) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta} \mathsf{s}_q(\overline{\mathbf{MGL}}_{\Lambda}^{\wedge m} \wedge \mathbf{MGL}_{\Lambda} \wedge \mathbf{MGL}_{\Lambda}^{\wedge(\bullet+1)})
\end{array} \tag{2.6}$$

In effect, the model categorical considerations concerning functorial (co)fibrant replacements in [15, §3.1] combined with (2.4) show there is a strict diagram

$$\Delta \to \mathsf{Spt}^{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{P}^1}\mathbf{MS};\ n \mapsto \mathsf{s}_q(\overline{\mathbf{MGL}}_{\Lambda}^{\wedge m} \wedge \mathbf{MGL}_{\Lambda}^{\wedge n}). \tag{2.7}$$

The slice functor s_q is by definition a composition of functorial colocalization and localization functors which are Quillen functors between stable model structures on $\mathsf{Spt}^{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{P}^1}\mathbf{MS}$ [15, §3.1]. It follows that s_q is a triangulated functor of $\mathbf{SH}$. This verifies our claims concerning (2.6).

Lemma 2.10 in the below shows the lower horizontal map in (2.6) is an isomorphism. By the induction hypothesis,

$$\mathsf{s}_q(\overline{\mathbf{MGL}}_{\Lambda}^{\wedge(m+1)}) \to \operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta} \mathsf{s}_q(\overline{\mathbf{MGL}}_{\Lambda}^{\wedge(m+1)} \wedge \mathbf{MGL}_{\Lambda}^{\wedge(\bullet+1)})$$

is an isomorphism. It follows that the middle horizontal map in (2.6) is also an isomorphism. The isomorphism (2.2) is the special case of (2.5) for $m = 0$. □

Lemma 2.10: *For every* $\mathbf{MGL}_{\Lambda}$*-module* $\mathscr{M}$ *there are weak equivalences*

$$\mathscr{M} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta} \mathscr{M} \wedge \mathbf{MGL}_{\Lambda}^{\wedge(\bullet+1)}$$

and

$$\mathsf{s}_q(\mathscr{M}) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta} \mathsf{s}_q(\mathscr{M} \wedge \mathbf{MGL}_{\Lambda}^{\wedge(\bullet+1)}).$$

Proof. Let $\widetilde{\Delta}$ denote the split augmented simplicial category with objects $[-1]_+ = \{+\}$, $[n]_+ = \{+, 0, \ldots, n\}$ for $n \geq 0$. The sets $[n]_+$ are ordered in the natural way. Maps in $\widetilde{\Delta}$ are monotone maps preserving $+$. Note that $\widetilde{\Delta}$ has an initial object. The evident functor from the simplicial category Δ to $\widetilde{\Delta}$ is homotopy left cofinal in the sense of [16, Definition 19.6.1]. Thus by [16, Theorem 19.6.7(2)], any split coaugmented cosimplicial diagram X comes with a weak equivalence

$$\mathsf{X}_{-1} \cong \operatorname*{holim}_{\widetilde{\Delta}} \mathsf{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta} \mathsf{X}.$$

Now the standard cosimplicial $\mathbf{MGL}_\Lambda$-resolution of $\mathscr{M}$ is a split coaugmented cosimplicial diagram. Applying the qth slice functor preserves this structure. □

For any $q \geq 0$ we consider $\pi_{2q}\mathbf{MU}^{\wedge(\bullet+1)}$ as a cosimplicial abelian group. According to [64, Conjecture 6] the slices of the cosimplicial motivic spectrum $\mathbf{MGL}_\Lambda^{\wedge(\bullet+1)}$ are given by

$$\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{MGL}_\Lambda^{\wedge(\bullet+1)}) \cong \Sigma^{2q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda \otimes \pi_{2q}\mathbf{MU}^{\wedge(\bullet+1)}. \tag{2.8}$$

In cosimplicial degree zero, (2.8) holds for compatible pairs by (2.1). This implies the full conjecture on account of the smash product decompositions

$$\mathbf{MGL}_\Lambda \wedge \mathbf{MGL}_\Lambda = \mathbf{MGL}_\Lambda[b_1, b_2, b_3, \ldots], \mathbf{MU} \wedge \mathbf{MU} = \mathbf{MU}[b_1, b_2, b_3, \ldots],$$

from [42, Lemma 6.4(i)].

In the following we fix a compatible pair (S, Λ), and assume S is connected. Note that $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{MGL}_\Lambda^{\wedge(\bullet+1)})$ is a cosimplicial $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$-module by Theorem 2.7. The category of $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$-modules $\mathbf{M}\Lambda - \mathbf{mod}$ is enriched over chain complexes of Λ-modules $\mathbf{Ch}(\Lambda - \mathbf{mod})$ by viewing $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$ as an E_∞ object in the motivic symmetric spectrum category $\mathsf{Spt}^\Sigma_{\mathbf{P}^1}\mathsf{PreCh}(\Lambda - \mathbf{mod})$ for presheaves $\mathsf{PreCh}(\Lambda - \mathbf{mod})$ of chain complexes of Λ-modules on $\mathbf{Sm}_S$. The corresponding hom objects $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbf{M}\Lambda-\mathbf{mod}}$ will be implicitly derived by taking (co)fibrant replacements in the stable model structure on $\mathbf{M}\Lambda - \mathbf{mod}$ [54, Proposition 38].

The cosimplicial chain complex of abelian groups

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbf{M}\Lambda-\mathbf{mod}}(\Sigma^{2q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda, \mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{MGL}_\Lambda^{\wedge(\bullet+1)}))$$

yields by adjunction a map of cosimplicial $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$-modules

$$\Sigma^{2q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda \otimes \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbf{M}\Lambda-\mathbf{mod}}(\Sigma^{2q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda, \mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{MGL}_\Lambda^{\wedge(\bullet+1)})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{MGL}_\Lambda^{\wedge(\bullet+1)}). \tag{2.9}$$

This is a weak equivalence on account of (2.1) and the identification of the hom object of motives $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbf{M}\Lambda-\mathbf{mod}}(\mathbf{M}\Lambda, \mathbf{M}\Lambda)$ with the chain complex comprised of a single copy of Λ in degree zero, cf. Definition 2.1(3).

We claim there is an isomorphism of cosimplicial objects in $\mathbf{Ch}(\Lambda - \mathbf{mod})$

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbf{M}\Lambda-\mathbf{mod}}(\Sigma^{2q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda, \mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{MGL}_\Lambda^{\wedge(\bullet+1)})) \cong \pi_{2q}\mathbf{MU}^{\wedge(\bullet+1)} \otimes \Lambda. \tag{2.10}$$

Here, $\pi_{2q}\mathbf{MU}^{\wedge(\bullet+1)}$ in (2.10) is concentrated in degree zero. For connective chain complexes $\mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\Lambda-\mathbf{mod})$ of Λ-modules there are adjunctions

$$\Lambda-\mathbf{mod} \overleftarrow{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\Lambda-\mathbf{mod}) \overrightarrow{\longleftarrow} \mathbf{Ch}(\Lambda-\mathbf{mod}). \tag{2.11}$$

Here, a Λ-module maps to the corresponding chain complex concentrated in degree zero via the inclusion of $\mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\Lambda-\mathbf{mod})$ into $\mathbf{Ch}(\Lambda-\mathbf{mod})$. In the opposite direction, we use the good truncation functor and the zeroth homology functor. For a cosimplicial object of $\mathbf{Ch}(\Lambda-\mathbf{mod})$ concentrated in degree zero, such as $\pi_{2q}\mathbf{MU}^{\wedge(\bullet+1)}$ considered above, the units and counits of the adjunctions in (2.11) furnish canonical isomorphisms producing isomorphic objects in $\mathbf{Ch}(\Lambda-\mathbf{mod})$, hence the isomorphism in (2.10).

To summarize, (2.9) and (2.10) imply the weak equivalence of cosimplicial $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$-modules

$$\Sigma^{2q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda\otimes\pi_{2q}\mathbf{MU}^{\wedge(\bullet+1)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{MGL}_{\Lambda}^{\wedge(\bullet+1)}). \tag{2.12}$$

The normalization and totalization of $\pi_{2q}\mathbf{MU}^{\wedge(\bullet+1)}$ are isomorphic chain complexes of abelian groups, which are quasi-isomorphic to the homotopy limit

$$\mathsf{N}(\pi_{2q}\mathbf{MU}^{\wedge(\bullet+1)}) \cong \mathrm{Tot}(\pi_{2q}\mathbf{MU}^{\wedge(\bullet+1)}) \simeq \operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta}\pi_{2q}\mathbf{MU}^{\wedge(\bullet+1)}.$$

Moreover, we claim there is a weak equivalence of $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$-modules

$$\Sigma^{2q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda\otimes\mathrm{Tot}(\pi_{2q}\mathbf{MU}^{\wedge(\bullet+1)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta}\Sigma^{2q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda\otimes\pi_{2q}\mathbf{MU}^{\wedge(\bullet+1)}.$$

The generators of $\mathbf{M}\Lambda-\mathbf{mod}$ are free $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$-modules on shifted motivic symmetric suspension spectra of smooth schemes over S, cf. [54, Proposition 38]. We obtain the claimed weak equivalence by applying Lemma 2.11 to maps in $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbb{Z}-\mathbf{mod})$ obtained by mapping out of generators in the first variable of the hom object $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbf{M}\Lambda-\mathbf{mod}}\cdot$

Lemma 2.11: *Suppose Λ is a principal ideal domain and $M^\bullet$ is a levelwise free cosimplicial Λ-module such that $\mathrm{Tot}(M^\bullet)$ is a perfect chain complex of Λ-modules, i.e., its homology is finitely generated and trivial in almost all degrees. For $C\in\mathbf{Ch}(\Lambda-\mathbf{mod})$ let $C\otimes M^\bullet$ be the cosimplicial object in $\mathbf{Ch}(\Lambda-\mathbf{mod})$ given degreewise by $[n]\mapsto C\otimes M^n$. Then the natural map $C\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}\mathrm{Tot}(M^\bullet)\to\mathrm{Tot}(C\otimes M^\bullet)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Proof. Note that the tensor $-\otimes M^\bullet$ and the derived tensor $-\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M^\bullet$ coincide because $M^\bullet$ is levelwise free. Without loss of generality we may assume that C is cofibrant in the projective model structure on $\mathbf{Ch}(\Lambda-\mathbf{mod})$, see e.g., [20, Theorem 2.3.11]. Let $N\in\mathbf{Ch}(\Lambda-\mathbf{mod})$ be the degreewise free (non-normalized) chain complex associated to $M^\bullet$. Since Λ is a PID it follows that N is projectively cofibrant (as a sum of length two complexes with free entries). Let $C\boxtimes N$ be the double complex obtained by taking degreewise tensor products of entries from C and N, i.e., $(C\boxtimes N)^{p,q}=C^p\otimes_\Lambda N^q$ for all integers p, q. Then $\mathrm{Tot}(C\otimes M^\bullet)\simeq\mathrm{Tot}^{\prod}(C\boxtimes N)$, where $\mathrm{Tot}^{\prod}$ denotes the total complex associated to a double complex given degreewise as $\mathrm{Tot}^{\prod}(C\boxtimes N)^n=\prod(C\boxtimes N)^{i,j}$ for all i, j such that $i+j=n$. By perfectness of

$\mathrm{Tot}(M^\bullet)$ there exists a quasi-isomorphism $N' \to N$, where $N' \in \mathbf{Ch}(\Lambda - \mathbf{mod})$ is comprised of finitely generated free Λ-modules and $N'^p = 0$ for almost all p. Since N' and N are both (co)fibrant in the projective model structure, cf. [20, p. 44], this map is in fact a homotopy equivalence. It follows that $\mathrm{Tot}^\prod(C \boxtimes N') \to \mathrm{Tot}^\prod(C \boxtimes N)$ is also a homotopy equivalence. Now since N' is nonzero in only finitely many degrees there is a canonical isomorphism $C \otimes N' \cong \mathrm{Tot}^\prod(C \boxtimes N')$. □

This completes our computation of the slices of the motivic sphere spectrum.

Theorem 2.12: *Suppose (S, Λ) is a compatible pair and A is a Λ-module. Then there are isomorphisms of $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$-modules*

$$\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_A) \cong \Sigma^{2q,q}\mathbf{M}A \otimes \mathrm{Tot}(\pi_{2q}\mathbf{MU}^{\wedge(\bullet+1)}) \cong \bigvee_{p\geq 0} \Sigma^{2q,q}\mathbf{M}A \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^{p,2q}_{\mathbf{MU}_*\mathbf{MU}}(\mathbf{MU}_*, \mathbf{MU}_*)[-p].$$

In particular, the slices of the Λ-local sphere spectrum are

$$\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \cong \bigvee_{p\geq 0} \Sigma^{2q-p,q}\mathbf{M}(\Lambda \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^{p,2q}_{\mathbf{MU}_*\mathbf{MU}}(\mathbf{MU}_*, \mathbf{MU}_*)).$$

We refer to Appendix B for explicit computations of the Ext-groups in Theorem 2.12. These form the terms of the E^2-page of the topological Adams-Novikov-sequence, see e.g., [49, Chapter 4, 7, Appendix A3], and [68, Table 2, 3]. Appendix B recalls the α-family of generators of $\mathrm{Ext}^{1,2i}_{\mathbf{BP}_*\mathbf{BP}}(\mathbf{BP}_*, \mathbf{BP}_*)$ listed in [49, Theorems 5.3.5(b), 5.3.6(b), 5.3.7]. Via the direct sum decomposition

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{1,2i}_{\mathbf{MU}_*\mathbf{MU}}(\mathbf{MU}_*, \mathbf{MU}_*) = \bigoplus_{p \text{ prime}} \mathrm{Ext}^{1,2i}_{\mathbf{BP}_*\mathbf{BP}}(\mathbf{BP}_*, \mathbf{BP}_*),$$

these extension elements corresponds to generators for the corresponding direct summands of $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ given in Theorem 2.12.

Corollary 2.13: *The suspension $\Sigma^{q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ generated by α_1^q and $\Sigma^{q+2,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ generated by $\alpha_1^{q-3}\alpha_3$ are direct summands of $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ for $q > 0$ respectively $q > 2$. The first positive slices of the Λ-local sphere spectrum $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ begin with:*

$$\mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \cong \Sigma^{1,1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$$
$$\mathsf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \cong \Sigma^{2,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{3,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/12$$
$$\mathsf{s}_3(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \cong \Sigma^{3,3}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{5,3}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$$
$$\mathsf{s}_4(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \cong \Sigma^{4,4}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{6,4}\mathbf{M}(\Lambda/2)^2 \vee \Sigma^{7,4}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/240$$
$$\mathsf{s}_5(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \cong \Sigma^{5,5}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{7,5}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{8,5}\mathbf{M}(\Lambda/2)^2 \vee \Sigma^{9,5}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$$
$$\mathsf{s}_6(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \cong \Sigma^{6,6}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{8,6}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{9,6}\mathbf{M}(\Lambda/2)^2 \vee \Sigma^{10,6}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/6 \vee \Sigma^{11,6}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/504$$
$$\mathsf{s}_7(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \cong \Sigma^{7,7}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{9,7}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{10,7}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{11,7}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{12,7}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{13,7}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2.$$

Here, the direct summand $\Sigma^{3,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/4$ of $\mathsf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ is generated by $\alpha_{2/2}$ and the direct summand $\Sigma^{6,4}\mathbf{M}(\Lambda/2)^2$ of $\mathsf{s}_4(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ is generated by $\alpha_1\alpha_3$ and $\beta_{2/2}$. Each direct summand of the form $\Sigma^{4q+1,2q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ is generated by α_{2q+1}.

2.3 Cellularity and slices of K-theory spectra

Recall that the subcategory of cellular spectra $\mathbf{SH}_{\mathrm{cell},\Lambda}$ in $\mathbf{SH}_\Lambda$ is the smallest full localizing subcategory that contains all suspensions of $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$, cf. [10, §2.8].

Theorem 2.14: *Suppose (S,Λ) is a compatible pair and the base scheme S contains no point whose residue characteristic equals two. Then hermitian K-theory $\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$ is a cellular spectrum in $\mathbf{SH}_\Lambda$ and compatible with pullbacks.*

Proof. The proof of cellularity given in [55] carries over to the setting of compatible pairs. □

Next we extend the computation of the slices of hermitian K-theory in [52]. This will be used to analyze the map between slices induced by the unit map $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$.

Theorem 2.15: *Suppose (S,Λ) is a compatible pair and the base scheme S contains no point whose residue characteristic equals two. Then the slices of $\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$ are given by*

$$\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda) \cong \begin{cases} \Sigma^{2q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda \vee \bigvee_{i<\frac{q}{2}} \Sigma^{2i+q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 & q \equiv 0 \bmod 2 \\ \bigvee_{i<\frac{q+1}{2}} \Sigma^{2i+q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 & q \equiv 1 \bmod 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. This follows from Corollaries 2.16, 2.17, Remark 2.18 applied to every connnected component of the base scheme, and the computation of $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{KQ})$ over fields of characteristic unequal to two in [52, Theorem 4.18]. □

Recall that a motivic spectrum $\mathsf{E} \in \mathbf{SH}_\Lambda$ is called *slice-wise cellular* if $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathsf{E})$ is contained in the full localizing triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{SH}_\Lambda$ generated by the qth suspension $\Sigma^{2q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda$ [64, Definition 4.1]. Let $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{M}\Lambda}$ denote the homotopy category of $\mathbf{M}\Lambda-\mathbf{mod}$. Replacing $\mathbf{SH}_\Lambda$ by $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{M}\Lambda}$ gives an equivalent definition of slice-wise cellular spectra.

Corollary 2.16: *Every cellular spectrum in $\mathbf{SH}_\Lambda$ is slice-wise cellular.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.12. □

In the next result, base schemes are included in the notation for clarity.

Corollary 2.17: *Let $\phi\colon T \to S$ be a map between connected base schemes and suppose (S,Λ) and (T,Λ) are compatible pairs. Then the pullback functor $\phi^*\colon \mathbf{SH}(S)_{\mathrm{cell},\Lambda} \to \mathbf{SH}(T)_{\mathrm{cell},\Lambda}$ commutes with slices.*

Proof. This holds for the sphere spectrum $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ by Theorem 2.12 because $\phi^*(\mathbf{M}\Lambda_S) \cong \mathbf{M}\Lambda_T$ according to [59, Theorem 9.16, §10]. The general case follows by attaching cells and noting that slices commute with homotopy colimits, see [61, Corollary 4.5], [64, Lemma 4.2]. □

Remark 2.18: Let $\mathbf{D}_\Lambda$ denote the derived category of the ring Λ. The functor $\mathbf{D}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{M}\Lambda}$ sending the tensor unit Λ in degree zero to $\Sigma^{0,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda$ is a full embedding for every connected component of S. (This follows from Definition 2.1(3).) Hence for every $\mathsf{E} \in \mathbf{SH}_{\mathrm{cell},\Lambda}$ and integer q, there exists a unique $C \in \mathbf{D}_\Lambda$ such that $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathsf{E}) = \Sigma^{0,q}\mathbf{M}(\Lambda \otimes C)$. In this way we may view slices as objects of $\mathbf{D}_\Lambda$. For $\phi\colon \mathrm{Spec}(F) \to S$, where F is a field such that (F, Λ) is compatible, the slices of $\mathsf{E} \in \mathbf{SH}(S)_{\mathrm{cell},\Lambda}$ are determined by the slices of $\phi^*(\mathsf{E})$ over every connected component of S. In particular, this applies to $\mathbf{KQ}$ by Theorem 2.14.

Algebraic K-theory $\mathbf{KGL}_\Lambda$ is a cellular motivic spectrum by [10, Theorem 6.2]. Its slices are known for perfect fields by the works of Levine [27, §6.4, 11.3] and Voevodsky [65], [67]. By base change the slices of $\mathbf{KGL}_\Lambda$ are known for all fields; in fact, every field is essentially smooth over a perfect field by [24, Lemma 2.9], and [24, Lemma 2.7(1)] verifies the hypothesis of [48, Theorem 2.12] for an essentially smooth map. Hence, as in Theorem 2.15, we obtain:

Theorem 2.19: *Suppose (S, Λ) is a compatible pair. Then the slices of KGL_Λ are given by*

$$\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{KGL}_\Lambda) \cong \Sigma^{2q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda.$$

2.4 Multiplicative structure on sphere slices

Next we discuss the multiplicative properties of the isomorphisms in Theorem 2.12. Note that $[n] \mapsto \pi_{2*}\mathbf{MU}_\Lambda^{\wedge(n+1)}$ is a cosimplicial graded E_∞ algebra of Λ-modules. Its total object $\mathrm{Tot}(\pi_*\mathbf{MU}_\Lambda^{\wedge(\bullet+1)})$ is a graded E_∞ algebra of Λ-modules, i.e., an object of $E_\infty(\mathbf{Ch}(\Lambda - \mathbf{mod})^{\mathbb{Z}})$. (To form the totalization [16, Definition 19.8.1(2)] we employ the model structure stated in [32, Corollary, p. 286].) Its homology groups, the E_2-terms of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence with Λ-coefficients, form an Adams graded graded commutative algebra, i.e., an Adams graded object in the category of graded abelian groups [42, §3]. The Adams grading of π_{2*} is $*$ while the algebras $\pi_{2*}\mathbf{MU}_\Lambda^{\wedge(n+1)}$ are strictly commutative in graded abelian groups of degree 0. For $M \in \mathbf{Ch}(\Lambda - \mathbf{mod})^{\mathbb{Z}}$ we denote by $M\{k\} \in \mathbf{Ch}(\Lambda - \mathbf{mod})$ the Adams degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ part of M.

The multiplication in $\mathrm{Tot}(\pi_*\mathbf{MU}^{\wedge(\bullet+1)})$ furnishes maps in the derived category $\mathbf{D}_\Lambda$

$$m_{k,l}\colon \mathrm{Tot}(\pi_*\mathbf{MU}_\Lambda^{\wedge(\bullet+1)})\{k\} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_\Lambda \mathrm{Tot}(\pi_*\mathbf{MU}_\Lambda^{\wedge(\bullet+1)})\{l\} \to \mathrm{Tot}(\pi_*\mathbf{MU}_\Lambda^{\wedge(\bullet+1)})\{k+l\}. \quad (2.13)$$

Theorem 2.20: *Let* Tot *be short for* $\mathrm{Tot}(\pi_*\mathbf{MU}_\Lambda^{\wedge(\bullet+1)})$ *and k, l be non-negative integers. There is a commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathsf{s}_k(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \wedge_{\mathbf{M}\Lambda} \mathsf{s}_l(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{s}_{k+l}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \\
\downarrow \cong & & \\
(\Sigma^{2k,k}\mathbf{M}\Lambda \otimes \mathrm{Tot}\{k\}) \wedge_{\mathbf{M}\Lambda} (\Sigma^{2l,l}\mathbf{M}\Lambda \otimes \mathrm{Tot}\{l\}) & & \downarrow \cong \\
\downarrow \cong & & \\
\Sigma^{2(k+l),k+l}\mathbf{M}\Lambda \otimes (\mathrm{Tot}\{k\} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_\Lambda \mathrm{Tot}\{l\}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\otimes m_{k,l}} & \Sigma^{2(k+l),k+l}\mathbf{M}\Lambda \otimes \mathrm{Tot}\{k+l\}
\end{array}$$

Proof. We may assume the base scheme is connected. Let $\mathsf{H}\Lambda$ denote the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum in symmetric spectra [21, Example 1.2.5]. It affords a symmetric monoidal functor $\mathsf{H}\Lambda - \mathbf{mod} \to \mathbf{M}\Lambda - \mathbf{mod}$. We denote the associated enriched hom objects by $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbf{M}\Lambda-\mathbf{mod}}$.

Let $\mathscr{F}$ be the free associative algebra over $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$ on a cofibrant model of $\mathbf{P}^1 \simeq S^{2,1}$ viewed as a graded associative algebra, i.e., an algebra in $\mathbf{M}\Lambda - \mathbf{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. We note the multiplication maps $\mathscr{F}\{k\} \wedge_{\mathbf{M}\Lambda} \mathscr{F}\{l\} \to \mathscr{F}\{k+l\}$ are isomorphisms. Thus for every associative algebra $\mathscr{A} \in \mathbf{M}\Lambda - \mathbf{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, the collection $(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbf{M}\Lambda-\mathbf{mod}}(\mathscr{F}\{k\}, \mathscr{A}\{k\}))_k$ has the natural structure of an associative algebra in $\mathsf{H}\Lambda - \mathbf{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, which we denote by $\mathscr{A}^{\mathscr{F}}$.

The total slice functor s_* sends E_∞ motivic spectra to graded E_∞ $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$-module spectra in a functorial way [15, Theorem 5.1], [14, Theorems 3.11, 3.15]. Thus the assignment $[n] \mapsto \mathsf{s}_*(\mathbf{MGL}_\Lambda^{\wedge(n+1)})$ defines a cosimplicial graded E_∞ $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$-module spectrum. Now let $\mathscr{A}^\bullet$ be a fibrant replacement of the latter as a cosimplicial graded associative algebra. Here we consider the semi model structure on associative algebras for the Σ-cofibrant operad [60, Theorem 4.7]. Then $(\mathscr{A}^\bullet)^{\mathscr{F}}$ is a cosimplicial object of associative algebras in $\mathsf{H}\Lambda - \mathbf{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. This object is equivalent to $\mathsf{H}(\pi_{2*}\mathbf{MU}_\Lambda^{\wedge(\bullet+1)})$ using the truncation methods in the proof of (2.12). Adjointness produces a canonical weak equivalence of cosimplicical graded associative $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$-algebra spectra

$$\mathscr{F} \wedge^d \mathsf{H}(\pi_{2*}\mathbf{MU}_\Lambda^{\wedge(\bullet+1)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{A}^\bullet.$$

Here $\wedge^d$ (d is short for diagonal in order to distinguish it from the convolution product, for which there is no such map) stands for the levelwise smash product with respect to the grading. This follows as in the proof of Theorem 2.12 by considering the full slice functor s_* instead of a fixed slice functor. Likewise, there is a canonical weak equivalence of graded associative $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$-algebras

$$\mathscr{F} \wedge^d \mathrm{Tot}(\mathsf{H}(\pi_{2*}\mathbf{MU}_\Lambda^{\wedge(\bullet+1)})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Tot}(\mathscr{A}^\bullet).$$

Finally, applying the weak equivalence of graded associative $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$-algebras

$$\mathsf{s}_*(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Tot}(\mathsf{s}_*(\mathbf{MGL}_\Lambda^{\wedge(\bullet+1)})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Tot}(\mathscr{A}^\bullet) \tag{2.14}$$

yields the desired commutative diagram. The proof of (2.14) is the same as for a fixed slice degree, cf. Proposition 2.9. □

Remark 2.21: We expect that $\mathscr{F} \wedge^d \mathrm{Tot}(H(\pi_{2*}\mathbf{MU}_\Lambda^{\wedge(\bullet+1)})) \to \mathrm{Tot}(\mathscr{A}^\bullet)$ is an E_∞ map. These objects aquire natural E_∞ structures; the former because $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$ is strongly periodizable, see [59, Theorem 8.2].

In Example 2.25, we use Theorem 2.20 to show the first Hopf map is non-nilpotent [40, Theorem 4.7].

Corollary 2.22: *Multiplication by the generator α_1 of $\mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ yields an isomorphism from $\Sigma^{1,1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ to the direct summand $\Sigma^{n,n}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ of $\mathsf{s}_n(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ for all $n \geq 2$. Thus iterating the first Hopf map yields a nontrivial element $\eta^n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 2$.*

Recall that $\mathrm{Ext}^{1,2q}_{\mathbf{MU}_*\mathbf{MU}}(\mathbf{MU}_*, \mathbf{MU}_*)$ is a finite cyclic group of order a_{2q} [68, §2].

Lemma 2.23: *Multiplication with the zero slice of $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ yields the canonical map*

$$\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \wedge \mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to \mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda).$$

With the identification of $\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \wedge \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2$ in Theorem A.1, which we refer to for unexplained notation, multiplication in the first slice $\mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \wedge_{\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)} \mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to \mathsf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ takes the form

$$\Sigma^{2,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{3,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \bigvee_{(i,j)\in I} \Sigma^{i+2,j+2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{id} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \mathrm{inc} & 0 & \cdots \end{pmatrix}} \Sigma^{2,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{3,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/12.$$

The map $\mathrm{inc}\colon \Sigma^{3,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \to \Sigma^{3,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/12$ *is induced by the canonical map $\Lambda/2 \to \Lambda/12$. More generally, the multiplication $\mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \wedge \mathsf{s}_{2q-1}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to \mathsf{s}_{2q}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ restricts to a nontrivial map $\Sigma^{1,1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \wedge \Sigma^{4q-3,2q-1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \to \Sigma^{4q-1,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q}$ for every $q > 0$. Here, the second smash factor is generated by α_{2q-1} and the target is generated by $\alpha_{2q/n}$, cf. Appendix B.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.20 and explicit computations of the map (2.13) in the relevant degrees. Alternatively, the first multiplication is given by the canonical module structure since the unit map $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{M}\Lambda$ induces an isomorphism on zero slices [27, §10], [67, Theorem 6.6]. The second map is determined by Lemmas 2.29 and 2.30 using that the multiplication

$$\Sigma^{1,1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \wedge \Sigma^{1,1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \hookrightarrow \mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda) \wedge \mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda) \to \mathsf{s}_2(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda) \cong \Sigma^{4,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda \vee \Sigma^{2,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \cdots$$

hits the first two direct summands of $\mathsf{s}_2(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$ nontrivially [51, Theorem 3.3]. (The proof carries over verbatim to compatible pairs by Theorem 2.15.) Similarly, the multiplication on the slices of hermitian K-theory implies the nontriviality given in the last statement. □

The multiplicative structure of the sphere spectrum induces a multiplication on the slice spectral sequence, as explained in [47, Theorem 3.6.16] for the zeroth slice spectral sequence. Standard considerations show that this multiplication satisfies the Leibniz rule, which for later reference is recorded as follows, using the notation

$$d^r_{p,q,n}\colon E^r_{p,q,n} \to E^r_{p-1,q+r,n}$$

for the rth differential in the n-th slice spectral sequence of $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$.

Proposition 2.24: *The slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ is multiplicative. The rth differential satisfies the Leibniz rule*

$$d^r(a \cdot b) = d^r(a) \cdot b + (-1)^p a \cdot d^r(b)$$

for $a \in E^r_{p,q,n}$ and $b \in E^r_{p',q',n'}$.

Proof. We show a more general result for any pairing $\mathsf{D} \wedge \mathsf{E} \to \mathsf{F}$ of motivic spectra by checking the fundamental condition μ from [33, §3, 8].

Condition μ_0 follows from the commutativity of the diagram



which in turn essentially follows from the commutative diagram supplied by [47, Theorems 3.4.5 and 3.4.12]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{f}_p\mathsf{D} \wedge \mathsf{f}_q\mathsf{E} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{mult}^{\mathsf{f}}_{p,q}} & \mathsf{f}_{p+q}\mathsf{D} \wedge \mathsf{E} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathsf{s}_p\mathsf{D} \wedge \mathsf{s}_q\mathsf{E} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{mult}^{\mathsf{s}}_{p,q}} & \mathsf{s}_{p+q}\mathsf{D} \wedge \mathsf{E} \end{array}$$

More precisely, commutativity of the latter diagram up to homotopy implies that the two compositions in the first diagram agree up to homotopy on $\mathsf{f}_p\mathsf{D} \wedge \mathsf{s}_q\mathsf{E}$ and on $\mathsf{s}_p\mathsf{D} \wedge \mathsf{f}_q\mathsf{E}$. Moreover, both compositions restrict to the constant map on $\mathsf{f}_p\mathsf{D} \wedge \mathsf{f}_q\mathsf{E}$, and the respective homotopies can be chosen constant on $\mathsf{f}_p\mathsf{D} \wedge \mathsf{f}_q\mathsf{E}$. The homotopy cofiber sequence

$$\mathsf{f}_p\mathsf{D} \wedge \mathsf{s}_q\mathsf{E} \cup_{\mathsf{f}_p\mathsf{D}\wedge\mathsf{f}_q\mathsf{E}} \mathsf{s}_p\mathsf{D} \wedge \mathsf{f}_q\mathsf{E} \to \mathsf{s}_p\mathsf{D} \wedge \mathsf{s}_q\mathsf{E} \to \Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{f}_{p+1}\mathsf{D} \wedge \Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{f}_{q+1}\mathsf{E}$$

then shows that both compositions agree up to homotopy on $\mathsf{s}_p\mathsf{D} \wedge \mathsf{s}_q\mathsf{E}$, since every map from the $p+q+2$-effective motivic spectrum $\Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{f}_{p+1}\mathsf{D} \wedge \Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{f}_{q+1}\mathsf{E}$ to $\Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_{p+q+1}\mathsf{D} \wedge \mathsf{E}$ is trivial.

Let m be a natural number such that $\mu_0, \dots, \mu_m$ hold. To prove condition μ_{m+1}, choose commutative diagrams



in **SH**. Since $\mu_0, \dots, \mu_m$ are satisfied, the product $a \cdot b$ maps to an element in the group $[\Sigma^{p+p',n+n'}X \wedge Y, \Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{f}_{q+q'+1}\mathsf{D} \wedge \mathsf{E}]$ which lifts to an element

$$z\colon \Sigma^{p+p',n+n'}X \wedge Y \to \Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{f}_{q+q'+m+2}\mathsf{D} \wedge \mathsf{E}.$$

It remains to check the second equation in [33, (3.1)], which follows by induction and a similar consideration as for the case μ_0. □

2.5 The first motivic Hopf map and slices

Next we give a slice spectral sequence interpretation of the motivic Hopf maps.

Example 2.25: The topological Hopf map η_{top} is represented by α_1 in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence. Via Theorem 2.12 we obtain that the first motivic Hopf map $\eta \in \pi_{1,1}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ is represented by $1 \in \pi_{1,1}\mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \cong h^{0,0}$ on the E^1-page of the first slice spectral sequence of $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$. Since all differentials entering or exiting this group are trivial, cf. Theorem 2.12 and Lemma 4.1, this class survives to the E^∞-page. Theorem 2.20 implies that its nth power is the nontrivial element of $\pi_{n,n}\mathsf{s}_n(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \cong h^{0,0}$ in the nth slice spectral sequence; it is also a permanent cycle by Theorem 2.12 and Lemma 4.1. This reproves Morel's result that η is non-nilpotent in $\pi_{*,*}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ [40, Theorem 4.7].

The second motivic Hopf map $\nu \in \pi_{3,2}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ is represented by $1 \in \pi_{3,2}\Sigma^{3,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/12 \cong h^{0,0}_{12}$ for the direct summand $\Sigma^{3,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/12$ of $\mathsf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ corresponding to the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence representative $\alpha_{2/2}$ of ν_{top}, cf. Corollary 2.13. Finally, the third motivic Hopf map $\sigma \in \pi_{7,4}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ is represented by $1 \in \pi_{7,4}\Sigma^{7,4}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/240 \cong h^{0,0}_{240}$ for the direct summand $\Sigma^{7,4}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/240$ of $\mathsf{s}_4(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ corresponding to the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence representative $\alpha_{4/4}$ of σ_{top}, cf. Corollary 2.13. Theorem 2.12 and Lemma 4.1 imply that all differentials entering or exiting these groups are trivial. Both of the classes $\alpha_{2/2}$ and $\alpha_{4/4}$ cube to zero, cf. [49, Theorem 5.5.8(b)]. Thus ν and σ are nilpotent elements in $\pi_{*,*}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$.

For the Brown-Peterson spectrum $\mathbf{BP}$ at a prime p, the group $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathbf{BP}_*\mathbf{BP}}(\mathbf{BP}_*, \mathbf{BP}_*)$ is trivial for $2s(p-1) > t$, cf. Appendix B. We use this to show that every iteration of the Hopf map η acts trivially on odd order direct summands of $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$.

Lemma 2.26: *Let A be a finite Λ-module of odd order. If $\Sigma^{r,q}\mathbf{M}A$ is a direct summand of $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$, there exists a natural number k such that η^k restricts to the trivial map on $\Sigma^{r,q}\mathbf{M}A$.*

Proof. We may assume A is cyclic of odd prime power order $p^m > 1$. Choose a natural number

$$k > \frac{q - (2q - r - 1)(p-1)}{p-2}.$$

The inequality $(2q - r + k - 1) \cdot 2(p-1) > 2q + 2k$ implies the vanishing of the groups

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{2q-r+k-1,2q+2k}_{\mathbf{BP}_*\mathbf{BP}}(\mathbf{BP}_*, \mathbf{BP}_*) = \mathrm{Ext}^{2q-r+k,2q+2k}_{\mathbf{BP}_*\mathbf{BP}}(\mathbf{BP}_*, \mathbf{BP}_*) = 0.$$

These Ext-groups give trivial contributions to the slice $\mathsf{s}_{q+k}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ according to Theorem 2.12. Note that η^k restricts to an $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$-module map on the direct summand $\Sigma^{r,q}\mathbf{M}A$ of $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$. Since its target is trivial by the above vanishing, the assertion follows. □

Definition 2.27: The η-inverted Λ-local sphere spectrum $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda[\eta^{-1}]$ is the homotopy colimit of the diagram

$$\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{-1,-1}\eta} \Sigma^{-1,-1}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{-2,-2}\eta} \Sigma^{-2,-2}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{-3,-3}\eta} \cdots .$$

Since slices commute with homotopy colimits, see [61, Corollary 4.5], [64, Lemma 4.2], Lemma 2.26 implies:

Corollary 2.28: *The zero slice of $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda[\eta^{-1}]$ is an Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum associated with a connective chain complex of Λ-modules whose homology are 2-primary torsion modules.*

We proceed by analyzing the unit map for hermitian K-theory.

Lemma 2.29: *Let $u\colon \mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$ be the unit map. Then $\mathsf{s}_q(u)$ is an inclusion on the bottom summand of $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$; that is, $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$ for $q = 0$ and $\Sigma^{q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ for $q > 0$.*

Proof. Note that $\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ is a direct summand of $\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$ by the proof of Theorem 2.15 given for fields in [52, Theorem 4.18]. The Hopf map η relates algebraic and hermitian K-theory via the motivic Wood cofiber sequence [52, Theorem 3.4]

$$\Sigma^{1,1}\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{KGL}_\Lambda. \tag{2.15}$$

Theorems 2.15 and 2.19 identify the slices of $\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$ and $\mathbf{KGL}_\Lambda$, respectively. Now $\mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ embeds as a direct summand of $\mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$ if and only if η induces the canonical map

$$\Sigma^{1,1}\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to \mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \tag{2.16}$$

obtained from the reduction of coefficients $\Lambda \to \Lambda/2$. Assuming the map in (2.16) is trivial leads to a contradiction since by [52, Lemma 4.12] the composition

$$\Sigma^{1,1}\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to \Sigma^{1,1}\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$$

is the canonical map $\Sigma^{1,1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda \to \Sigma^{1,1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$, followed by the inclusion into the infinite sum $\bigvee_{i<1}\Sigma^{2i+1,1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$.

Proceeding by induction on $q > 0$, one obtains that η induces the trivial map

$$\Sigma^{q+1,q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma^{1,1}\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to \mathsf{s}_{q+1}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda),$$

where $\Sigma^{q+1,q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ can only map nontrivially to the corresponding direct summand of the same bidegree in $\mathsf{s}_{q+1}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$, precisely if

$$\mathsf{s}_{q+1}(\mathrm{cone}(\eta)) \to \Sigma^{2,1}\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to \Sigma^{2,1}\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$$

induces the inclusion of the direct summand $\Sigma^{q+2,q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$. However, the latter coincides with the composite

$$\mathsf{s}_{q+1}(\mathrm{cone}(\eta)) \to \mathsf{s}_{q+1}(\mathbf{KGL}_\Lambda) \to \Sigma^{2,1}\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda),$$

which is trivial on any direct summand of the form $\Sigma^{q+2,q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ by Lemma A.3. □

Next we determine the images under the unit map $u\colon \mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$ on the slice summands corresponding to the finite cyclic groups $\mathrm{Ext}^{1,2q}_{\mathbf{MU}_*\mathbf{MU}}(\mathbf{MU}_*, \mathbf{MU}_*)$ of order a_{2q}, cf. [68, §2]. (These groups describe first order phenomena in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence related to the J-homomorphism [49, Theorem 5.3.7].)

Lemma 2.30: *For $q \geq 1$, the map $\mathsf{s}_{2q-1}(u)\colon \mathsf{s}_{2q-1}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to \mathsf{s}_{2q-1}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$ restricts to the canonical inclusion of the direct summand $\Sigma^{4q-3,2q-1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ generated by α_{2q-1}. Moreover, $\mathsf{s}_{2q}(u)\colon \mathsf{s}_{2q}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to \mathsf{s}_{2q}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$ maps the direct summand $\Sigma^{4q-1,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q}$ to $\Sigma^{4q,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda$ in such a way that the composite*

$$\Sigma^{4q-1,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q} \to \Sigma^{4q,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}} \Sigma^{4q,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$$

is the unique nontrivial map σ_{2q} from $\Sigma^{4q-1,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q}$ to $\Sigma^{4q,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$, cf. Lemma A.3.

Proof. We argue by induction on q. Lemma 2.29 shows the claim for $q = 1$. Suppose the direct summand $\Sigma^{4q-3,2q-1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ of $\mathsf{s}_{2q-1}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ corresponding to $\mathrm{Ext}^{1,4q-2}_{\mathbf{MU}_*\mathbf{MU}}(\mathbf{MU}_*, \mathbf{MU}_*)$ maps identically via $\mathsf{s}_{2q-1}(u)$ to the top summand of $\mathsf{s}_{2q-1}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$, i.e., the direct summand of largest simplicial suspension degree. By [52, Theorem 5.5] the first slice differential $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda}$ maps this direct summand via the cohomology operation $\delta_2\mathsf{Sq}^2\mathsf{Sq}^1$ to the top summand $\Sigma^{4q+1,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda$ of $\Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_{2q}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$; here, $\delta_n\colon \mathbf{M}\Lambda/n \to \Sigma^{1,0}\mathbf{M}\Lambda$ is the canonical connecting map. The commutative diagram with horizontal slice differentials

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{s}_{2q-1}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}} & \Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_{2q}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathsf{s}_{2q-1}(u)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_{2q}(u)} \\ \mathsf{s}_{2q-1}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda}} & \Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_{2q}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda) \end{array}$$

implies the map $\mathsf{s}_{2q}(u)$ is nontrivial – since $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda}$ is nontrivial – by Lemma 2.29. More precisely, Theorem A.5 and Lemma A.3 supply an element $x_{2q} \in \Lambda/a_{2q}$ such that $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}$ maps the direct summand $\Sigma^{4q-3,2q-1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ via $\mathrm{inc}_{2q}\mathsf{Sq}^2\mathsf{Sq}^1 + x_{2q}\partial_{2q}\mathsf{Sq}^2$ to the direct summand $\Sigma^{4q,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q}$ of $\Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_{2q}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ obtained from $\mathrm{Ext}^{1,4q}_{\mathbf{MU}_*\mathbf{MU}}(\mathbf{MU}_*, \mathbf{MU}_*)$. Here, inc_{2q} is induced by the canonical map $\Lambda/2 \to \Lambda/a_{2q}$ and $\partial_{2q}\colon \Sigma^{4q-1,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \to \Sigma^{4q,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q}$ is defined in Example A.4. Moreover, Lemma A.3 implies there exists an odd integer y_{2q} such that $\mathsf{s}_{2q}(u)$ maps the direct summand $\Sigma^{4q-1,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q}$ of $\mathsf{s}_{2q}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ via $y_{2q}\delta_{a_{2q}}$ to the direct summand $\Sigma^{4q,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda$ of $\mathsf{s}_{2q}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$. Composing with the canonical map to $\Sigma^{4q,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ yields the unique nontrivial map σ_{2q} in the statement of the lemma.

The Hopf map η and the unit u induces the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^{1,1}\mathsf{s}_{2q}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathsf{s}_{2q+1}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Sigma^{1,1}\mathsf{s}_{2q}(u)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathsf{s}_{2q+1}(u)} \\ \Sigma^{1,1}\mathsf{s}_{2q}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathsf{s}_{2q+1}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda) \end{array}$$

The direct summand $\Sigma^{1,1}\Sigma^{4q-1,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q}$ maps via the unique nontrivial map σ_{2q} to the top summand $\Sigma^{4q+1,2q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ of $\mathsf{s}_{2q+1}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$. Thus $\mathsf{s}_{2q+1}(u)$ maps the direct summand $\Sigma^{4q+1,2q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ of $\mathsf{s}_{2q+1}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ generated by $\alpha_{2q+1} \in \mathrm{Ext}^{1,4q+2}_{\mathbf{BP}_*\mathbf{BP}}(\mathbf{BP}_*, \mathbf{BP}_*)$ nontrivially, and hence identically, to the top summand in $\mathsf{s}_{2q+1}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$. This completes the induction step. □

Remark 2.31: The first slice differential $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}$ will be studied in more detail in Section 4.

Lemmas 2.29 and 2.30 have ramifications for the action of η on the slices of $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$.

Lemma 2.32: *The Hopf map η induces the canonical map*

$$\Sigma^{1,1}\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \cong \Sigma^{1,1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda \to \Sigma^{1,1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \cong \mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda).$$

Moreover, by restriction, η induces the unique nontrivial map

$$\Sigma^{1,1}\sigma_{2q}\colon \Sigma^{4q,2q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q} \to \Sigma^{4q+1,2q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$$

for all q, and the identity map

$$\Sigma^{1,1}\Sigma^{q+2i,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} \Sigma^{q+2i+1,q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$$

for $i = 0$ if $q > 2$, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ if $q > 4$, and for $i = 3$ if $q > 9$.

Proof. In $\mathsf{s}_*(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$, η induces the identity on every direct summand $\Sigma^{2i+q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ and the canonical projection on $\Sigma^{4q,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda$ [52, §4.3]. Lemma 2.29 and naturality imply the first claim. The result for σ_{2q} follows from Lemma 2.30 and naturality. For the last claim we use the Ext computation in [68, Proposition 6.1]. □

Remark 2.33: Lemma 2.32 follows also from Lemma 2.23.

We proceed by analyzing the action of η on the slices of $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$. Lemma 2.32 implies that $\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda[\eta^{-1}])$ contains $\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{2,0}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{4,0}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{6,0}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ as a direct summand. For $q \neq 0$ we have $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda[\eta^{-1}]) \cong \Sigma^{q,q}\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda[\eta^{-1}])$ as observed in [52, Example 2.3]. By expanding on the proof of Lemma 2.32 it follows that $\Sigma^{q+n,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ is a retract of $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda[\eta^{-1}])$ for every even natural number n. We require no further information on the slices of $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda[\eta^{-1}]$ in this paper. However, we shall end this section with a complete computation using the following recent result due to Andrews-Miller [1, p. 1], cf. [68, p. 500]. We refer to Appendix B for the generator $\overline{\alpha}_i$ of the cyclic group $\mathrm{Ext}^{1,2i}_{\mathbf{BP}_*\mathbf{BP}}(\mathbf{BP}_*, \mathbf{BP}_*)$.

Theorem 2.34 (Andrews-Miller [1])**:** *At the prime 2 and for $t \neq 1$ there exists a natural number $N(t)$ such that $\alpha_1^{s-1}\overline{\alpha}_{t+1}$ is the unique nontrivial element in $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,2(s+t)}_{\mathbf{BP}_*\mathbf{BP}}(\mathbf{BP}_*, \mathbf{BP}_*)$ for all $s \geq N(t)$.*

Recall that slices commute with homotopy colimits [61, Corollary 4.5], [64, Lemma 4.2]. Thus Theorem 2.20, Corollary 2.28, and Theorem 2.34 allow us to compute the slices of the η-inverted Λ-local sphere spectrum. (Note the multiplicative identity $\alpha_1\alpha_{2/2} = 0$ explains the condition $n \neq 1$ in the wedge product decomposition below, cf. Appendix B.)

Theorem 2.35: *The slices of the η-inverted Λ-local sphere spectrum are given by*

$$\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda[\eta^{-1}]) \cong \Sigma^{q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \bigvee_{n\geq 2} \Sigma^{n+q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2.$$

Remark 2.36: The canonical map $\mathbf{M}\Lambda \simeq \mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to \mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda[\eta^{-1}])$ does not induce a map of ring spectra $\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \to \mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda[\eta^{-1}])$. This follows since the multiplicative structure on $\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda[\eta^{-1}])$ involves at least as many relations as for $\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{KW})$, see [51, Theorem 3.6].

3 Convergence of the slice spectral sequence

In this section we answer Voevodsky's convergence problem for the slice spectral sequence [64, §7]. This clarifies the role of the first motivic Hopf map η in the stable theory, and recovers Levine's convergence result for the slice filtration over fields of finite cohomological dimension in [28, Theorem 4].

3.1 Preliminaries

For every integer q the inclusion $i_q \colon \Sigma^{2q,q}\mathbf{SH}^{\mathbf{eff}} \subset \mathbf{SH}$ affords a right adjoint r_q by work of Neeman [43]. Define f_q as the composite $i_q \circ r_q$. The derived counit for the adjunction yields for every motivic spectrum E a distinguished triangle

$$\mathsf{f}_q(\mathsf{E}) \to \mathsf{E} \to \mathsf{f}^{q-1}(\mathsf{E}) \to \Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{f}_q(\mathsf{E}).$$

In the special case where $\mathsf{f}_{q-1}(\mathsf{E}) = \mathsf{E}$, one has $\mathsf{f}^{q-1}(\mathsf{E}) = \mathsf{s}_{q-1}(\mathsf{E})$. The natural transformation $\mathsf{f}_{q+1} \to \mathsf{f}_q$ induces a natural transformation $\mathsf{f}^q \to \mathsf{f}^{q-1}$. Pelaez' thesis [47] supplies a lift of the slice filtration to the level of model categories, whence the following is well-defined.

Definition 3.1: Let sc denote the slice completion endofunctor $\operatorname{holim}_q \mathsf{f}^{q-1}$ of $\mathbf{SH}$.

By construction, the slice spectral sequence for E [52, §2], [64, §7] is an upper half-plane spectral sequence with entering differentails which converges conditionally to the homotopy groups of $\mathsf{sc}(\mathsf{E})$ in the sense of Boardman [8, Definition 5.10, §7].

Remark 3.2: The slice completion functor is augmented by the natural transformation $\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbf{SH}} \to \mathsf{sc}$.

Example 3.3: The canonical map $\mathbf{KW} \to \mathsf{sc}(\mathbf{KW})$ is not a weak equivalence over the real numbers $F = \mathbb{R}$. The $\lim^1$-short exact sequence and [52, Lemma 3.13, Corollary 3.16] show

$$\pi_{s,t}\mathsf{sc}(\mathbf{KW}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2^\wedge & 4 \mid s-t \\ \mathbb{Z}_2^\wedge/\mathbb{Z} & 4 \mid s-1-t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, $\pi_{s,t}\mathbf{KW}$ is trivial if $4 \nmid s-t$ and infinite cyclic in all other degrees.

Remark 3.4: In [52, Theorem 6.12] it is shown that the slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{KW}$ converges to the filtration of the Witt ring by its fundamental ideal of even dimensional forms. Example 3.3 shows the slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{KW}$ does not converge conditionally to the homotopy groups of $\mathbf{KW}$.

Throughout the following, we let (S, Λ) be a compatible pair, cf. Definition 2.1.

Lemma 3.5: *If $\eta\colon \Sigma^{1,1}\mathsf{E} \to \mathsf{E}$ is a weak equivalence, then the multiplication by 2 map is trivial on all slices of E.*

Proof. Note that E is an $\mathbf{1}[\eta^{-1}]$-module. By [15, §6 (iv),(v)] and [47, Theorem 3.6.13(6)] it follows that $\mathsf{s}_0(\mathsf{E})$ is an $\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}[\eta^{-1}])$-module. Since multiplication by 2 is trivial on $\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}[\eta^{-1}])$ by Corollary 2.28, cf. Theorem 2.34, the same holds for any $\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}[\eta^{-1}])$-module $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathsf{E})$. □

Let $\epsilon\colon \mathbf{1} \to \mathbf{1}$ be the endomorphism induced by the commutativity automorphism on $S^{1,1} \wedge S^{1,1}$, or equivalently by the inverse map for the multiplicative group scheme $S^{1,1} = \mathbf{G}_{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathbf{A}^1 \smallsetminus \{0\}$. The motivic Hopf map η is induced by the canonical map $\mathbf{A}^2 \smallsetminus \{0\} \to \mathbf{P}^1$, or equivalently, see [41, p. 73 in §3.3 and Example 7.26], by the Hopf construction applied to

$$(\mathbf{A}^1 \smallsetminus \{0\}) \times_S (\mathbf{A}^1 \smallsetminus \{0\}) \to \mathbf{A}^1 \smallsetminus \{0\}, (x, y) \mapsto xy^{-1}.$$

The first Hopf relation $\eta\epsilon = \eta$ follows, cf. [11, Theorem 1.4, Lemma 4.8]. Moreover, the rational point $[-1]\colon S^{0,0} \to S^{1,1}$ satisfies the relation $1 + \epsilon = -\eta[-1]$ from [41, p. 51].

Lemma 3.6: *Suppose $2\colon \mathsf{E} \to \mathsf{E}$ is a weak equivalence. Then the canonical maps*

$$\mathsf{E}[\tfrac{1}{1+\epsilon}] \leftarrow \mathsf{E} \to \mathsf{E}[\tfrac{1}{1-\epsilon}]$$

induce weak equivalences

$$\mathsf{s}_q(\mathsf{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{s}_q(\mathsf{E}[\tfrac{1}{1-\epsilon}])$$

for all $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence there is a canonical weak equivalence between slice completions

$$\mathsf{sc}(\mathsf{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{sc}(\mathsf{E}[\tfrac{1}{1-\epsilon}]).$$

Proof. By assumption E affords the splitting

$$\mathsf{E} \simeq \mathsf{E}[\tfrac{1}{1-\epsilon}] \times \mathsf{E}[\tfrac{1}{1+\epsilon}].$$

Applying the slice completion functor yields

$$\mathsf{sc}(\mathsf{E}) \simeq \mathsf{sc}\big(\mathsf{E}[\tfrac{1}{1-\epsilon}]\big) \times \mathsf{sc}\big(\mathsf{E}[\tfrac{1}{1+\epsilon}]\big) \simeq \mathsf{sc}\big(\mathsf{E}[\tfrac{1}{1-\epsilon}]\big).$$

Since $\eta\epsilon = \eta$, the map η is trivial on $\mathsf{E}[\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}]$. The equality $1 + \epsilon = -\eta[-1]$ implies that η is invertible on $\mathsf{E}[\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}]$. Lemma 3.5 shows that multiplication by 2 is the zero map on the slices of $\mathsf{E}[\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}]$. By the assumption on E, $2\colon \mathsf{s}_q(\mathsf{E}[\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}]) \to \mathsf{s}_q(\mathsf{E}[\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}])$ is also a weak equivalence. Hence $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathsf{E}[\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}]) \simeq *$ for every integer q. □

Example 3.7: Lemma 3.6 implies the motivic analogue $\mathsf{sc}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Q}$ of the isomorphism $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong H\mathbb{Q}$ between the topological rationalized sphere spectrum and the rational Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum. In particular, $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an effective cellular motivic spectrum which does not necessarily coincide with its slice completion.

Every element $\alpha \in \pi_{s,t}\mathbf{1}$ defines an α-completion functor sending a motivic spectrum E to the homotopy limit of the canonically induced sequential diagram

$$\cdots \to \mathsf{E}/\alpha^{m+1} \to \mathsf{E}/\alpha^m \to \cdots \to \mathsf{E}/\alpha.$$

There is a naturally induced augmentation map

$$\mathsf{E} \to \mathsf{E}^\wedge_\alpha := \operatorname*{holim}_m \mathsf{E}/\alpha^m.$$

Definition 3.8: A motivic spectrum E is *α-complete* if the canonical map $\mathsf{E} \to \mathsf{E}^\wedge_\alpha$ is a weak equivalence.

Inverting α on E amounts to forming the homotopy colimit $\mathsf{E}[\alpha^{-1}] = \mathsf{E}[\frac{1}{\alpha}]$ of the diagram

$$\mathsf{E} \xrightarrow{\alpha\wedge\mathsf{E}} \Sigma^{-s,-t}\mathsf{E} \xrightarrow{\alpha\wedge\Sigma^{-2s,-2t}\mathsf{E}} \cdots .$$

The main examples of interest to us are integers and $\eta \in \pi_{1,1}\mathbf{1}$. Completion and inversion are related in the following arithmetic square.

Lemma 3.9: *For every motivic spectrum E and $\alpha \in \pi_{s,t}\mathbf{1}$ there is a homotopy pullback*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{E}[\frac{1}{\alpha}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathsf{E}^\wedge_\alpha & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{E}^\wedge_\alpha[\frac{1}{\alpha}]. \end{array}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that α is invertible in the homotopy fiber of the canonical map $\mathsf{E} \to \mathsf{E}^\wedge_\alpha$. By construction, this homotopy fiber is the homotopy limit of the sequence

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha\wedge\Sigma^{2s,2t}\mathsf{E}} \Sigma^{2s,2t}\mathsf{E} \xrightarrow{\alpha\wedge\Sigma^{s,t}\mathsf{E}} \Sigma^{s,t}\mathsf{E} \xrightarrow{\alpha\wedge\mathsf{E}} \mathsf{E}.$$

A straightforward calculation shows that α is invertible in this homotopy limit. □

Lemma 3.10: *The map $\mathsf{E} \to \mathsf{E}^\wedge_\eta$ induces a weak equivalence on slices after inverting 2.*

Proof. The arithmetic square for η

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{E}[\frac{1}{\eta}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathsf{E}^\wedge_\eta & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{E}^\wedge_\eta[\frac{1}{\eta}] \end{array}$$

is a homotopy pullback square by Lemma 3.9. Inverting 2 yields a homotopy pullback square in which the motivic spectra on the right hand side have contractible slices, see Lemma 3.5. Thus the map on the left hand side induces a weak equivalence on all slices, as desired. □

Remark 3.11: Lemma 3.10 implies that $(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)^\wedge_\eta[\frac{1}{2}]$ is effective.

Lemma 3.12: *Let $\alpha \in \pi_{s,t}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ and suppose that $(\alpha \wedge \mathsf{E})^N$ is the trivial map for some natural number $N \geq 1$. Then E is α-complete.*

Proof. If $m \geq N$ the assumption on E implies the cone E/α^m splits as $\mathsf{E} \vee \Sigma^{ms+1,mt}\mathsf{E}$, such that the canonical map $\mathsf{E} \to \mathsf{E}/\alpha^m$ corresponds to the inclusion. Now the diagram

$$\cdots \to \mathsf{E}/\alpha^{m+1} \to \mathsf{E}/\alpha^m \to \cdots \to \mathsf{E}/\alpha^N$$

with homotopy limit $\mathsf{E}^\wedge_\alpha$ splits as the constant diagram on E and the diagram

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Sigma^{(m+1)s+1,(m+1)t}\mathsf{E} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Sigma^{ms+1,mt}\mathsf{E} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Sigma^{Ns+1,Nt}\mathsf{E}.$$

Its homotopy limit is contractible since suitable iterations of α are trivial on E. □

Lemma 3.13: *The slice completion $\mathsf{sc}(\mathsf{E})$ of an effective motivic spectrum E is η-complete.*

Proof. By the assumption on E it follows that $\mathsf{f}^{-1}(\mathsf{E}) = \mathsf{s}_0(\mathsf{E})$. In general, $\mathsf{f}^{q-1}(\mathsf{E})$ is a finite extension with slices as associated graded pieces. Since η acts trivially on slices, these are η-complete by Lemma 3.12. The result follows now by commuting homotopy limits. □

Lemma 3.14: *Suppose $\mathsf{E} \in \mathbf{SH}^{\mathbf{eff}}$ and that E/η is slice complete. Then the η-completion of E maps via a natural weak equivalence to the slice completion of E.*

Proof. There is a naturally induced commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{E}^\wedge_\eta \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \operatorname{holim}_q \mathsf{f}^{q-1}(\mathsf{E}) & \longrightarrow & (\operatorname{holim}_q \mathsf{f}^{q-1}(\mathsf{E}))^\wedge_\eta \end{array} \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.13 shows the lower horizontal map is an isomorphism in **SH**. We shall prove the right vertical map is an isomorphism. It suffices to show there is an isomorphism for all $n \geq 1$

$$\mathsf{E}/\eta^n \to \operatorname*{holim}_q \mathsf{f}^{q-1}(\mathsf{E})/\eta^n.$$

This follows from our assumption on E/η, induction on n, and the canonical identification

$$\operatorname*{holim}_q \mathsf{f}^{q-1}(\mathsf{E})/\eta^n \cong \operatorname*{holim}_q \mathsf{f}^{q-1}(\mathsf{E}/\eta^n)$$

of iterated homotopy limits. □

Lemmas 3.13 and 3.14 hold as well for every motivic spectrum E satisfying $\mathsf{f}_e(\mathsf{E}) = \mathsf{E}$ for some $e \in \mathbb{Z}$. They do not hold for $\mathbf{KW}$, since $\mathbf{KW}^\wedge_\eta$ is contractible, while $\mathsf{sc}(\mathbf{KW})$ is not.

Example 3.15: Hermitian K-theory has the property that $\mathbf{KQ}/\eta \simeq \mathbf{KGL}$ is slice complete by the motivic Wood cofiber sequence (2.15) and [65], and likewise for its effective cover. In particular, $\mathsf{sc}(\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}) \simeq \big(\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}\big)^\wedge_\eta$.

3.2 Connectivity

To study slice completeness of $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ we employ a notion of connectivity based on presheaves of homotopy groups.

Definition 3.16: A motivic spectrum E is *k-connected* if for every triple (s,t,d) of integers with $s - t + d < k$ and every $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_S$ of dimension $\leq d$, the group $[\Sigma^{s,t}X_+, \mathsf{E}]$ is trivial. A map of motivic spectra is *k-connected* if its homotopy fiber is k-connected.

A related notion of connectivity is defined in [23, Section 1.1]: E is said to be k-connective if it is contained in the full localizing subcategory generated by the shifted suspension spectra $\{\Sigma^{s,t}X_+\}_{s-t\geq k, X\in\mathbf{Sm}_S}$. If S is the spectrum of a field, E is k-connective if and only if its Nisnevich sheaves of homotopy groups $\pi_{s,t}\mathsf{E} = 0$ for $s - t < k$, see [39, Theorem 6.1.8] for the case of perfect fields and [23, Theorem 2.3] for all fields.

Lemma 3.17: *Suppose S is a field. If E is k-connective, then it is k-connected.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that for any motivic S^1-spectrum E which is 0-connective with respect to the homotopy t-structure we have $[\Sigma^s X_+, E] = 0$ for every $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_S$ of dimension $\leq d$ and $s < -d$. This in turn can be shown as follows: By induction the analogous statement holds for any finite Postnikov truncation of E, then the statement follows by a limit argument (the $\lim^1$-term vanishes because the corresponding system is eventually constant). See also [23, Corollary 2.4]. □

Example 3.18: Let A be an abelian group. If S is a field or a Dedekind domain, the motivic cohomology spectrum $\mathbf{M}A$ over S is 0-connected. In fact, by [13, Corollary 4.4] the group $[\Sigma^{s,t}X_+, \mathbf{M}A] \cong H^{-s,-t}(X;A) = 0$ if $-s > -t + d$, where d is the dimension of X.

In order to prevent additional and possibly unnecessary hypotheses, the following notion will be convenient.

Definition 3.19: A *connected pair* is a compatible pair (S,Λ) such that the Λ-local sphere spectrum $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ and the motivic Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$ are 0-connected.

Unless the contrary is stated we assume throughout that (S,Λ) is a connected pair.

Example 3.20: If S is a field, every compatible pair is a connected pair by Lemma 3.17 and Example 3.18.

Example 3.21: If $V \to Y$ be a vector bundle of rank r over $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_S$, then the Thom space $\mathsf{Th}(V)$ is r-connected. More generally, if $a \in K^0(Y)$ is a virtual vector bundle of rank $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\mathsf{Th}(a)$ is r-connected. This follows from [23, Lemma 3.1] and the assumption on (S, Λ). In particular, $\Sigma^{a,b}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ is $(a-b)$-connected.

Lemma 3.22: *A cellular motivic spectrum* E *is* k*-connected if and only if* $\pi_{s,t}\mathsf{E} = 0$ *for all integers* s*,* t *with* $s - t < k$*.*

Proof. Suppose E is a cellular motivic spectrum satisfying the stated vanishing. Inductively one constructs a map of cellular motivic spectra $\mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{E}$ inducing a $\pi_{p,q}$-isomorphism for all $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, where D is obtained by attaching (s,t)-cells for $s - t \geq k$. Since this is a weak equivalence [10, Corollary 7.2] the desired conclusion follows using connectivity of spheres as in Example 3.21. The converse implication is immediate. □

The connectivity of the effective cover $\mathsf{f}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ of the sphere spectrum does not increase with q, mainly because of the direct summand $\Sigma^{q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2\{\alpha^q\}$ of $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$, cf. Corollary 2.13. By coning off η the situation changes drastically. To make this precise, we compare with the algebraic cobordism spectrum $\mathbf{MGL}$ [63, §6.3].

Lemma 3.23: *The effective cover* $\mathsf{f}_q(\mathbf{MGL}_\Lambda)$ *is* q*-connected.*

Proof. This follows from the proof of [61, Theorem 4.7] and Lemma 3.17. □

Lemma 3.24: *Let* S *be a base scheme. The unit map for* $\mathbf{MGL}$ *factors through a map* $\mathbf{1}/\eta \to \mathbf{MGL}$ *whose cone is contained in* $\Sigma^{4,2}\mathbf{SH}^{\mathbf{eff}}$*.*

Proof. Since the composition of the unit map $\mathbf{1} \to \mathbf{MGL}$ and η is zero, the factorization exists abstractly. We construct an explicit model for the factorization: Up to $S^{2,1}$-suspension, η identifies with the canonical map from the complement of the zero section of the tautological line bundle $\gamma_1 \to \mathbf{P}^1$ to $\mathbf{P}^1$; hence its mapping cone is the Thom space $\mathsf{Th}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(\gamma_1)$. Thus we can model $\mathbf{1}/\eta$ by the motivic spectrum

$$(*, \mathsf{Th}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(\gamma_1), \mathsf{Th}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(\mathbf{A}^1 \oplus \gamma_1), \dots),$$

where $\gamma_1 \to \mathbf{P}^1$ is the tautological bundle and the structure maps are the evident ones. Similarly, $\mathbf{MGL}$ is the motivic spectrum

$$\big(S^0 = \mathsf{Th}_S(\gamma_0), \mathsf{Th}_{\mathbf{Gr}_1 = \mathbf{P}^\infty}(\gamma_1), \mathsf{Th}_{\mathbf{Gr}_2}(\gamma_2), \dots),$$

where $\gamma_n \to \mathbf{Gr}_n$ is the tautological vector bundle over the nth Grassmannian [63, §6.3]. The resulting map $\mathbf{1}/\eta \to \mathbf{MGL}$ is determined by the inclusion $\mathbf{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^\infty$ sending $(x : y)$ to $(x : y : 0 : \cdots)$, which in turn induces $\mathsf{Th}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(\gamma_1) \to \mathsf{Th}_{\mathbf{P}^\infty}(\gamma_1)$ in spectrum level one.

For every $m \geq 2$, the inclusion $\mathbf{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^m$ is the zero section of the vector bundle $\mathbf{P}^m \smallsetminus \mathbf{P}^{m-2} \to \mathbf{P}^1$ projecting onto the first two coordinates. By homotopy purity for vector bundles, the cofiber of $\mathbf{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^m$ is weakly equivalent to the Thom space of a rank 2 bundle

over $\mathbf{P}^{m-2}$. Passing to the colimit produces the Thom space of a rank 2 bundle over $\mathbf{P}^{\infty-2}$. Since the cofiber of $\mathsf{Th}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(\gamma_1) \to \mathsf{Th}_{\mathbf{P}^\infty}(\gamma_1)$ involves the map induced on Thom spaces of a line bundle, one obtains that it is weakly equivalent to the Thom space of a rank 3 bundle. This proves the homotopy cofiber of

$$\big(*, \mathsf{Th}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(\gamma_1), \mathsf{Th}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(\mathbf{A}^1 \oplus \gamma_1), \dots\big) \to \big(S^0 = \mathsf{Th}_S(\gamma_0), \mathsf{Th}_{\mathbf{Gr}_1=\mathbf{P}^\infty}(\gamma_1), \mathsf{Th}_{\mathbf{Gr}_1}(\mathbf{A}^1 \oplus \gamma_1), \dots\big)$$

is contained in $\Sigma^{4,2}\mathbf{SH}^{\mathbf{eff}}$. Since the same holds true for the canonical map

$$\big(S^0 = \mathsf{Th}_S(\gamma_0), \mathsf{Th}_{\mathbf{Gr}_1=\mathbf{P}^\infty}(\gamma_1), \mathsf{Th}_{\mathbf{Gr}_1}(\mathbf{A}^1 \oplus \gamma_1), \dots\big) \to \mathbf{MGL},$$

the result follows. □

We refer to the map constructed in the proof of Lemma 3.24 as the canonical one.

Lemma 3.25: *Let S be a base scheme such that $\mathbf{1}$ is 0-connected. Then the canonical map $\mathbf{1}/\eta \to \mathbf{MGL}$ is 1-connected, and likewise for $\mathsf{f}_q(\mathbf{1}/\eta) \to \mathsf{f}_q(\mathbf{MGL})$ when $q \in \{0,1,2\}$.*

Proof. For the first statement see also [23, Theorem 2.8]. The connectivity of Thom spaces given in Example 3.21 implies together with Lemma 3.24 that $\mathbf{1}/\eta \to \mathbf{MGL}$ is 1-connected. Moreover, it induces a weak equivalence on s_0 and s_1 by Lemma 3.24. An application of the five lemma implies the assertions for f_1 and f_2. □

Lemma 3.26: *Let (S, Λ) be a compatible pair such that S is the spectrum of a field F. The map $\mathsf{f}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta) \to \mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta)$ is 1-connected for all q.*

Proof. Lemmas 3.23 and 3.25 imply that $\mathsf{f}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta) \to \mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta)$ is 1-connected for $q \in \{0,1\}$. Moreover, $[\Sigma^{s,t}X_+, \mathsf{f}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta) \to \mathsf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta)]$ is an isomorphism for all $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_S$ of dimension d and integers s, t satisfying $s - t + d < 1$. In order to conclude for $\mathsf{f}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta) \to \mathsf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta)$, it remains to show the canonical map

$$[\Sigma^{s,t}X_+, \mathsf{f}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta) \to \mathsf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta)]$$

is surjective for $s-t+d = 1$. We may assume that S is connected. Since $\mathsf{f}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta) \to \mathsf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta)$ is a map between cellular motivic spectra, we may assume X is the base scheme S by Lemma 3.22. Lemma 2.32 implies the isomorphism $\mathsf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta) \cong \Sigma^{3,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/12$. Hence the target of

$$[S^{3,2}, \mathsf{f}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta) \to \mathsf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta)]$$

is the group $\Lambda/12$ (over arbitrary connected base schemes). By construction of the slice filtration the Hopf map $\nu\colon S^{3,2} \to \mathbf{1}$ (which exists over $\mathbb{Z}$, cf. [11, Remark 4.14], and hence over S) factors through $\mathsf{f}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$. If S maps to $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$, the complex realization map

$$[S^{3,2}, \mathsf{f}_2(\mathbf{1}/\eta)] \to \pi_3(S^0/\eta) \cong \mathbb{Z}/12$$

is surjective, and hence so is the map

$$[S^{3,2}, \mathsf{f}_2(\mathbf{1}/\eta) \to \mathsf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}/\eta)].$$

If S maps to an arbitrary field of characteristic zero, one reduces to the case where S maps to $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ since the constructions involved commute with filtered colimits. Suppose now that S is a field F of characteristic $p > 0$. Consider the compatible pair $(T, \Lambda) = \big(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}), \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]\big)$. Since the canonical maps

$$H^{0,0}(S; \Lambda/12) \leftarrow H^{0,0}(T; \Lambda/12) \to H^{0,0}(\mathbb{Q}; \Lambda/12)$$

are isomorphisms, also

$$[S^{3,2}, \mathsf{f}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta) \to \mathsf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta)]$$

is surjective over S. For any field and integers s, t with $s - t = 1$, the surjectivity of

$$[S^{s,t}, \mathsf{f}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta) \to \mathsf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta)]$$

then follows from the $\bigoplus_n \pi_{n,n}\mathbf{1}$-module structure, which induces a surjection

$$\pi_{3,2}\mathsf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta) \times \pi_{n,n}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \pi_{3+n,2+n}\mathsf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta)$$

for all n. (Note that $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda = \mathsf{f}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to \mathsf{f}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta) \to \mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta) = \mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ is 0-connected, which implies the claimed surjectivity.) Hence $\mathsf{f}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta) \to \mathsf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta)$ is 1-connected. Since $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta)$ is at least 2-connected for every $q > 2$ by Lemma 2.32, it follows that $\mathsf{f}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta) \to \mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta)$ is 1-connected for every integer q. □

Lemma 3.27: *Let (S, Λ) be a compatible pair such that S is the spectrum of a field F. The canonical map $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta \to \mathsf{sc}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta)$ is 1-connected.*

Proof. We show that the homotopy fiber $\mathrm{holim}_q \mathsf{f}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta)$ is 1-connected. In fact, since $\mathsf{f}_{q+1}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta) \to \mathsf{f}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta)$ is 1-connected for $q \geq 3$ by Lemma 2.32, it suffices to prove that $\mathsf{f}_3(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta)$ is 1-connected. This follows from the case $q = 2$ of Lemma 3.26. □

3.3 Cellularity

The following definitions modelled on [10, §2,8] and [25, §2] are tailored for dealing with convergence of the slice filtration.

Attaching a cell to E refers to the process of forming the pushout of some diagram

$$D^{s+1,t}\mathbf{1} \hookleftarrow \Sigma^{s,t}\mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{f} \mathsf{E}. \tag{3.2}$$

The leftmost map in (3.2) denotes the canonical inclusion into the simplicial mapping cylinder $D^{s+1,t}\mathbf{1}$ of $\Sigma^{s,t}\mathbf{1} \to *$. Thus the pushout D consists of E together with a cell of *dimension* $(s+1, t)$ and *weight* t. More generally, one may attach a collection of cells indexed by some set I by forming the pushout of some diagram

$$\bigvee_{i \in I} D^{s_i,t_i}\mathbf{1} \hookleftarrow \bigvee_{i \in I} \Sigma^{s_i,t_i}\mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{f} \mathsf{E}.$$

A *cell presentation* of $f\colon \mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{E}$ consists of a sequence of motivic spectra

$$\mathsf{D} = \mathsf{D}_{-1} \xrightarrow{d_0} \mathsf{D}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{D}'_0 \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathsf{D}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{D}'_1 \to \cdots \xrightarrow{d_n} \mathsf{D}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{D}'_n \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} \cdots \tag{3.3}$$

along with the canonical map to the colimit $c\colon \mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{D}_\infty$, and attaching maps

$$\bigvee_{i \in I_n} \Sigma^{s_i,t_i}\mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} \mathsf{D}'_{n-1}$$

such that D_n is obtained by attaching cells to D'_{n-1} along α_n, and a weak equivalence $w\colon \mathsf{D}_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{E}$ satisfying $w \circ c = f$. Here, maps labelled with $\sim$ in (3.3) are acyclic cofibrations.

A cell presentation $\mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{D}_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{E}$ of a map $\mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{E}$ is of *finite type* if the following hold:

1. There exists an integer k such that $\mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{D}_\infty$ contains no cells in dimension (s,t) for $s - t < k$.
2. For every integer n, $\mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{D}_\infty$ contains at most finitely many cells of dimension (s,t) for $s - t = n$.

Remark 3.28: A cell presentation of $* \to \mathsf{E}$ is simply called a cell presentation of E – the most important (absolute) case. The distinction between a motivic spectrum and a cell presentation thereof will often be suppressed.

Remark 3.29: The same definitions apply to Λ-local motivic spectra. However, a Λ-cell presentation of finite type need not be a cell presentation of finite type.

Example 3.30: The suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty \mathbf{P}^\infty$ admits a cell presentation of finite type with one cell of dimension $(2n, n)$ for every natural number n. If E admits a cell presentation of finite type, then so does $\Sigma^{m,n}\mathsf{E}$. The (s,t)-cells of E become $(s+m, t+n)$-cells of $\Sigma^{m,n}\mathsf{E}$.

Proposition 3.31: *The motivic spectrum* $\mathbf{MGL}$ *admits a cell presentation of finite type. If* (S, Λ) *is a compatible pair, then* $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$ *admits a* Λ*-cell presentation of finite type.*

Proof. Example 3.30 shows the suspension spectrum of $\mathbf{MGL}_n$ admits a cell presentation of finite type if $n = 1$; the general case follows similarly. We conclude for $\mathbf{MGL}$ using Lemma 3.35 below. The result for $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$ was proven in [23, Proposition 8.1] for base schemes that are essentially smooth over a field, and more generally in [59, Corollary 11.4]. Here we use Definition 2.1 (1) of a compatible pair. □

Next we turn to a few auxiliary results on cell presentations.

Lemma 3.32: *A motivic spectrum is cellular if and only if it has a cell presentation.*

Proof. Let E be a fibrant cellular motivic spectrum. To construct a cell presentation, let

$$\mathsf{D}_0 := \bigvee_{\alpha \in \pi_{*,*}\mathsf{E}} \Sigma^{*,*}\mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\vee \alpha} \mathsf{E}.$$

Then $\mathsf{D}_0 \to \mathsf{E}$ factors through an acyclic cofibration $\mathsf{D}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{D}'_0$ and a fibration $\mathsf{D}'_0 \to \mathsf{E}$. By construction, $\pi_{*,*}(\mathsf{D}'_0) \to \pi_{*,*}(\mathsf{E})$ is surjective. We choose lifts of generators of its kernel, and use these to attach cells to D'_0. Again, the resulting map $\mathsf{D}_1 \to \mathsf{E}$ factors through an acyclic cofibration and a fibration $\mathsf{D}'_1 \to \mathsf{E}$. The fibration is a $\pi_{*,*}$-surjection. Iterating this procedure leads to an $\pi_{*,*}$-isomorphism $\mathsf{D}_\infty = \operatorname{colim}_n \mathsf{D}_n \to \mathsf{E}$. Here, injectivity uses compactness of $\Sigma^{s,t}\mathbf{1}$ so that any element in the kernel lifts to a finite stage, and is therefore annihilated at the next stage. Now since D_∞ and E are cellular, the map $\mathsf{D}_\infty \to \mathsf{E}$ is a weak equivalence [10, Corollary 7.2]. The converse implication follows directly from the definitions. □

Lemma 3.33: *The cells in any Λ-cell presentation of finite type can be rearranged according to their connectivity.*

Proof. We suppress Λ in the notation for legibility. Without loss of generality, the cell presentation $\mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{E}_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{E}$ of the map $\mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{E}$ of fibrant motivic spectra is such that each step $\mathsf{D}'_n \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} \mathsf{D}_{n+1}$ consists of attaching exactly one cell of bidegree (s_n, t_n). And we may further assume that D'_n is fibrant. Now suppose there exist natural numbers $m < n$ such that $s_m - t_m > s_n - t_n$. Let k be the smallest natural number such that the sequence $(s_0 - t_0, s_1 - t_1, \ldots, s_k - t_k)$ is not increasing, so that $s_{k-1} - t_{k-1} > s_k - t_k$. Then the canonical map $\mathsf{D}'_{k-1} \to \mathsf{D}_k$ is $s_{k-1} - t_{k-1} - 1$-connected by Example 3.21. Thus the attaching map for the kth cell $\alpha_{k+1} \colon \Sigma^{s_k - 1, t_k} \to \mathsf{D}'_k$ considered as an element in $\pi_{s_k-1,t_k}\mathsf{D}'_k$, lifts to $\pi_{s_k-1,t_k}\mathsf{D}'_{k-1}$. Choose a representative $\beta_{k+1} \colon \Sigma^{s_k-1,t_k} \to \mathsf{D}'_{k-1}$ and a homotopy $H \colon \Sigma^{s_k-1,t_k} \wedge \Delta^1_+ \to \mathsf{D}'_k$ from $\Sigma^{s_k-1,t_k} \xrightarrow{\beta_{k+1}} \mathsf{D}'_{k-1} \xrightarrow{d_k} \mathsf{D}_k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{D}'_k$ to the attaching map $\alpha_{k+1} \colon \Sigma^{s_k-1,t_k} \to \mathsf{D}'_k$ for the kth cell. Factor the canonical map $\mathsf{D}'_{k-1} \to \mathsf{D}'_k$ as an acyclic cofibration $\mathsf{D}'_{k-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{C}'_{k-1}$, followed by a fibration $q \colon \mathsf{C}'_{k-1} \to \mathsf{D}'_k$. Lifting H in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^{s_k-1,t_k} \wedge \Delta^0_+ & \xrightarrow{\beta_{k+1}} & \mathsf{C}'_{k-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ \Sigma^{s_k-1,t_k} \wedge \Delta^1_+ & \xrightarrow{H} & \mathsf{D}'_k \end{array}$$

produces a homotopy whose restriction to the other inclusion is an attaching map

$$\gamma_k \colon \Sigma^{s_k-1,t_k} \to \mathsf{C}'_{k-1}.$$

Define C_k by attaching a cell with respect to γ_k and C_{k+1} by attaching a cell with respect to

$$\gamma_{k+1} \colon \Sigma^{s_{k-1}-1,t_{k-1}} \to \mathsf{D}'_{k-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{C}'_{k-1} \to \mathsf{C}_k.$$

The universal property of pushouts – applied twice – produces a map $v_{k+1} \colon \mathsf{C}_{k+1} \to \mathsf{D}_{k+1}$

and a commutative diagram of homotopy cofiber sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathsf{D}'_{k-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{D}_{k+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{D}_{k+1}/\mathsf{D}'_{k-1} \\
{\scriptstyle =}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle v_{k+1}} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle w} \\
\mathsf{D}'_{k-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{C}_{k+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{C}_{k+1}/\mathsf{D}'_{k-1} \\
{\scriptstyle \sim}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle =} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} \\
\mathsf{C}'_{k-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{C}_{k+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{C}_{k+1}/\mathsf{C}'_{k-1}
\end{array}$$

The map w is a weak equivalence by construction; its source and target are weakly equivalent to $\Sigma^{s_{k-1},t_{k-1}} \vee \Sigma^{s_k,t_k}$. Hence v_{k+1} is a weak equivalence, producing a cell presentation $\mathsf{C}_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{D}_\infty = \mathsf{E}'$ of higher connectivity. Iterating this finitely many times yields a cell presentation of E (with cells of the same degrees) in which the first $k+1$ cells are attached in their order of connectivity. Proceeding by induction on the cell filtration of E provides the desired statement. □

The following statement is essentially a modification of [25, Lemma 7].

Lemma 3.34: *Let k be an integer, and let $f\colon \mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{E}$ be a k-connected map of motivic spectra. If f admits a Λ-cell presentation of finite type then it admits a Λ-cell presentation of finite type, whose cells are at least k-connected.*

Proof. We may assume f is a map between bifibrant motivic spectra. Let

$$\mathsf{D} = \mathsf{D}_{-1} \to \mathsf{D}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{D}'_0 \to \mathsf{D}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{D}'_1 \to \ldots \to \mathsf{D}_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{E}$$

be a Λ-cell presentation of finite type, where D'_n is fibrant for every n. By Lemma 3.33 we may assume the Λ-cells are attached according to increasing connectivity. Consider the first attaching Λ-cell and $\mathsf{D}_0 = D^{s,t}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \cup_{\Sigma^{s-1,t}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda} \mathsf{D}_{-1}$. If $s-t \geq k$, there is nothing to prove. If $s-t < k$, the canonical map $(\Sigma^{s-1,t}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda, D^{s,t}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to (\mathsf{D}, \mathsf{E})$ lifts to (D, D) up to homotopy since $f\colon \mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{E}$ is k-connected. In other words, the attaching map $\Sigma^{s-1,t}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathsf{D}$ is homotopic to a constant map. Choose a homotopy $H\colon \Sigma^{s-1,t}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \wedge \Delta^1_+ \to \mathsf{D}$ from the given attaching map to the constant map, and let C_0 be the pushout of the diagram

$$D^{s-1,t}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \wedge \Delta^1_+ \longleftarrow \Sigma^{s-1,t}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \wedge \Delta^1_+ \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}.$$

There are canonical acyclic cofibrations $\mathsf{D}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{C}_0 \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathsf{D} \vee \Sigma^{s,t}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$. Attaching an $(s+1,t)$-Λ-cell via the map $\Sigma^{s,t}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathsf{D} \vee \Sigma^{s,t}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathsf{C}_0$ to C_0 produces a motivic spectrum B_0 receiving an acyclic cofibration from D. Let B'_0 be the pushout of the diagram

$$\mathsf{B}_0 \longleftarrow \mathsf{D}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{D}'_0.$$

The canonical map $\mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{B}'_0$ is an acyclic cofibration. Choose a retraction $\mathsf{B}'_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{D}$. By construction $\mathsf{D}'_0 \to \mathsf{E}$ has a Λ-cell presentation with precisely one Λ-cell of degree (s,t) less

than in the Λ-cell presentation of $f\colon \mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{E}$. Cobase change along $\mathsf{D}'_0 \to \mathsf{B}'_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{D}$ produces a Λ-cell presentation for the induced map $\mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{D}_\infty \cup_{\mathsf{D}'_0} \mathsf{D}$. The target has the homotopy type of $\mathsf{E} \vee \Sigma^{s+1,t}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ by construction. Thus attaching a Λ-cell of degree $(s+2,t)$ to $\mathsf{D}_\infty \cup_{\mathsf{D}'_0} \mathsf{D}$ via the canonical map produces a motivic spectrum mapping via a weak equivalence to E. Hence E admits a Λ-cell presentation with precisely one Λ-cell of degree (s,t) less than $\mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{D}_\infty$ and precisely one Λ-cell of degree $(s+2,t)$ more than $\mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{D}_\infty$. Iterating this procedure finitely many times provides the desired statement. □

Any map $\mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{E}$ of motivic spectra such that D and E admit Λ-cell presentations of finite type admits a Λ-cell presentation of finite type, as one may deduce from applying the simplicial mapping cylinder to it. Hence Lemma 3.34 applies to any map of motivic spectra admitting Λ-cell presentations of finite type.

Also [25, Lemma 8] requires a modification in our context.

Lemma 3.35: *Let $\big(g(n)\big)_n$ be an increasing sequence of integers diverging to $+\infty$. Suppose E is a Λ-cellular motivic spectrum. If for each integer n there exists a motivic spectrum E_n with a Λ-cell presentation of finite type and a $g(n)$-connected map $\mathsf{E}_n \to \mathsf{E}$, then E has a Λ-cell presentation of finite type.*

Proof. The assumption on the connectivity of $\mathsf{E}_n \to \mathsf{E}$ implies there exists a $g(n)$-connected map $f_n\colon \mathsf{E}_n \to \mathsf{E}_{n+1}$ such that the diagram



commutes up to homotopy. Lemma 3.34 implies f_n admits a Λ-cell presentation $w_n \circ c_n$ of finite type comprised of Λ-cells of connectivity at least $g(n)$. The colimit of the maps c_n yields the desired Λ-cell presentation of finite type. □

The following statement adapts [25, Comment after Lemma 8] to our notion of connectivity.

Lemma 3.36: *Let $g\colon \mathsf{E} \to \mathsf{C}$ be a k-connected map of motivic spectra for C cellular. Choose a cell presentation $c\colon \mathsf{C}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{C}$ and a fibrant replacement $e\colon \mathsf{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{E}^\dagger$. Then there exists a $k-1$-connected cellular inclusion $i\colon \mathsf{D} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{C}'$ and a $k-1$-connected map $h\colon \mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{E}^\dagger$ such that $g \circ e^{-1} \circ h$ equals $c \circ i$ in the homotopy category. In particular, if C' is of finite type or has no cells below a fixed weight, then the same holds for D.*

Proof. Choose a diagram $* = \mathsf{C}'_0 \to \mathsf{C}'_1 \to \cdots$, with C'_{n+1} obtained by attaching cells to C'_n, whose colimit is C'. Let D be the motivic spectrum comprised of all cells in C' of dimension (s,t) with $s-t \leq k$. It is constructed inductively by restricting the corresponding attaching map. Note that, due to Example 3.21, the restriction of the nth attaching map to cells of

dimension (s,t) with $s-t \leq k$ to C'_n factors through $\mathsf{D}_n \to \mathsf{C}'_n$ up to homotopy. This allows us to form the motivic spectrum D_{n+1}.

The reverse map $\mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{E}$ in **SH** can now be constructed inductively, starting with the point. Suppose $h_n \colon \mathsf{D}_n \to \mathsf{E}$ has been constructed with $g \circ h_n = i_n$ in **SH**, where $i_n \colon \mathsf{D}_n \to \mathsf{C}'_n \to \mathsf{C}'$ is the inclusion described above. Since $g \colon \mathsf{E} \to \mathsf{C}$ is k-connected, every map $\Sigma^{s,t}\mathbf{1} \to \mathsf{D}_n \to \mathsf{C}'_n \to \mathsf{C}$ with $s-t<k$ lifts to E. This yields a map $h_{n+1} \colon \mathsf{D}_{n+1} \to \mathsf{E}$ such that $g \circ h_{n+1} = i_{n+1}$ in **SH**. The inclusion $\mathsf{D} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{C}'$ is $k-1$-connected by Example 3.21, hence so is h. □

Lemma 3.37: *If E is a k-connected cellular motivic spectrum, then $\mathsf{f}_n\mathsf{E}$ and $\mathsf{s}_n\mathsf{E}$ are k-connected for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathsf{sc}(\mathsf{E})$ is at least $k-1$-connected.*

Proof. The result holds for the motivic sphere spectrum $\mathbf{1}$ by Theorem 2.12, and hence for all spheres. To conclude we note that f_n and s_n commute with homotopy colimits, and a homotopy limit of k-connected motivic spectra is at least $k-1$-connected. □

3.4 Convergence

Definition 3.38: A motivic spectrum E is called *slice-connected* if for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists a natural number a_n with the properties:

1. The nth slice $\mathsf{s}_n\mathsf{E}$ is a_n-connected.
2. The sequence (a_n) is non-decreasing and diverges to $+\infty$.

Definition 3.39: A motivic spectrum E is called Λ-*slice-finitary* if for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exist natural numbers $a_n \leq b_n$ and a finite collection $\{C_{n,0}, C_{n,1}, \ldots, C_{n,b_n}\}$ of finitely presented Λ-modules with the properties:

1. The nth slice $\mathsf{s}_n\mathsf{E}$ is weakly equivalent to the (finite) sum of motivic Eilenberg-MacLane spectra $\Sigma^{n+a_n,n}\mathbf{M}C_{n,0} \vee \Sigma^{n+a_n+1,n}\mathbf{M}C_{n,1} \vee \cdots \vee \Sigma^{n+b_n,n}\mathbf{M}C_{n,b_n}$.
2. The sequence (a_n) is non-decreasing and diverges to $+\infty$.
3. There exists an integer e such that $\mathsf{f}_e\mathsf{E} = \mathsf{E}$.

A $\mathbb{Z}$-slice-finitary motivic spectrum is simply called slice-finitary.

Example 3.40: Algebraic cobordism is Λ-slice-finitary. The Moore spectrum $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/p^m$ is Λ-slice-finitary for every odd prime p and natural number m. The motivic spectrum $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda[\frac{1}{2}]$ is slice-connected, but not necessarily Λ-slice-finitary. In fact,

$$a_n = \begin{cases} n & n \text{ odd} \\ n-1 & n \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

is a sequence of lower bounds for the connectivity of the slices of $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda[\frac{1}{2}]$. However, its first effective cover $\mathsf{f}_1\mathbf{1}_\Lambda[\frac{1}{2}]$ is Λ-slice-finitary. Theorem 2.34 implies that $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/\eta^q$ is Λ-slice-finitary for all natural numbers q.

Lemma 3.41: *A Λ-slice-finitary motivic spectrum is slice-connected.*

Proof. This follows from the isomorphisms for $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_S$

$$\begin{aligned}[\Sigma^{s,t}X_+, \mathsf{s}_n\mathsf{E}] &\cong [\Sigma^{s,t}X_+, \Sigma^{n+a_n,n}\mathbf{M}C_{n,0} \vee \cdots \vee \Sigma^{n+b_n,n}\mathbf{M}C_{n,b_n}] \\ &\cong H^{n+a_n-s,n-t}(X; C_{n,0}) \oplus \cdots \oplus H^{n+b_n-s,n-t}(X; C_{n,b_n})\end{aligned}$$

and the condition on the motivic Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum in Definition 3.19. □

Lemma 3.42: *The full subcategories of slice-connected and Λ-slice-finitary motivic spectra of* **SH** *are triangulated.*

Proof. For slice-connected motivic spectra this follows since s_n is a triangulated functor. Lemma A.3 allows us to conclude for Λ-slice-finitary motivic spectra. □

Lemma 3.43: *Let E be a motivic spectrum which is slice-connected. Choose a sequence (a_n) according to Definition 3.38. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the maps $\mathsf{sc}(\mathsf{E}) \to \mathsf{f}^{n-1}\mathsf{E}$ and $\operatorname{holim}_q \mathsf{f}_q(\mathsf{E}) \to \mathsf{f}_n\mathsf{E}$ are a_n-connected.*

Proof. If $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_S$ is of dimension at most d, the homotopy cofiber sequence

$$\mathsf{s}_n(\mathsf{E}) \to \mathsf{f}^{n+1}(\mathsf{E}) \to \mathsf{f}^n(\mathsf{E}) \to \Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_n(\mathsf{E})$$

implies that $[\Sigma^{s,t}X_+, \mathsf{f}^{n+1}(\mathsf{E}) \to \mathsf{f}^n(\mathsf{E})]$ is an isomorphism for $s - t + d < a_n$. Since (a_n) is non-decreasing and diverges to $+\infty$, the map $\mathsf{f}^{n+m}(\mathsf{E}) \to \mathsf{f}^n(\mathsf{E})$ is a_n-connected for every natural number m. Thus the $\lim^1$-term in the lim-$\lim^1$-short exact sequence for

$$[\Sigma^{s,t}X_+, \mathsf{sc}(\mathsf{E}) = \operatorname*{holim}_{q\to\infty} \mathsf{f}^{q-1}\mathsf{E}]$$

vanishes, and there is an isomorphism

$$[\Sigma^{s,t}X_+, \mathsf{sc}(\mathsf{E})] \cong \lim_{q\to\infty} [\Sigma^{s,t}X_+\mathsf{f}^{q-1}\mathsf{E}]$$

for all s, t and $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_S$. The analogous statement for $\operatorname{holim}_q \mathsf{f}_q(\mathsf{E})$ holds too. In particular, the asserted connectivity holds. □

Lemma 3.44: *Let $\alpha \mapsto \mathsf{E}_\alpha$ be an I-indexed diagram of slice-connected motivic spectra. Suppose there exists a non-decreasing sequence (a_n) with $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = +\infty$ such that $\mathsf{s}_n\mathsf{E}_\alpha$ is at least a_n-connected for every α. Then the homotopy colimit*

$$\operatorname*{hocolim}_{\alpha\in I} \mathsf{E}_\alpha$$

is slice-connected, and the nth slice $\mathsf{s}_n(\operatorname{hocolim}_{\alpha\in I}\mathsf{E}_\alpha)$ *is at least* a_n*-connected. Moreover, there is a natural weak equivalence*

$$\operatorname*{hocolim}_{\alpha\in I}\mathsf{sc}(\mathsf{E}_\alpha)\to\mathsf{sc}\big(\operatorname*{hocolim}_{\alpha\in I}\mathsf{E}_\alpha\big).$$

Proof. The first part follows because s_n and f^n commute with homotopy colimits, and connectivity is preserved under homotopy colimits. The second part follows from Lemma 3.43. □

Proposition 3.45: *If* E *is a* Λ*-slice-finitary motivic spectrum then the slice completion* $\mathsf{sc}(\mathsf{E})$ *has a* Λ*-cell presentation of finite type.*

Proof. Lemma 3.43 implies that $\mathsf{sc}(\mathsf{E})\to\mathsf{f}^n\mathsf{E}$ is a_n-connected. The assumption on E implies that all slices have a Λ-cell presentation of finite type by Proposition 3.31. Since E is e-effective for some integer e, the motivic spectra $\mathsf{f}^n\mathsf{E}$ are e-effective for all n and have Λ-cell presentations of finite type. Lemma 3.36 allows us to reverse the canonical maps in order to produce an a_n-1-connected map $\mathsf{D}_n\to\mathsf{sc}(\mathsf{E})$ for every $n\geq e$. Note that the reversion process can be accustomed to produce an a_n-1-connected map $\mathsf{D}_n\to\mathsf{D}_{n+1}$ for every $n\geq e$, which commutes up to homotopy with the reversed maps. This produces an ∞-connected map $\operatorname{hocolim}_n\mathsf{D}_n\to\mathsf{sc}(\mathsf{E})$. In particular, $\mathsf{sc}(\mathsf{E})$ has a Λ-cell presentation of finite type by Lemma 3.35. □

Proposition 3.46: *Let* E *be a* Λ*-slice-finitary motivic spectrum with a cell presentation of finite type. Then* $\operatorname{holim}_q\mathsf{f}_q(\mathsf{E})$ *admits a cell presentation of finite type, and its slices are trivial.*

Proof. Lemma 3.43 implies the canonical map $\operatorname{holim}_q\mathsf{f}_q(\mathsf{E})\to\mathsf{f}_n(\mathsf{E})$ is a_n-connected. Since E is slice-connected and the condition on the orders appearing in the slices holds, the slices of E are cellular of finite type by Proposition 3.31. Since E is of finite type, in particular effective, the effective covers of E are all of finite type. Lemma 3.36 then reverses the canonical map $\operatorname{holim}_q\mathsf{f}_q(\mathsf{E})\to\mathsf{f}_n(\mathsf{E})$ to produce an a_n-1-connected map $\mathsf{D}_n\to\operatorname{holim}_q\mathsf{f}_q(\mathsf{E})$, with $\mathsf{D}_n\hookrightarrow\mathsf{f}_n(\mathsf{E})$ a cellular inclusion. In particular, D_n is of finite type, with no cells of weight strictly less than n. As in the proof of Proposition 3.45, one may produce a sequential diagram of the motivic spectra D_n and an ∞-connected map

$$\operatorname*{hocolim}_n\mathsf{D}_n\to\operatorname*{holim}_q\mathsf{f}_q(\mathsf{E}).$$

In particular, this map is a weak equivalence. Hence the map induces a weak equivalence on slices. Since slices commute with homotopy colimits and D_n is n-effective for all n, the slices of $\operatorname{holim}_q\mathsf{f}_q(\mathsf{E})$ are trivial. Moreover, $\operatorname{hocolim}_n\mathsf{D}_n$ is of finite type. □

Lemma 3.47: *Let* Φ *be an endofunctor of the category of motivic spectra which commutes with homotopy colimits, commutes with* $\Sigma^{a,b}$ *up to weak equivalence, preserves cellularity, and preserves connectivity in the sense that* $\operatorname{conn}(\Phi(\mathsf{E}))$-$\operatorname{conn}(\mathsf{E})$ *is constant. If the canonical map*

$$\Phi(\mathbf{1})\to\mathsf{sc}(\Phi(\mathbf{1}))$$

is 1*-connected, then for every cellular motivic spectrum* E *of finite type the canonical map*

$$\Phi(\mathsf{E}) \to \mathsf{sc}(\Phi(\mathsf{E}))$$

is $1 + \mathrm{conn}(\mathsf{E})$*-connected.*

Proof. Induction on the number of cells implies that the statement holds for motivic spectra with only finitely many cells. If E is cellular of finite type, consider the homotopy cofiber sequence

$$\Phi(\mathsf{E}_{(n)}) \to \Phi(\mathsf{E}) \to \Phi(\mathsf{E}/\mathsf{E}_{(n)}) \to \Sigma^{1,0}\Phi(\mathsf{E}_{(n)}),$$

where $\mathsf{E}_{(n)}$ is the subspectrum of E comprising the first n cells. Since the connectivity of $\mathsf{E}/\mathsf{E}_{(n)}$ increases with n, and hence by assumption on Φ and Lemma 3.37 also the connectivity of the slice completion $\mathsf{sc}(\Phi(\mathsf{E}/\mathsf{E}_{(n)}))$, the Lemma follows. □

Corollary 3.48: *Let* (S, Λ) *be a compatible pair such that* S *is the spectrum of a field. Suppose* E *has a* Λ*-cell presentation of finite type. Then the canonical map*

$$\mathsf{E}/\eta \to \mathsf{sc}(\mathsf{E}/\eta)$$

is $1 + \mathrm{conn}(\mathsf{E})$*-connected.*

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 3.27 and 3.47. □

Proposition 3.49: *Let* (S, Λ) *be a compatible pair such that* S *is the spectrum of a field. Suppose* E *has a* Λ*-cell presentation of finite type. Then the canonical map* $\mathsf{E}/\eta \to \mathsf{sc}(\mathsf{E}/\eta)$ *is a weak equivalence.*

Proof. There is a commutative diagram of homotopy cofiber sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{holim}_q \mathsf{f}_q(\mathsf{E}/\eta) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{E}/\eta & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{sc}(\mathsf{E}/\eta) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathsf{sc}\big(\mathrm{holim}_q \mathsf{f}_q(\mathsf{E}/\eta)\big) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{sc}(\mathsf{E}/\eta) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{sc}\big(\mathsf{sc}(\mathsf{E}/\eta)\big) \end{array} \tag{3.4}$$

Example 3.40 implies that E/η is slice-finitary. By Proposition 3.46 the right vertical map in (3.4) is a weak equivalence. Note that $\mathsf{sc}(\mathrm{holim}_q \mathsf{f}_q(\mathsf{E}/\eta))$ is contractible, thanks to Proposition 3.46. The homotopy limit $\mathrm{holim}_q \mathsf{f}_q(\mathsf{E}/\eta)$ is $1 + \mathrm{conn}(\mathsf{E})$-connected by Corollary 3.48, and cellular of finite type by Proposition 3.46. Applying Corollary 3.48 to $\mathrm{holim}_q \mathsf{f}_q(\mathsf{E}/\eta)$ shows that the left vertical map in (3.4) is $2 + \mathrm{conn}(\mathsf{E})$-connected. By the five lemma the middle vertical map in (3.4) is $2 + \mathrm{conn}(\mathsf{E})$-connected. Thus the left vertical map in (3.4) is $3 + \mathrm{conn}(\mathsf{E})$-connected. Iterating this argument finishes the proof. □

We can now give a criterion for when the slice completion coincides with the η-completion.

Theorem 3.50: *Let (S, Λ) be a compatible pair such that S is the spectrum of a field. Suppose E has a Λ-cell presentation of finite type. There is a canonical zig-zag of weak equivalences between the slice completion $\mathsf{sc}(\mathsf{E})$ and the η-completion $\mathsf{E}^\wedge_\eta$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.14 and Proposition 3.49. □

4 Differentials for the motivic sphere spectrum

We start this section by describing components of the first slice differential for $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$, where (S, Λ) is a compatible pair, and eventually S is the spectrum of a field. We may assume throughout that S is connected. Later we discuss higher differentials in order to compute the zeroth and first motivic stable stems.

4.1 The first differential

Recall that $\mathrm{Ext}^{1,2i}_{\mathbf{MU}_*\mathbf{MU}}(\mathbf{MU}_*, \mathbf{MU}_*)$ is a finite cyclic group of order a_{2i}, see Appendix B. Its p-primary component $\mathrm{Ext}^{1,2i}_{\mathbf{BP}_*\mathbf{BP}}(\mathbf{BP}_*, \mathbf{BP}_*)$ is generated by $\overline{\alpha}_i$. We denote motivic Steenrod operations by Sq^i [66, §9]. Let τ denote the generator of $h^{0,1} \cong \mu_2(\mathcal{O}_S)$ when S has no points of residue field characteristic two, and let ρ denote the class of -1 in $h^{1,1} \cong \mathcal{O}_S^\times/2$. Recall from Lemma 2.30 that σ_{2q} denotes the unique nontrivial map from $\Sigma^{4q-1,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q}$ to $\Sigma^{4q,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$. We refer to Theorem A.5 for properties of the naturally induced maps $\mathrm{inc}_{2q}\colon \Sigma^{4q,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \to \Sigma^{4q,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q}$ and $\partial_{2q}\colon \Sigma^{4q-1,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \to \Sigma^{4q,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q}$. Recall the slices of $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ from Theorem 2.12 and Corollary 2.13.

Lemma 4.1: *In slice degrees $0 \le q \le 3$, $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(q)\colon \mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to \Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_{q+1}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ is given by:*

$$\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(0) = \mathsf{Sq}^2\mathrm{pr}\colon \mathbf{M}\Lambda \to \mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \to \Sigma^{2,1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$$
$$\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(1) = (\mathsf{Sq}^2, \mathrm{inc}_2\mathsf{Sq}^2\mathsf{Sq}^1)\colon \Sigma^{1,1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \to \Sigma^{3,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{4,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/12$$
$$\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(2) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{Sq}^2 & \tau\sigma_2 \\ \mathsf{Sq}^3\mathsf{Sq}^1 & \mathsf{Sq}^2\sigma_2 \end{pmatrix} : \Sigma^{2,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{3,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/12 \to \Sigma^{4,3}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{6,3}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$$
$$\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(3) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{Sq}^2 & \tau \\ \mathsf{Sq}^3\mathsf{Sq}^1 & \mathsf{Sq}^2 + \rho\mathsf{Sq}^1 \end{pmatrix} : \Sigma^{3,3}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{5,3}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \to \Sigma^{5,4}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{7,4}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$$

For $q \ge 4$, $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(q)$ restricts to the direct summand $\Sigma^{q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{q+2,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ of $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{Sq}^2 & \tau \\ \mathsf{Sq}^3\mathsf{Sq}^1 & \mathsf{Sq}^2 + \rho\mathsf{Sq}^1 \end{pmatrix} : \Sigma^{q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{q+2,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \to \Sigma^{q+2,q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{q+4,q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2.$$

Here, $\Sigma^{q+2,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ is generated by $\alpha_1^{q-3}\alpha_3 \in \mathrm{Ext}^{q-2,2q}_{\mathbf{BP}_*\mathbf{BP}}(\mathbf{BP}_*, \mathbf{BP}_*)$. Moreover, $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(q)$ re-

stricts as follows on direct summands of $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$*:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{inc}_{2q}\mathsf{Sq}^2\mathsf{Sq}^1 + c_{2q}\partial_{2q}\mathsf{Sq}^2 &\colon \Sigma^{4q-3,2q-1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \to \Sigma^{4q,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q} \\
\mathsf{Sq}^2\sigma_{2q} &\colon \Sigma^{4q-1,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q} \to \Sigma^{4q+2,2q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \\
\tau\sigma_{2q} &\colon \Sigma^{4q-1,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q} \to \Sigma^{4q,2q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \qquad q \text{ odd} \\
0 &\colon \Sigma^{4q-1,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q} \to \Sigma^{4q,2q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \qquad q \text{ even}
\end{aligned}$$

Here, $\Sigma^{4q-3,2q-1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ *and* $\Sigma^{4q-1,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q}$ *are generated by* α_{2q-1} *and* $\alpha_{q/n}$*, respectively.*

Proof. The unit map $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{KGL}_\Lambda$ and mod-2 reduction map $\mathbf{KGL}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{KGL}_\Lambda/2$ for the algebraic K-theory spectrum induce a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{KGL}_\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}} & \mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{KGL}_\Lambda/2) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{KGL}_\Lambda}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{KGL}_\Lambda/2}} \\
\Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{KGL}_\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}} & \Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{KGL}_\Lambda/2)
\end{array}$$

The map $\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to \mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{KGL}_\Lambda)$ is an isomorphism by [52, Theorem 4.1]. We have $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{KGL}_\Lambda/2} = \mathsf{Sq}^2\mathsf{Sq}^1 + \mathsf{Sq}^1\mathsf{Sq}^2$ by [52, Lemma 5.1], where it is shown over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$. Thus the composite $\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to \Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{KGL}_\Lambda/2)$ is $\mathsf{Sq}^1\mathsf{Sq}^2\mathrm{pr}$. It follows that $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}\colon \mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to \Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ and $\mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to \mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{KGL}_\Lambda/2)$ are nontrivial. This implies $\mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to \mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{KGL}_\Lambda/2)$ is given by Sq^1 and $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(0) = \mathsf{Sq}^2\mathrm{pr}$ (see e.g., [52, Lemma A.4]).

By [52, Lemma 2.1] the Hopf map $\eta\colon \Sigma^{1,1}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Sigma^{1,1}\mathsf{s}_{q-1}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathsf{s}_q(\Sigma^{1,1}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{s}_q(\eta)} & \mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Sigma^{1,1}\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathsf{d}_1^{\Sigma^{1,1}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}} \\
\Sigma^{2,1}\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_{q+1}(\Sigma^{1,1}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_{q+1}(\eta)} & \Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_{q+1}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)
\end{array} \tag{4.1}$$

for every integer q. The maps $\mathsf{s}_q(\eta)$ and $\Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_{q+1}(\eta)$ in (4.1) are known on the two bottom direct summands by Lemma 2.32. If $q \geq 1$, the computation of the motivic Steenrod algebra (see e.g., [52, Lemma A.2]) shows there exists an element $\phi \in h^{1,1}$ such that $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(q) = \mathsf{Sq}^2 + \phi\mathsf{Sq}^1$ when restricted to the direct summand $\Sigma^{q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ of $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ generated by α_1^q. Adem relations (see e.g., [24, Theorem 5.1]) imply the equation

$$(\mathsf{Sq}^2 + \phi\mathsf{Sq}^1)^2 = \tau\mathsf{Sq}^1\mathsf{Sq}^2\mathsf{Sq}^1 + \phi(\mathsf{Sq}^1\mathsf{Sq}^2 + \mathsf{Sq}^2\mathsf{Sq}^1).$$

Since a differential squares to zero, $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(q)$ must map $\Sigma^{q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ nontrivially to some direct summand of $\Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_{q+1}(\mathbf{1})$ other than $\Sigma^{q+2,q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$. Comparing with motivic cohomology operations of weight one and using Adem relations yields

$$\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(q)_{|\Sigma^{q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{q+2,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{Sq}^2 & \tau \\ \mathsf{Sq}^3\mathsf{Sq}^1 & \mathsf{Sq}^2 + \rho\mathsf{Sq}^1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $q > 4$. It follows that $\phi = 0$ for all q.

The differential $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}\colon \mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to \Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ by given by

$$(\mathsf{Sq}^2, b_2\mathrm{inc}_2\mathsf{Sq}^2\mathsf{Sq}^1 + c_2\partial_2\mathsf{Sq}^2)\colon \Sigma^{1,1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \to \Sigma^{3,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{4,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/12,$$

where $b_2, c_2 \in h^{0,0}$ by Theorem A.5. The Adem relation $\mathsf{Sq}^2\mathsf{Sq}^2 = \tau\mathsf{Sq}^3\mathsf{Sq}^1$ implies $b_2 = 1$. In Example 4.3 we use the multiplicative structure of the slices of $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ to show $c_2 = 0$.

Next, Theorem A.5 shows that $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(2)$ equals

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{Sq}^2 & x\tau\sigma_2 + \phi\mathrm{pr}_2 \\ \mathsf{Sq}^3\mathsf{Sq}^1 & y\mathsf{Sq}^2\sigma_2 + z\mathsf{Sq}^1\mathsf{Sq}^2\mathrm{pr}_2 \end{pmatrix} : \Sigma^{2,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{3,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/12 \to \Sigma^{4,3}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{6,3}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2,$$

where $x, y, z \in h^{0,0}$ and $\phi \in h^{1,1}$. The first column is obtained as in the case $q > 4$. Since $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(2)\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(1) = 0$, we obtain $x = y = 1$. Likewise, $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(3)\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(2) = 0$ and $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(3)$ maps to $\Sigma^{4,3}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ via $\mathsf{Sq}^2 + \tau$, so we obtain $\phi = 0$ and $z = 0$.

Next we determine $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(3)$ and $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(4)$. The argument for $q > 4$ shows the only ambiguity concerns the direct summand $\Sigma^{6,4}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \oplus \Sigma^{6,4}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ corresponding to the generators $\alpha_1\alpha_3$ and $\beta_{2/2}$, cf. Corollary 2.13 and [49, Theorem 5.3.7, Table A3.3]. Theorem 2.20 implies

$$\mathsf{s}_4(\nu^2)\colon \mathsf{s}_4(\Sigma^{6,4}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to \mathsf{s}_4(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$$

induces the unit map to the direct summand $\Sigma^{6,4}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2\{\beta_{2/2}\}$, since ν^2 corresponds to $\beta_{2/2}$ (see [49, Table A.3.3]). Here, $\nu\colon \Sigma^{3,2}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ is the second motivic Hopf map, whose homotopy cofiber is the quaternionic projective plane. Now $\Sigma^{6,4}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2\{\beta_{2/2}\}$ maps trivially to $\mathsf{s}_4(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$ under the unit map because $\nu \wedge \mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$ is trivial. The latter follows from the quaternionic projective bundle theorem for $\mathbf{KQ}$ [46, Theorem 9.2], or equivalently from the vanishing $\pi_{3,2}\mathbf{KQ} = GW^{[2]}_{-1}(S) = KSp_{-1}(S) = 0$ which can be deduced from [56, Prop. 6.3]. Lemma 2.30 combined with the computation of $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda}$ in [52, Theorem 5.5] identify $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(3)$ and $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(4)$ entering and exiting $\Sigma^{6,4}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2\{\alpha_1\alpha_3\}$, and also $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(4)$ exiting $\Sigma^{6,4}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2\{\beta_{2/2}\}$.

Also the last four equations are obtained by comparing with $\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$ using Lemma 2.30. For degree reasons, any differential exiting a direct summand of $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ of simplicial degree at least $q+3$ maps trivially to the direct summand $\Sigma^{q+2,q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ of $\Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_{q+1}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$. Since multiplication by $\tau\colon \mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \to \Sigma^{0,1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ is injective on the motivic Steenrod algebra, any differential exiting a direct summand of $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ of simplicial degree at least $q+3$ maps trivially to the direct summand $\Sigma^{q+4,q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ of $\Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_{q+1}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$. □

Lemma 4.2: *At the prime two, restricting the differential* $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(q+3)$ *to the direct summand generated by* $\alpha_1^{q-3}\alpha_{6/3} \in \mathrm{Ext}^{q-2,2q+6}_{\mathbf{BP}_*\mathbf{BP}}(\mathbf{BP}_*, \mathbf{BP}_*)$ *yields*

$$\tau\mathrm{pr}\colon \Sigma^{11,6}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/8 \to \Sigma^{11,7}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$$

for $q = 3$, *and*

$$\tau\colon \Sigma^{q+8,q+3}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \to \Sigma^{q+8,q+4}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$$

for $q > 3$. Moreover, restricting the differential $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(q+4)$ to the direct summand generated by $\alpha_1^{q-3}\alpha_7 \in \mathrm{Ext}^{q-2,2q+8}_{\mathbf{BP}_*\mathbf{BP}}(\mathbf{BP}_*, \mathbf{BP}_*)$ yields

$$\tau\colon \Sigma^{q+10,q+4}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \to \Sigma^{q+10,q+5}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$$

for $q \geq 3$.

Proof. In the topological Adams-Novikov spectral sequence the d_3-differential maps $\alpha_1^{q-3}\alpha_{6/3}$ to $\alpha_1^q\alpha_{4/4}$, and $\alpha_1^{q-3}\alpha_7$ to $\alpha_1^q\alpha_5$ [68, Property 2.3, Table 2]. Topological realization, e.g., [30, Theorem 1], shows the corresponding restrictions of $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(q+3)$ and $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(q+4)$ are nontrivial, at least over fields of characteristic zero. The general case follows by base change from $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$. □

By taking into account the primes three and seven we find that $\mathsf{d}_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(6)$ restricts to

$$\tau\mathrm{pr}\colon \Sigma^{11,6}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/504 \to \Sigma^{11,7}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2.$$

We summarize the computations of the first slice differential for $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ with a table. The notation is mostly self-explanatory. An entry represents a shifted motivic Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum for varying coefficients determined by the Ext groups $\mathrm{Ext}^{p,2q}_{\mathbf{MU}_*\mathbf{MU}}(\mathbf{MU}_*, \mathbf{MU}_*)$ as in Theorem 2.12, cf. Corollary 2.13. The slices of $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ are labeled successively along the vertical axis. In the horizontal direction, each direct summand of a fixed slice is labelled according to the difference between the simplicial suspension of the direct summand and the slice degree. The first differentials are indicated by different colors corresponding to the elements of the motivic Steenrod algebra listed on the right hand side of the table, ordered by simplicial degree. An open square refers to integral (or rather Λ-) coefficients and solid dots to $\Lambda/2$-coefficients. The asterisk in the second slice indicates $\Lambda/12$-coefficients, and similarly for $\Lambda/2 \oplus \Lambda/2$-, $\Lambda/240$-, $\Lambda/6$-, and $\Lambda/504$-coefficients given in Corollary 2.13.



Example 4.3: Recall the first Chern class $\mathbf{c}_1 \in H^{2,1}(\mathbf{P}^2) = [\Sigma^{-2,-1}\mathbf{P}^2_+, \mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)]$ of the tautological line bundle of $\mathbf{P}^2$. The element $\mathsf{Sq}^2\mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbf{c}_1) = \mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbf{c}_1)^2 \in h^{4,2}(\mathbf{P}^2)$ is nonzero. Lemma 2.23 computes the cup-product of $\tau \in h^{0,1}(\mathbf{P}^2) = [\Sigma^{1,0}\mathbf{P}^2_+, \mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)]$ with $\mathbf{c}_1$, i.e.,

$$\tau \cdot \mathbf{c}_1 = \tau\mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbf{c}_1) \in h^{2,2}(\mathbf{P}^2) = [\Sigma^{-1,-1}\mathbf{P}^2_+, \mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)].$$

Applying the first slice differential yields

$$\begin{aligned} d_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(\tau \cdot \mathbf{c}_1) &= \big(\mathsf{Sq}^2(\tau\mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbf{c}_1)), \mathrm{inc}_2\mathsf{Sq}^2\mathsf{Sq}^1(\tau\mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbf{c}_1)) + c_2\partial_2\mathsf{Sq}^2(\tau\mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbf{c}_1))\big) \\ &= \big(\tau\mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbf{c}_1)^2, \mathrm{inc}_2\rho\mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbf{c}_1)^2 + c_2\partial_2\tau\mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbf{c}_1)^2\big) \\ &= \big(\tau\mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbf{c}_1)^2, c_2\partial_2\tau\mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbf{c}_1)^2\big). \end{aligned}$$

If $0 \neq \rho \in h^{1,1}(S)$, then the element $\partial_2\tau\mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbf{c}_1)^2$ is nonzero because $\mathsf{Sq}^1 = \mathrm{pr}_2\partial_2$ and $\mathsf{Sq}^1\tau\mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbf{c}_1)^2 = \rho\mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbf{c}_1)^2 \in h^{5,3}(\mathbf{P}^2)$. The change of coefficients long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to h^{4,3}_6(\mathbf{P}^2) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Sq}^1} h^{5,3}(\mathbf{P}^2) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{inc}_2} h^{5,3}_{12}(\mathbf{P}^2) \to \cdots.$$

implies $\mathrm{inc}_2\rho\mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbf{c}_1)^2 = 0$. Next, by the Leibniz rule 2.24

$$\begin{aligned} d_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(\tau \cdot \mathbf{c}_1) &= d_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(\tau) \cdot \mathbf{c}_1 + \tau \cdot d_1^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(\mathbf{c}_1) \\ &= 0 \cdot \mathbf{c}_1 + \tau \cdot \mathsf{Sq}^2\mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbf{c}_1) \\ &= \tau \cdot \mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbf{c}_1)^2 \\ &= (\tau\mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbf{c}_1)^2, \mathrm{inc}_2\tau\mathsf{Sq}^1(\mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbf{c}_1)^2)) \\ &= (\tau\mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbf{c}_1)^2, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Here, Lemma 2.23 gives the form of the multiplication $\mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \wedge \mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to \mathsf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ and Corollary A.2 identifies the effect on $\big(\tau, \mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbf{c}_1)^2\big)$. This shows that $c_2 = 0$ over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ where $\rho \neq 0$, and hence in general by base change.

From now on we fix a compatible pair (F, Λ), where F is a field of characteristic not two. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the E^1-page $E^1_{p,q,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) = \pi_{p,-n}\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ of the weight $-n$th slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ takes the following form. Note that $E^1_{p,q,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) = 0$ for $p < -n$ or $q < 0$, and $H^{p,n} = 0$ for $n < 0$. In each bidegree, the corresponding group is decomposed into direct summands according to Theorem 2.12. We write $h^{*,*}_{2,2}$ for motivic cohomology with $\big(\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\big) \otimes \Lambda$-coefficients. Every differential is assigned a color in order to easily identify the corresponding motivic Steenrod operation.


$h^{n+7,n+7}$
$h^{n+6,n+7}$
$h^{n+5,n+7}$
$h^{n+4,n+7}$
$h^{n+6,n+6}_{2,2}$
$h^{n+5,n+6}$
$h^{n+4,n+6}$
$h^{n+3,n+6}$
$h^{n+5,n+5}_{2,2}$
$h^{n+4,n+5}$
$h^{n+3,n+5}$
$h^{n+2,n+5}$
$h^{n+4,n+4}_{240}$
$h^{n+3,n+4}_{2,2}$
$h^{n+2,n+4}$
$h^{n+1,n+4}$
$h^{n+3,n+3}$
$h^{n+2,n+3}$
$h^{n+1,n+3}$
$h^{n,n+3}$
$h^{n+2,n+2}_{12}$
$h^{n+1,n+2}_{12}$
$h^{n,n+2}_{12}$
$h^{n+2,n+2}$
$h^{n+1,n+2}$
$h^{n,n+2}$
$h^{n-1,n+2}$
$h^{n+1,n+1}$
$h^{n,n+1}$
$h^{n-1,n+1}$
$h^{n-2,n+1}$
$H^{n,n}$
$H^{n-1,n}$
$H^{n-2,n}$
$H^{n-3,n}$
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
q
$-n$
$-n+1$
$-n+2$
$-n+3$
s
$\mathsf{Sq}^3\mathsf{Sq}^1$
$\mathrm{inc}\mathsf{Sq}^2\mathsf{Sq}^1$
$\mathsf{Sq}^2\sigma$
$\mathsf{Sq}^2\mathrm{pr}$
$\mathsf{Sq}^2 + \rho\mathsf{Sq}^1$
Sq^2
$\tau\sigma$
τ

Lemma 4.4: *For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ every d_1-differential entering the $-n$th column in the $-n$th slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ is trivial. The d_1-differential $d_1\colon h^{n-1,n+1} \to h_{12}^{n+2,n+2}$ is also trivial.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 this amounts to the triviality of $\mathsf{Sq}^2\mathrm{pr}\colon H^{n-1,n} \to h^{n-1,n} \to h^{n+1,n+1}$, $\tau\sigma_2\colon h_{12}^{n+2,n+2} \to h^{n+3,n+3}$, $\mathsf{Sq}^2\colon h^{i,i+1} \to h^{i+2,i+2}$ for all $i \geq n$, and finally the composition $\mathrm{inc}_2\mathsf{Sq}^2\mathsf{Sq}^1\colon h^{n-1,n+1} \to h^{n,n+1} \to h^{n+2,n+2} \to h_{12}^{n+2,n+2}$. Note that $\tau\sigma_2$ factors through the group $h^{n+3,n+2} = 0$, while Sq^2 is trivial in the given range [52, Corollary 6.2]. □

Remark 4.5: For $n = 0$, Lemma 4.4 shows every d_1-differential entering the zeroth column is trivial, so that $E^2_{0,q,0}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) = E^1_{0,q,0}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$. For degree reasons it follows that $E^2_{1,q,0}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) = E^1_{1,q,0}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ when $q \leq 2$. Every blue d_1-differential entering a solid dot representing a mod-2 motivic cohomology group is surjective. This follows because $\tau\colon h^{p,q} \to h^{p,q+1}$ is an isomorphism for $0 \leq p \leq q$ by Voevodsky's solution of the Milnor conjecture on Galois cohomology, cf. [52, Lemma 6.1]. Using Theorem 4.8 we read off the isomorphisms $E^\infty_{1,1,0}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \cong h^{0,1}$, $E^\infty_{1,2,0}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \cong h^{1,2} \oplus h_{12}^{2,2}$, $E^\infty_{1,3,0}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \cong h^{2,3}/\tau\sigma_2 h_{12}^{1,2}$, and $E^\infty_{1,q,0}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) = 0$ for $q > 3$. In Theorem 5.5, cf. Corollary 5.2, we prove Morel's π_1-conjecture by solving the hidden extensions between these groups.

4.2 Beyond the first differential

Lemma 4.6: *The rth slice differentials induce an $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} H^{n,n}$-module map*

$$\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} d^r_{p+n,q,n}(\mathsf{E})\colon \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} E^r_{p+n,q,n}(\mathsf{E}) \to \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} E^r_{p-1+n,q+r,n}(\mathsf{E}).$$

Proof. By naturality, any map of Λ-local motivic spectra f induces a graded module map $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \pi_{p+n,n} f$ with respect to $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \pi_{n,n}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$. The first slice differential is induced by the naturally induced map

$$\mathsf{s}_q(\mathsf{E}) \to \Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_{q+1}(\mathsf{E}).$$

Each slice $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathsf{E})$ is a module over the motivic ring spectrum $\mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$, cf. [15, §6 (iv),(v)] and [47, Theorem 3.6.13(6)]. Thus $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathsf{E})$ is an $\mathbf{M}\Lambda$-module. In particular, $\eta \in \pi_{1,1}\mathbf{1}$ acts trivially on both $\mathsf{s}_q(\mathsf{E})$ and $\Sigma^{1,0}\mathsf{s}_{q+1}(\mathsf{E})$. Hence the first differential is an $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} H^{n,n}$-module map. The other cases follow by construction. □

Lemma 4.7: *The unit maps $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$ and $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{KW}_\Lambda$ induce isomorphisms*

$$E^2_{n,0,n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} E^2_{n,0,n}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad E^2_{n,q,n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} E^2_{n,q,n}(\mathbf{KW}_\Lambda)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q > 0$.

Proof. For degree reasons, only α_1^q contributes to the nth column of the nth slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$. Lemma 2.29 implies the unit maps $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$ and $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{KW}_\Lambda$ induce inclusions

$$\begin{aligned}
&H^{-n,-n} = E^1_{n,0,n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \hookrightarrow H^{-n,-n} \oplus h^{-n-2,-n} \oplus \cdots = E^1_{n,0,n}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda) && n \leq 0\\
&h^{q-n,q-n} = E^1_{n,q,n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \hookrightarrow h^{q-n,q-n} \oplus h^{q-n-2,q-n} \oplus \cdots = E^1_{n,q,n}(\mathbf{KW}_\Lambda) && q > 0 \text{ or } n > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.4 shows the first slice differential for $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ maps trivially to the nth column, so that $E^2_{n,q,n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) = E^1_{n,q,n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$. The E^2-page $E^2_{n,0,n}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$ can be computed in low degrees using [52, Theorem 5.5]; for weight zero, see [52, Section 7]. If $n > 0$, the groups $E^1_{n,0,n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ and $E^1_{n,0,n}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$ are trivial. If $n \leq 0$, $E^2_{n,0,n}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$ is given by the homology of the complex

$$\begin{array}{c} h^{-n-4,-n-1} \oplus h^{-n-6,-n-1} \oplus \cdots \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle d^1(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)} \\ H^{-n,-n} \oplus h^{-n-2,-n} \oplus \cdots \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle d^1(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)} \\ h^{-n,-n+1} \oplus h^{-n-2,-n+1} \oplus \cdots \end{array}$$

which implies the isomorphism $E^2_{n,0,n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} E^2_{n,0,n}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$ for $n \leq 0$. For $q > 0$, the group $E^2_{n,q,n}(\mathbf{KW}_\Lambda)$ is given by the homology of the complex

$$\begin{array}{c} h^{q-n-2,q-n-1} \oplus h^{q-n-4,q-n-1} \oplus \cdots \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle d^1(\mathbf{KW}_\Lambda)} \\ h^{q-n,q-n} \oplus h^{q-n-2,q-n} \oplus \cdots \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle d^1(\mathbf{KW}_\Lambda)} \\ h^{q-n,q-n+1} \oplus h^{q-n-2,q-n+1} \oplus \cdots \end{array}$$

which implies the isomorphism $E^2_{n,q,n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} E^2_{n,q,n}(\mathbf{KW}_\Lambda)$ for $q > 0$: See [52, Theorem 6.3] when $n = 0$. This reference suffices to conclude for $n \neq 0$ due to the η-periodicity isomorphism $\eta\colon \Sigma^{1,1}\mathbf{KW}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{KW}_\Lambda$, cf. [52, Example 2.3]. □

Theorem 4.8: *For all $n, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ there are isomorphisms*

$$E^\infty_{n,q,n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \cong E^1_{n,q,n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \cong \begin{cases} H^{-n,-n} & n \leq 0 \text{ and } q = 0 \\ h^{q-n,q-n} & n > 0 \text{ or } q > 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The connectivity of the slices shows that $E^1_{n,q,n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ consists of permanent cycles. The case $q = 0$ follows by effectivity of $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$, which excludes nontrivial differentials entering $E^1_{n,q,n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$. As remarked in the proof of Lemma 4.7, $E^2_{n,q,n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) = E^1_{n,q,n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$. Lemma 4.7 shows the unit map $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{KW}_\Lambda$ induces an isomorphism $E^2_{n,q,n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \cong E^2_{n,q,n}(\mathbf{KW}_\Lambda)$ for $q > 0$. Since $E^2_{n,q,n}(\mathbf{KW}_\Lambda) = E^\infty_{n,q,n}(\mathbf{KW}_\Lambda)$ by [52, Theorem 6.3] all differentials entering $E^2_{n,q,n}(\mathbf{KW}_\Lambda)$, and hence $E^2_{n,q,n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$, are trivial. In loc. cit., $n = 0$, but this implies the general case because $\eta\colon \Sigma^{1,1}\mathbf{KW}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{KW}_\Lambda$ is an isomorphism. □

Corollary 4.9: *If $n > 0$, the unit maps $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$ and $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{KW}_\Lambda$ induce isomorphisms*

$$\pi_{0,0}\mathsf{sc}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{0,0}\mathsf{sc}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda) \text{ and } \pi_{n,n}\mathsf{sc}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{n,n}\mathsf{sc}(\mathbf{KW}_\Lambda)$$

respectively. If $n < 0$, then $\pi_{n,n}\mathsf{sc}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ is the I-completion of an extension of $H^{-n,-n}$ by I^{-n+1}, where I denotes the fundamental ideal of the Witt ring.

Proof. The $\pi_{n,n}$-isomorphisms for $n \geq 0$ follow from Theorem 4.8 and the corresponding statements for $\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$ and $\mathbf{KW}_\Lambda$. If $n < 0$, the canonical map $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathsf{s}_0\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ (with homotopy fiber $\mathsf{f}_1\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$) induces a surjection $\pi_{n,n}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \pi_{n,n}\mathsf{s}_0\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \cong H^{-n,-n}$. Theorem 4.8 supplies that the canonical map

$$\pi_{n,n}\mathsf{f}_1\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \cong \pi_{0,0}\mathsf{f}_{-n+1}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \pi_{0,0}\mathsf{f}_{-n+1}\mathbf{KW}_\Lambda$$

induces an isomorphism on slice completions. Since the image of $\pi_{0,0}\mathsf{f}_{-n+1}\mathbf{KW}_\Lambda$ in $\pi_{0,0}\mathbf{KW}_\Lambda$ is I^{-n+1} by [52, Theorem 6.12], the result follows. □

Remark 4.10: Suppose the slice filtration on $\pi_{n,n}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ is Hausdorff, i.e., $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ is convergent with respect to the slice filtration [64, Definition 7.1]. Then Theorem 4.8 and Corollary 4.9 imply the isomorphisms

$$\pi_{0,0}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{0,0}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda), \pi_{n,n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{n,n}(\mathbf{KW}_\Lambda)$$

for $n > 0$, and an extension

$$0 \to I^{-n+1} \to \pi_{n,n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to H^{-n,-n} \to 0,$$

for $n < 0$. This is a version of Morel's Theorem identifying the stable 0-line [41, Theorem 1.23, Corollary 1.25].

To compute $\pi_{n+1,n}\big(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda\big)^\wedge_\eta$ we set out to determine the E^∞-terms of the $-n+1$st column of the $-n$th slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$. Lemma 4.1 and the isomorphism $\tau\colon h^{p,q} \to h^{p,q+1}$ for $0 \leq p \leq q$ imply that only terms from the first four slices may survive to the $-n+1$st column of the E^2-page of the $-n$th slice spectral sequence, cf. Remark 4.5. Thus the only possibly nonzero differentials entering the $-n+1$st column on the E^2-page are

$$E^2_{-n+2,0,-n} \to E^2_{-n+1,2,-n} \quad \text{and} \quad E^2_{-n+2,1,-n} \to E^2_{-n+1,3,-n}.$$

To show that these d_2-differentials are zero, as well as the d_3-differential

$$E^3_{-n+2,0,-n} \to E^3_{-n+1,3,-n}$$

we reduce to global fields. All d_r-differentials entering the $-n+1$st column for $r \geq 4$ are trivial for degree reasons, so this determines the desired E^∞-terms.

We write $\mathrm{cd}_p(F)$ for the p-cohomological dimension of a field F [57, §3.1].

Lemma 4.11: *If $\mathrm{cd}_2(F) \leq 2$ and $\mathrm{cd}_3(F) \leq 4$, then every rth differential of the $-n$th slice spectral sequence entering the $-n+1$st column is trivial for $r \geq 2$.*

Proof. Note that $E^2_{-n+2,0,-n}$ is a subgroup of $H^{n-2,n}$. The latter group is trivial by definition when $n \leq 0$ and for $n = 1, 2$ by [31], [34, (4.1), (4.2)]. If $n \geq 3$, the possible targets are zero

for fields of cohomological dimension at most three for the prime 2 and at most four for the prime 3. The group $E^2_{-n+2,1,-n}$ is a subquotient of $h^{n-1,n+1}$, which is trivial for $n \leq 0$. If $n \geq 1$, then $h^{n+2,n+3} = 0$ since $\mathrm{cd}_2(F) \leq 2$, so that $E^2_{-n+2,3,-n} = 0$. □

Lemma 4.12: *Let F be $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$ or a global field of positive characteristic not two. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \geq 2$, every d_r-differential in the $-n$th slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ entering the $-n+1$st column is trivial.*

Proof. Lemma 4.11 applies to $\mathbb{C}$ and global fields of positive dimension [57, §4.2]. The mod-2 motivic cohomology ring of the reals is $h^{*,*}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{Z}/2[\tau, \rho]$. Any d_1-differential restricting to Sq^2 and entering the $-n+1$st column is an isomorphism: up to a cup-product isomorphism by some τ-power, such a d_1-differential is cup-product by ρ^2, cf. [52, Corollary 6.2]. Hence, by Lemma 4.1, the only possibly nontrivial rth differential is

$$d_2 \colon 2H^{n-2,n} \to h_{12}^{n+2,n+2}; n \geq 0. \tag{4.2}$$

The group $h_{12}^{n+2,n+2}(\mathbb{R})$ is cyclic of order two with a generator represented by $\nu \cdot \{-1, \dots, -1\}$, where $\nu \colon S^{7,4} \to S^{4,2}$ is the second motivic Hopf map, cf. Example 2.25. Taking real points yields the real Betti realization map $\pi_{p,q}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \pi_{p-q}\mathbf{1}_{\mathsf{top}}$, which maps ν to $\eta_{\mathsf{top}} \in \pi_1\mathbf{1}_{\mathsf{top}}$, and likewise for every element of the form $\nu \cdot \{-1, \dots, -1\}$. This implies that (4.2) is trivial. □

Lemma 4.13: *Let F be a global field of characteristic not two. Then for $n \geq 1$,*

$$h^{n+2,n+3}/\mathsf{Sq}^2 h^{n,n+2} = h^{n+2,n+3}/\tau\sigma_2 h_{12}^{n+1,n+2} = 0.$$

Proof. For $n \geq 1$, $h^{n+2,n+3} = 0$ over global fields of positive characteristic [57, §4.2]. By the cup-product isomorphism $\tau \colon h^{i,i} \to h^{i,i+1}$ [52, Corollary 6.1] for $i \geq 0$ and Tate's computation of $h^{i,i}$, $i \geq 3$, for number fields [38, Theorem A.2] it suffices to prove the vanishing for $\mathbb{R}$. For $n \geq 0$, the second Steenrod operation

$$\mathsf{Sq}^2 \colon h^{n,n+2} \to h^{n+2,n+3}$$

coincides with cup-product by ρ^2 up to an isomorphism [52, Corollary 6.2], and hence it is an isomorphism. Likewise, the second group vanishes since by Theorem A.5 the composition

$$h^{n+1,n+2} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{inc}_2} h_{12}^{n+1,n+2} \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} h^{n+2,n+2}$$

coincides with Sq^1, i.e., cup-product by ρ up to an isomorphism [52, Corollary 6.2]. □

Lemma 4.14: *For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \geq 2$, all rth differentials in the $-n$th slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ entering the $-n+1$st column are trivial.*

Proof. Lemma 4.13 implies this for differentials entering $E^2_{-n+1,3,-n}$ and also for the first component of the differential entering $E^2_{-n+1,2,-n} \cong h^{n+1,n+2}/\mathsf{Sq}^2 h^{n-1,n+1} \oplus h_{12}^{n+2,n+2}$. We may assume $\mathsf{char}(F) = 0$ by Lemma 4.11. Then there is a canonically induced isomorphism

$$h_{12}^{n+2,n+2}(F) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus h_{12}^{n+2,n+2}(\mathbb{R}),$$

where the direct sum is indexed by the real places of F [6, Theorem 2.1]. We conclude using Lemma 4.11 and base change. □

Lemma 4.15: *If $n \geq 0$, the second differential*

$$E^2_{-n+2,1,-n} \to E^2_{-n+1,3,-n}$$

in the $-n$th slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ is trivial.

Proof. By Lemma 4.6, the second differentials give rise to a $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_*$-module map

$$\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} d_2^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda} \colon \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} E^2_{-n+2,1,-n} \to \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} E^2_{-n+1,3,-n}. \tag{4.3}$$

Up to a cup-product isomorphism by τ, Lemma 4.1 shows the source in (4.3) is the quotient of $\ker(\rho^2 \colon \mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_*/2 \to \mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_*/2)$ by the image of the entering first differential given degrewise as

$$H^{n-3,n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}} h^{n-3,n} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Sq}^2} h^{n-1,n+1}.$$

Similarly, the target in (4.3) is a quotient of $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_*/2$ up to a cup-product isomorphism by τ. Thus the differential in (4.3) is not only a $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_*$-module map, but also a $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_*/2$-module map.

By [44, Theorem 3.3] (see [36, Theorem 2.1] for the case of odd characteristic), the kernel of cup-product by ρ^2, and hence the source in (4.3), is generated by elements of degree at most one. Hence it suffices to prove every $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_*/2$-module generator in $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} E^2_{-n+2,1,-n}$ maps trivially. The case of degree zero corresponds to $h^{0,2}$ (for $n = 1$), while degree one corresponds to $h^{1,3}$ (for $n = 2$).

Suppose $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} E^2_{-n+2,1,-n}$ admits a generator g_0 of degree zero. Then g_0 is the unique nontrivial element in $h^{0,2}$ (corresponding to $n = 1$), so that g_0 is in the kernel of the cup-product by ρ^2 map precisely if $\rho^2 = 0$. It follows that -1 is a sum of squares in F by the proof of [38, Theorem 1.4]. On the other hand, g_0 is a module generator of $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} E^2_{-n+2,1,-n} \cong \mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_*/2$. Hence it suffices to prove that g_0 maps trivially under the differential (4.3).

Suppose $\rho = 0$, so that $\sqrt{-1} \in F$. Then g_0 viewed as an element over F is in the image of the element g_0 over $F_0(\sqrt{-1}) \subset F$, where F_0 is the prime field of F. Lemma 4.11 shows that g_0 over $F_0(\sqrt{-1}) \subset F$ maps trivially, because $h^{3,4}/\tau\sigma_2 h^{2,3}_{12} = 0$ over $F_0(\sqrt{-1})$. Since the slice differentials are natural with respect to base change, also g_0 over F maps trivially.

If $\rho \neq 0$ but $\rho^2 = 0$, there exist elements $a, b \in F$ such that $-1 = a^2 + b^2$ (see e.g., [7, p. 67]). Then g_0 over F is in the image of the corresponding generator g_0 over $F_0(a, b)$ under base change with respect to the field inclusion $F_0(a, b) \subset F$. If $\mathsf{char}(F) > 0$ or if both a and b are algebraic over F_0, then $h^{3,4}/\tau\sigma_2 h^{2,3}_{12} = 0$ by Lemma 4.13. In particular, g_0 over $F_0(a, b)$ maps trivially by Lemma 4.11. If $\mathsf{char}(F) = 0$ and a is transcendental, then $\mathbb{Q}(a, b) = \mathbb{Q}(a)(\sqrt{-a^2 - 1})$ is a quadratic extension of the function field $\mathbb{Q}(a)$. We note that $h^{3,4}/\tau\sigma_2 h^{2,3}_{12}$ is nontrivial over $\mathbb{Q}(a)$ when a is transcendental. To conclude that $d_2^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(g_0) = 0$ over $\mathbb{Q}(a, b)$, the value of g_0 under the d_2-differential for $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$, it suffices to prove that it has trivial image in $E^2_{-n+1,3,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2)$ under the map $\mathrm{pr} \colon \mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2$. This holds because

$\mathsf{s}_3(\mathrm{pr})\colon \mathsf{s}_3(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to \mathsf{s}_3(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2)$ – an inclusion of a direct summand in general and an isomorphism if $\frac{1}{2} \in \Lambda$ – induces an injection

$$E^2_{-n+1,3,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to E^2_{-n+1,3,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2).$$

By naturality, it suffices to show $d_2^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2}(\mathrm{pr}(g_0)) = 0$. The unique nontrivial permanent cycles in $h^{0,1} = E^1_{1,1,0}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ and $h^{0,1} = E^1_{-1,0,-1}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2)$ map to $\mathrm{pr}(g_0)$ under the pairing

$$\mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \wedge \mathsf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2) \to \mathsf{s}_1(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2).$$

Thus $d_2^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2}(\mathrm{pr}(g_0)) = 0$ by the Leibniz rule 2.24.

If there is no $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_*/2$-module generator in degree zero (so that $\rho^2 \neq 0$ and $\mathsf{char}(F) = 0$), let $\overline{a} \in E^2_{0,1,-2}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$. It suffices to prove $d_2^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(\overline{a}) = 0$. Since $E^1_{1,0,-2}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) = H^{-1,2}$ maps trivially to $E^1_{0,1,-2}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$, $\overline{a}$ corresponds uniquely to an element $a \in h^{1,1}$ in the kernel of

$$h^{1,1} \xrightarrow{\tau^2} h^{1,3} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Sq}^2} h^{3,4} \xrightarrow{\tau^{-1}} h^{3,3}.$$

This composite map coincides with cup-product by ρ^2 [52, Appendix A]. The exact sequence [44, Theorem 3.2] implies there exist finitely many quadratic field extensions $L_1, \ldots, L_m$ of F splitting ρ^2 (i.e., $\rho^2 = 0$ over every L_j), such that a is in the image of the transfer map

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \mathrm{tr}_j\colon \oplus_{j=1}^m h^{1,1}(L_j) \to h^{1,1}(F).$$

The same holds true for $\overline{a}$. By [22, Theorem 1.9] the transfer map for $F \hookrightarrow L_j$ is induced by the Spanier-Whitehead dual of the structure map

$$\Sigma^\infty \mathrm{Spec}(L_j)_+ \to \Sigma^\infty \mathrm{Spec}(F)_+ = \mathbf{1}_\Lambda,$$

and hence it commutes with the slice differentials. Since the d_2-differential

$$d_2^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}\colon E^2_{0,1,-2}(\Sigma^\infty \mathrm{Spec}(L_j)_+) \to E^2_{-1,3,-2}(\Sigma^\infty \mathrm{Spec}(L_j)_+)$$

is trivial by the preceding case, also $d_2^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(\overline{a}) = 0$, which concludes the proof. □

Lemma 4.16: *For $n \geq 0$ the second differential*

$$E^2_{-n+2,0,-n} \to E^2_{-n+1,2,-n}$$

in the $-n$th slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ is trivial.

Proof. Lemma 4.1 shows the direct summand $h_{12}^{n+2,n+2}$ of $E^1_{-n+1,2,-n}$ survives to a direct summand of $E^2_{-n+1,2,-n}$ since the entering first differential is trivial, and we deduce

$$E^2_{-n+1,2,-n} \cong h_4^{n+2,n+2} \oplus h_3^{n+2,n+2} \oplus h^{n+1,n+2}/\mathsf{Sq}^2 h^{n-1,n+2}.$$

To deal with $h_3^{n+2,n+2}$ we employ the mod-3 reduction map $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{1}_\Lambda/3$. In low degrees, Corollary 2.13 determines the induced map of slices. For the E^2-pages of the corresponding slice spectral sequences, there is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^2_{-n+2,0,-n} & \longrightarrow & h_3^{n-2,n} \\ {\scriptstyle d_2^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_2^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/3}} \\ E^2_{-n+1,2,-n} & \longrightarrow & h_3^{n+2,n+2} \end{array}$$

The lower horizontal map is the projection. Thus it suffices to show $d_2^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/3}$ is trivial. As in the proof of Lemma 4.15, we consider the slice spectral sequences for varying weights simultaneously. By Lemma 4.6, the second differential is a graded $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_*/3$-module map

$$\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} d_2^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/3} \colon \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} h_3^{n-2,n} \to \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} h_3^{n+2,n+2}.$$

Suppose the base field F contains a primitive third root of unity $\xi \in h_3^{0,1}$. The $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_*/3$-module $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} h_3^{n-2,n}$ is then generated by $\xi^2 \in h_3^{0,2}$, and it suffices to show $d_2^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/3}(\xi^2) = 0$ in $h_3^{4,4}$. By base change we may replace F by $F_0(\xi)$, for the prime field F_0 of F, for which $h_3^{4,4}(F_0(\xi)) = 0$ by [57, §3.3, 4.4]. If F does not contain a primitive third root of unity ξ, a transfer argument for the quadratic extension $F \hookrightarrow F(\xi)$ implies the triviality of $d_2^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/3}$.

Next we analyze the component of the second differential entering $h^{n+1,n+2}/\mathsf{Sq}^2 h^{n-1,n+1}$ by comparing with the mod-2 reduction map $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2$ via Corollary 2.13. The canonical map $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2$ induces an injection on the direct summand $h^{n+1,n+2}/\mathsf{Sq}^2 h^{n-1,n+1}$ of $E^2_{-n+1,2,-n}$. Hence it suffices to prove the composite map

$$E^2_{-n+2,0,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to E^2_{-n+2,0,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2) \xrightarrow{d_2^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2}} E^2_{-n+1,2,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2)$$

is trivial. Up to a cup-product isomorphism by τ^2, the group $E^2_{-n+2,0,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2)$ is the kernel of $\rho^2 \colon h^{n-2,n} \to h^{n,n+2}$. As a $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_*/2$-module, the direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} E^2_{-n+2,0,-n}$$

is generated by elements of degree at most 1 [44, Theorem 3.3]. Hence it suffices to prove that every $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_*/2$-module generator in $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} E^2_{-n+2,1,-n}$ maps trivially. As in Lemma 4.15, degree 0 corresponds to bidegree $(0,2)$ (for $n=1$) and degree 1 corresponds to bidegree $(1,3)$ (for $n=2$). Since $H^{0,2} \to h^{0,2}$ is the trivial map, we are reduced to bidegree $(1,3)$. The proof proceeds exactly as for Lemma 4.15.

Finally we consider the component $d_{2/4}^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}$ of the second differential $d_2^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}$ entering $h_4^{n+2,n+2}$. Consider the canonical map $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2$, and let $x \in E^2_{-n+2,0,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$. Its image $x' \in E_{-n+2,0,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2)$ satisfies $d_2^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2}(x') = 0$; in fact, the triviality of

$$d_2^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2} \colon E^2_{-n+2,0,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2) \to E^2_{-n+1,2,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2)$$

can be shown as in the proof of Lemma 4.15.

Thus there exists an element $y \in h^{n+2,n+2}$ such that

$$d_{2/4}^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(x) = \mathrm{inc}_{2/4}(y),$$

for the map $\mathrm{inc}_{2/4}$ of $\mathbb{Z}/2$- into $\mathbb{Z}/4$-coefficients. It follows that $2d_{2/4}^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(x) = 0$; we wish to show $d_{2/4}^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(x) = 0$. By comparing with $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{1}_\Lambda/4$ it suffices to prove the composite

$$E^2_{-n+2,0,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to E^2_{-n+2,0,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/4) \xrightarrow{d_2^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/4}} E^2_{-n+1,2,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/4)$$

is trivial.

First, suppose $\sqrt{-1} \in F$, so that $\rho = 0$. Then the $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_*$-module

$$\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} E^2_{-n+2,0,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/4) = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} h_4^{n-2,n}$$

is generated by $\sqrt{-1} \cup \sqrt{-1} \in h_4^{0,2}$. We may view the generator as an element of $F_0(\sqrt{-1})$. Its cohomological dimension is ≤ 2 [57, §3.3, 4.4]. Thus $d_{2/4}^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2}$ maps the generator trivially.

If $\sqrt{-1} \notin F$, applying the previous argument to the quadratic extension $j\colon F \hookrightarrow F(\sqrt{-1})$ shows $j(d_{2/4}^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2}(x)) = 0$ for every $x \in E_{-n+2,0,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ over F. As noticed above, there exists an element $y \in h^{n+2,n+2}(F)$ such that $d_{2/4}^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2}(x) = \mathrm{inc}_{2/4}(y)$. Since the map

$$\mathrm{inc}_{2/4}\colon h^{n+2,n+2}(F(\sqrt{-1})) \to h_4^{n+2,n+2}(F(\sqrt{-1}))$$

is injective (Sq^1 acts trivially on the coefficients when $\rho = 0$), we get $j(y) = 0$. By the long exact sequence for the quadratic extension $j\colon F \hookrightarrow F(\sqrt{-1})$ [12, Proposition 101.10]

$$\cdots \to h^{n,n}(F) \xrightarrow{\rho} h^{n+1,n+1}(F) \xrightarrow{j} h^{n+1,n+1}(F(\sqrt{-1})) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}} h^{n+1,n+1}(F) \to \cdots,$$

there is an element $z \in h^{n+1,n+1}(F)$ such that $y = \rho z$. Now $\mathrm{inc}_{2/4}(\rho z) = 0$ because $\mathrm{inc}_{2/4}$ is induced by multiplication with 2 on integral Milnor K-groups, and ρ is represented by the 2-torsion element $\{-1\} \in H^{1,1}$. We conclude $d_{2/4}^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}(x) = \mathrm{inc}_{2/4}(y) = \mathrm{inc}_{2/4}(\rho z) = 0$, as desired. □

Lemma 4.17: *For $n \geq 0$ the third differential*

$$E^3_{-n+2,0,-n} \to E^3_{-n+1,3,-n}$$

in the $-n$th slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ is trivial.

Proof. Lemmas 4.15 and 4.16 imply $E^2_{-n+2,0,-n} = E^3_{-n+2,0,-n}$ and $E^2_{-n+1,3,-n} = E^3_{-n+1,3,-n}$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.16 we compare with $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2$. Since $E^3_{-n+1,3,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2)$ is injective, it suffices to prove the composite

$$E^3_{-n+2,0,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to E^3_{-n+2,0,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2) \xrightarrow{d_3^{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2}} E^3_{-n+1,3,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2)$$

is trivial. The group $E^3_{-n+2,0,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2) = E^2_{-n+2,0,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/2)$ is the kernel of cup-product by ρ^2 on $h^{n-2,n}$. Hence we may argue with generators as in the proofs of Lemmas 4.15 and 4.16. Further details are left to the reader. □

To summarize, Lemmas 4.1, 4.15, 4.16 and 4.17 imply, for all integers q and n, the equality

$$E^\infty_{-n+1,q,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) = E^2_{-n+1,q,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda). \tag{4.4}$$

The corresponding statement for $\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$ cannot be obtained in a similar fashion, because $\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$ is not effective. Although the computation

q	$E^2_{-n+1,q,-n}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$
0	$H^{n-1,n}$
1	$h^{n,n+1}/\mathsf{Sq}^2\mathrm{pr}(H^{n-2,n})$
2	$h^{n+1,n+2}/\mathsf{Sq}^2(h^{n-1,n+1})$

describes all the (possibly) nontrivial entries for nonnegative q in the relevant column, these may be hit by potentially nontrivial higher differentials originating at terms arising from negative slices of $\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$. (Some of these terms are trivial according to the Beilinson-Soule vanishing conjecture.) However, since the unit map $\mathbf{1} \to \mathbf{KQ}$ factors over $\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}$, it suffices to compute for the effective cover $\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}$ instead.

Proposition 4.18: *There are isomorphisms*

$$E^\infty_{-n+1,q,-n}(\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & q > 2 \\ h^{n+1,n+2}/\mathsf{Sq}^2(h^{n-1,n+1}) & q = 2 \\ h^{n,n+1}/\mathsf{Sq}^2\mathrm{pr}(H^{n-2,n}) & q = 1 \\ H^{n-1,n} \oplus h^{n-5,n} \oplus h^{n-9,n} \oplus \cdots & q = 0 \\ 0 & q < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Theorem 2.15 implies the E^1-page of the $-n$th slice spectral sequence for $\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}$ takes the form

$$E^1_{p,q,-n}(\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}) = \pi_{p,-n}\mathsf{s}_q(\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}) = \begin{cases} H^{2q-p,q+n} \oplus \bigoplus_{i<\frac{q}{2}} h^{2i+(q-p),q+n} & 0 \le q \equiv 0 \bmod 2 \\ \bigoplus_{i<\frac{q+1}{2}} h^{2i+(q-p),q+n} & 0 \le q \equiv 1 \bmod 2 \\ 0 & 0 > q. \end{cases}$$

The first differential for $\mathbf{KQ}$ is described in [52, Theorem 5.5]. It coincides with the first differential for $\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}$ on nonnegative slices. In particular, the computations

$$E^2_{-n+1,q,-n}(\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & q > 2 \\ h^{n+1,n+2}/\mathsf{Sq}^2(h^{n-1,n+1}) & q = 2 \\ h^{n,n+1}/\mathsf{Sq}^2\mathrm{pr}(H^{n-2,n}) & q = 1 \\ 0 & q < 0 \end{cases}$$

are fairly straightforward. A special situation occurs for $q = 0$, since the incoming differential is zero for $\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}$, but not zero for $\mathbf{KQ}$ if $n \leq -3$. Hence $E^2_{-n+1,q,-n}(\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$ is the kernel of the homomorphism

$$H^{n-1,n} \oplus h^{n-3,n} \oplus \cdots \to h^{n+1,n+1} \oplus h^{n-1,n+1} \oplus h^{n-3,n+1} \oplus h^{n-5,n+1} \oplus \cdots$$
$$(x_{n-1}, x_{n-3}, \dots) \mapsto (\mathsf{Sq}^3\mathsf{Sq}^1 x_{n-3}, 0, \tau x_{n-3} + \mathsf{Sq}^3\mathsf{Sq}^1 x_{n-7}, 0, \dots)$$

which coincides with $H^{n-1,n} \oplus h^{n-5,n} \oplus \cdots$. This determines all entries in the respective column on the E^2-page. The only possible nontrivial differential on the E^2-page is

$$E^2_{-n+2,0,-n}(\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda) \to E^2_{-n+1,2,-n}(\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda).$$

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E^2_{-n+2,0,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & E^2_{-n+2,0,-n}(\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & E^2_{-n+2,0,-n}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E^2_{-n+1,2,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & E^2_{-n+1,2,-n}(\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & E^2_{-n+1,2,-n}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda) \end{array}$$

with differentials as vertical maps. The upper horizontal composition $E^2_{-n+2,0,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to E^2_{-n+2,0,-n}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$ induced by the unit is an isomorphism, thanks to Lemma 2.29. The vertical map on the left hand side is zero by Lemma 4.16. Hence the vertical map on the right hand side is zero as well. By construction, the map $E^2_{-n+1,2,-n}(\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda) \to E^2_{-n+1,2,-n}(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$ is an isomorphism as well. Thus the vertical map in the middle is zero, too. The result follows. □

5 The 1-line

Throughout this section we fix a compatible pair (F, Λ), where F is a field of characteristic not two. Theorem 3.50 shows the slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ computes the homotopy groups of the η-completion ${\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}^\wedge_\eta$. A straightforward calculation of E^2-pages using Lemma 4.1 shows that $\pi_{n+1,n}{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}^\wedge_\eta = 0$ for $n \geq 3$, and $\pi_{n+2,n}{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}^\wedge_\eta = 0$ for $n \geq 5$. For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we deduce

$$\pi_{n+1,n}{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}^\wedge_\eta[\tfrac{1}{\eta}] = \pi_{n+2,n}{\mathbf{1}_\Lambda}^\wedge_\eta[\tfrac{1}{\eta}] = 0.$$

Lemma 3.9, the arithmetic square for η, implies now the short exact sequences

$$0 \to \pi_{n,n}\mathbf{1} \to \pi_{n,n}\mathbf{1}^\wedge_\eta \oplus \pi_{n,n}\mathbf{1}[\tfrac{1}{\eta}] \to \pi_{n,n}\mathbf{1}^\wedge_\eta[\tfrac{1}{\eta}] \to 0,$$

and

$$0 \to \pi_{n+1,n}\mathbf{1} \to \pi_{n+1,n}\mathbf{1}^\wedge_\eta \oplus \pi_{n+1,n}\mathbf{1}[\tfrac{1}{\eta}] \to 0.$$

Theorem 5.1: *Let F be a field of characteristic not two. The homotopy groups $\pi_{n+1,n}\mathbf{1}[\frac{1}{\eta}]$ and $\pi_{n+2,n}\mathbf{1}[\frac{1}{\eta}]$ are zero for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. This is shown in [50, Theorem 8.3]. □

Corollary 5.2: *For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is a canonically induced isomorphism*

$$\pi_{n+1,n}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{n+1,n}\big(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda\big)^\wedge_\eta.$$

To identify hidden extensions in the slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ we shall compare with the unit map for hermitian K-theory, or rather its effective cover.

Proposition 5.3: *The canonical map $\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ} \to \mathsf{sc}\big(\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}\big)$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\pi_{n+k,n}\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ} \to \pi_{n+k,n}\mathsf{sc}\big(\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}\big)$$

for every integer n and every integer k not congruent to zero or three modulo four.

Proof. Since $\mathbf{KW} = \mathbf{KQ}[\frac{1}{\eta}]$ and η acts invertibly on $\mathsf{s}_*\mathbf{KW}$, the respective columns in the slice spectral sequences for $\mathbf{KQ}$ and $\mathbf{KW}$ agree outside a finite range. The computation of the $E^2 = E^\infty$ page for $\mathbf{KW}$ from [52, Theorem 6.3] implies that $\pi_{n+k,n}(\mathsf{sc}\mathbf{KQ})[\frac{1}{\eta}] = 0$ if k is not congruent to zero modulo four. Example 3.15 shows that slice completion and η-completion agree on $\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}$. In order to apply the η-arithmetic square for $\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}$ from Lemma 3.9, observe that $\big(\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}\big)[\frac{1}{\eta}]$ is the homotopy colimit of the sequence

$$\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ} \to \mathsf{f}_{-1}\Sigma^{-1,-1}\mathbf{KQ} \to \mathsf{f}_{-2}\Sigma^{-2,-2}\mathbf{KQ} \to \cdots$$

which agrees with $\mathbf{KQ}[\frac{1}{\eta}] = \mathbf{KW}$. The result follows from the vanishing $\pi_{n+k,n}\mathbf{KW} = 0$ if k is not congruent to zero modulo four. □

Lemma 5.4: *Let U_n be the kernel of the map*

$$\pi_{n+1,n}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \pi_{n+1,n}\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$$

induced by the unit $u\colon \mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$ for hermitian K-theory. The graded group $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} U_n$ has a naturally induced $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \pi_{n,n}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda/(\eta)$-module structure.

Proof. It suffices to prove that multiplication with η induces the trivial map $\eta\colon U_n \to U_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any $a \in U_n$ there is a natural number q such that $a \in \mathsf{f}_q\pi_{n+1,n}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ and $a \notin \mathsf{f}_{q+1}\pi_{n+1,n}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$. Then a maps to a nontrivial element b in a subquotient of $\pi_{n+1,n}\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$. Since $a \in U_n$, b maps trivially to the corresponding subquotient of $\pi_{n+1,n}\mathsf{s}_q(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$ on $E^2 = E^\infty$-pages by Propositions 4.18 and 5.3. Lemmas 2.29 and 2.30 show there are only two such subquotients, which appear on the E^2-page of the nth slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ by (4.4), namely

$$h^{-n+2,-n+3}/\tau\sigma_2(h_{12}^{-n+1,-n+2}) + \mathsf{Sq}^2(h^{-n,-n+2}) \text{ and } h_{12}^{-n+2,-n+2}.$$

If $b \in h_{12}^{-n+2,-n+2}$, then it lifts to an element $b' \in \pi_{n+1,n}\mathsf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ and $\eta b' \in \pi_{n+2,n+1}\mathsf{s}_3(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \cong h^{-n+1,-n+2} \oplus h^{-n+3,-n+2} \cong h^{-n+1,-n+2}$. By Lemma 2.32, $\eta b' = \sigma_2 b' = 0$, and hence $\eta b = 0$. If $b \in h^{-n+2,-n+3}/\big(\tau\sigma_2(h_{12}^{-n+1,-n+2}) + \mathsf{Sq}^2(h^{-n,-n+2})\big)$, then it lifts to an element b' in

$\pi_{n+1,n}\mathsf{s}_3(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$. Lemma 4.1 shows the product $\eta b' \in \pi_{n+2,n+1}\mathsf{s}_4(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \cong h^{-n+2,-n+3}$ is hit by the d_1-differential exiting tridegree $(n+3,3,n)$, and hence $\eta b = 0$. Since multiplication with η is natural with respect to the slice filtration, it follws that $\eta a = 0$. □

Theorem 5.5: *The unit map $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$ induces a short exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_{2-n} \otimes \Lambda/24 \to \pi_{n+1,n}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \pi_{n+1,n}\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda.$$

If $n \geq -4$, the rightmost map is surjective. The same holds for $n = -5$ if $H^{0,3} \otimes \Lambda$ is a 2-torsion group. In particular, since $\pi_{n+1,n}\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda = 0$ for $n \geq 2$, $\pi_{3,2}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \cong \Lambda/24$ and $\pi_{n+1,n}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda = 0$ for $n \geq 3$.

Proof. The well-known vanishing $\pi_{n+1,n}\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ} = \pi_{n+1,n}\mathbf{KQ} = GW^{[n]}_{1-n}(S) = W^{2n-1}(S) = 0$ for $n > 1$ follows for example from [56, Proposition 6.3]. In the proof we shall make use of computations in the slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{KQ}$ as carried out for weight zero in [52, §5,7]. Details may be found in Proposition 4.18 and its proof.

Lemma 4.1 and (4.4) show the following motivic cohomology groups in the $-n$th slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ are the only terms contributing to the 1-line.

q	$E^\infty_{-n+1,q,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$
0	$H^{n-1,n}$
1	$h^{n,n+1}/\mathsf{Sq}^2\mathrm{pr}(H^{n-2,n})$
2	$h^{n+2,n+2}_{12} \oplus h^{n+1,n+2}/\mathsf{Sq}^2(h^{n-1,n+1})$
3	$h^{n+2,n+3}/\tau\sigma_2(h^{n+1,n+2}_{12}) + \mathsf{Sq}^2(h^{n,n+2})$

Lemmas 2.29 and 2.30 imply the map $E^2_{-n+1,0,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to E^2_{-n+1,0,-n}(\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ})$ is a split injection, and $E^2_{-n+1,1,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to E^2_{-n+1,1,-n}(\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ})$ is an isomorphism. Likewise, the direct summand $h^{n+1,n+2}/\mathsf{Sq}^2(h^{n-1,n+1})$ of $E^2_{-n+1,2,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ maps isomorphically to $E^2_{-n+1,q,-n}(\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ})$. Again using the map $s_*(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \to s_*(\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$, the direct summand $h^{n+2,n+2}_{12}$ of $E^1_{-n+1,2,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ maps trivially to $E^1_{-n+1,2,-n}(\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$, and so does $h^{n+2,n+3}/\tau\sigma_2(h^{n+1,n+2}_{12}) + \mathsf{Sq}^2(h^{n,n+2}) = E^2_{-n+1,3,-n}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$.

Every element of $h^{n,n+2}$ is of the form $\tau^2 c$ for some class $c \in h^{n,n}$, cf. Remark 4.5. We have $\mathsf{Sq}^2(\tau^2 c) = \rho^2\tau c$ by [52, Corollary 6.2]. Combined with Theorem A.5 in the case $s = 1$ we conclude there is an isomorphism

$$h^{n+2,n+3}/\tau\sigma_2(h^{n+1,n+2}_{12}) + \mathsf{Sq}^2(h^{n,n+2}) \cong h^{n+2,n+2}/\sigma_2(h^{n+1,n+2}_{12}).$$

The change of coefficients long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to h^{n+1,n+2} \to h^{n+1,n+2}_{24} \to h^{n+1,n+2}_{12} \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} h^{n+2,n+2} \to \cdots$$

induces a short exact sequence

$$0 \to h^{n+2,n+2}_2/\sigma_2(h^{n+1,n+2}_{12}) \to h^{n+2,n+2}_{24} \to h^{n+2,n+2}_{12} \to 0.$$

Lemma 5.4 shows that any hidden extension or filtration shift in the slice spectral sequence is a graded $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_*$-module. The group $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_*}(h^*_{12}, h^*_2)$ identifies with $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_*/2$, whose degree zero part is $\Lambda/2$, i.e., there are only two possible degree zero extensions. By comparing $\pi_{3,2}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ with $\pi_3\mathbf{1}_{\mathsf{top}} \cong \mathbb{Z}/24$ via topological or étale realization it follows that the extension is nontrivial.

Surjectivity of the rightmost map for $n \geq -4$ follows since the induced map between the nth slice spectral sequences is a surjection on the $E^2 = E^\infty$-pages by Propositions 4.18 and 5.3. Here we use $H^{-1,2} = 0$ to conclude for $n = -4$ [34, (4.2)]. □

Corollary 5.6: *The unit map* $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{M}\Lambda$ *induces a surjection*

$$\pi_{n+1,n}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \pi_{n+1,n}\mathbf{M}\Lambda = H^{-n-1,-n}(S;\Lambda)$$

for every integer n.

Remark 5.7: The kernel of $\pi_{3,2}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \pi_{3,2}\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda = 0$ is generated by the second motivic Hopf map $\nu\colon \Sigma^{3,2}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ as an abelian group. Hence as a graded $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_*$-module, the kernel of $\pi_{-n+1,-n}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \pi_{-n+1,-n}\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$ is generated by ν. In principle, the image of $\pi_{-n+1,-n}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \pi_{-n+1,-n}\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$ can be described as an extension of the following three groups:

$$H^{n-1,n} \qquad h^{n,n+1}/\mathsf{Sq}^2\mathrm{pr}(H^{n-2,n}) \qquad h^{n+1,n+2}/\mathsf{Sq}^2(h^{n-1,n+1}). \tag{5.1}$$

As graded $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_*$-modules, the second group in (5.1) is generated by the topological Hopf map $\eta_{\mathsf{top}}\colon \Sigma^{1,0}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ while the third group is generated by $\eta \cdot \eta_{\mathsf{top}}\colon \Sigma^{2,1}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \mathbf{1}_\Lambda$. Hence the extension of the last two groups is generated by η_{top} as a graded $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{MW}}_*$-module. The group $H^{n-1,n}$ is not necessarily generated by a 2-torsion element. For example, $H^{1,2}(\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/24$ and $H^{1,2}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}))$ contains $\mathbb{Z}$ as a direct summand, see e.g., [35, p. 542, 564]. Such elements cannot be detected by the methods of computing 2-completed motivic stable homotopy groups for $\mathbb{R}$ in [9].

Example 5.8: The unit map

$$\pi_{-4,-5}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \pi_{-4,-5}\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$$

is never surjective, because the summand $h^{0,5} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ of $E^\infty_{-n+1,0,-n}(\mathsf{f}_0\mathbf{KQ})$ is not hit by the unit map. One may argue that this is simply due to the (in)effective truncation (which ignores connectivity). Also the unit map

$$\pi_{-n+1,-n}\mathbf{1}_\Lambda \to \pi_{-n+1,-n}\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$$

may fail to be surjective. Over $F = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, the map

$$\pi_{-6,-7}\mathbf{1} \to \pi_{-6,-7}\mathbf{KQ}$$

is not a surjection. The slice $\mathsf{s}_{-2}(\mathbf{KQ})$ gives rise to a nontrivial element of $\pi_{-6,-7}\mathbf{KQ}$. To that end we rely on the computations for hermitian K-theory in [52, §5,7]. The differential

$d_r^{\mathbf{KQ}}$ exiting $E^r_{-6,-2,-7}(\mathbf{KQ})$ is trivial when $r \geq 3$, while

$$d_2^{\mathbf{KQ}} \colon E^2_{-6,-2,-7}(\mathbf{KQ}) = h^{0,5} \oplus H^{2,5} \to H^{7,7}$$

sends $h^{0,5}$ to zero, since $H^{7,7} = \mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}_7$ contains no elements of order two. The only possible nontrivial rth differential entering $E^r_{-6,-2,-7}(\mathbf{KQ})$ is

$$E^2_{-5,-4,-7}(\mathbf{KQ}) = H^{-3,3} \to h^{0,5} \oplus H^{2,5}.$$

Here, $H^{-3,3} = 0$ since $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a filtered colimit of number fields, whence the Beilinson-Soule vanishing conjecture holds.

A The Steenrod algebra and its dual

This section lists results on the Steenrod algebra which are used in the preceding sections.

Theorem A.1 (Voevodsky [66], Hoyois-Kelly-Østvær [24])**:** *Let F be a field of characteristic not two. There exists a weak equivalence*

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \wedge \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \vee \Sigma^{1,0}\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \vee \bigvee_{(i,j)\in I} \Sigma^{i,j}\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \tag{A.1}$$

of right $\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2$-modules, where $I \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ consists of pairs (i,j) of integers with $i \geq 2j > 0$. With respect to this weak equivalence, the unit and multiplication maps are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{id}, 0, \dots) &\colon \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \cong \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \wedge \mathbf{1} \to \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \wedge \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \\
(\mathrm{id}, \mathsf{Sq}^1, 0, \dots) &\colon \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \cong \mathbf{1} \wedge \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \to \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \wedge \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \\
(\mathrm{id}, 0, \dots) &\colon \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \wedge \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \to \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2.
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary A.2: *Let F be a field of characteristic not two. Via the weak equivalence (A.1) the external multiplication $(f,g) \mapsto f \wedge g$ induces the pairing*

$$\begin{aligned}
h^{a,b} \oplus h^{c,d} &\to h^{a+c,b+d} \oplus h^{a+c+1,b+d} \oplus \cdots \\
(f,g) &\mapsto \big(f \cdot g, f \cdot \mathsf{Sq}^1(g), \cdots\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma A.3: *For abelian groups A and B,*

$$[\mathbf{M}A, \Sigma^{s,0}\mathbf{M}B] \cong \begin{cases} \mathrm{Hom}(A,B) & s = 0 \\ \mathrm{Ext}(A,B) & s = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if the map $\mathbf{M}A \to \Sigma^{1,0}\mathbf{M}B$ is given by the extension

$$0 \to B \to C \to A \to 0,$$

then its cone is $\Sigma^{1,0}\mathbf{M}B \to \Sigma^{1,0}\mathbf{M}C$.

Example A.4: If B is a cyclic group of even order, there is a unique inclusion $\mathbb{Z}/2 \hookrightarrow B$ (with cokernel C) inducing $\mathrm{inc}_B\colon \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \to \mathbf{M}B$, and a unique surjection $B \to \mathbb{Z}/2$ (with kernel A) inducing $\mathrm{inc}_B\colon \mathbf{M}B \to \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2$. Hence Lemma A.3 supplies unique elements

$$\partial_B\colon \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \to \Sigma^{1,0}\mathbf{M}A \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_B\colon \mathbf{M}C \to \Sigma^{1,0}\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2$$

respectively. Note that

$$\mathrm{pr}_A\partial_B = \mathsf{Sq}^1 = \sigma_B\mathrm{inc}_C.$$

In the special case where the order of B is 2^{r+1}, abbreviate $\partial_B = \partial_r$ and $\sigma_B = \sigma_r$.

Theorem A.5: *Let F be a field of characteristic not two and $r \geq 1$. The homotopy cofiber sequences*

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2^{r-1} \to \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2^r \to \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \xrightarrow{\partial_{r-1}} \Sigma^{1,0}\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2^{r-1}$$

and

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \to \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2^r \to \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2^{r-1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{r-1}} \Sigma^{1,0}\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2$$

induce isomorphisms

$$[\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2, \Sigma^{s,1}\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2^r] \cong \begin{cases} \mathrm{inc}_r h^{0,1} & s = 0 \\ \mathrm{inc}_r h^{1,1} \oplus \partial_r h^{0,1} & s = 1 \\ \mathrm{inc}_r \mathsf{Sq}^2 \oplus \partial_r h^{1,1} & s = 2 \\ \mathrm{inc}_r \mathsf{Sq}^2\mathsf{Sq}^1 \oplus \partial_r \mathsf{Sq}^2 & s = 3 \\ \partial_r \mathsf{Sq}^2\mathsf{Sq}^1 & s = 4 \\ 0 & s \geq 5, \end{cases}$$

$$[\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2^r, \Sigma^{s,1}\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2] \cong \begin{cases} h^{0,1}\mathrm{pr}_r & s = 0 \\ h^{1,1}\mathrm{pr}_r \oplus h^{0,1}\sigma_r & s = 1 \\ \mathsf{Sq}^2\mathrm{pr}_r \oplus h^{1,1}\sigma_r & s = 2 \\ \mathsf{Sq}^1\mathsf{Sq}^2\mathrm{pr}_r \oplus \mathsf{Sq}^2\sigma_r & s = 3 \\ \mathsf{Sq}^1\mathsf{Sq}^2\sigma_r & s = 4 \\ 0 & s \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

Similar statements can be made for the motivic Steenrod algebra for an odd prime.

B Ext groups for complex bordism

If $(s,t) \neq (0,0)$, the group $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathbf{MU}_*\mathbf{MU}}(\mathbf{MU}_*, \mathbf{MU}_*)$ is finite [68, Property 2.2]. Moreover,

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{s,0}_{\mathbf{MU}_*\mathbf{MU}}(\mathbf{MU}_*, \mathbf{MU}_*) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & s = 0 \\ 0 & s \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

It is convenient to display the Ext-groups groups for the Brown-Peterson spectrum **BP** at a prime p, and use that $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathbf{MU}_*\mathbf{MU}}(\mathbf{MU}_*,\mathbf{MU}_*) = \bigoplus_p \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathbf{BP}_*\mathbf{BP}}(\mathbf{BP}_*,\mathbf{BP}_*)$. The vanishing

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathbf{BP}_*\mathbf{BP}}(\mathbf{BP}_*,\mathbf{BP}_*) = 0 \text{ for } 2s(p-1) > t, \text{ and for } 2p-2 \nmid t,$$

holds by [49, Proposition 4.4.2, Edge Theorem 5.1.23] and [68, Property 2.1].

For $p = 2$, [49, Edge Theorem 5.1.23, Theorem 5.2.6(c), (d)] shows

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{1,2t}_{\mathbf{BP}_*\mathbf{BP}}(\mathbf{BP}_*,\mathbf{BP}_*) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2\{\alpha_t\} & t \equiv 1,3 \bmod 4 \\ \mathbb{Z}/4\{\alpha_{2/2}\} & t = 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2^n\{\alpha_{t/n}\} & t = 2^{n-2}r \equiv 0,2 \bmod 4; t > 2, 2 \nmid r. \end{cases}$$

Letting $\overline{\alpha}_i$ be the generic notation for a generator of the cyclic group $\mathrm{Ext}^{1,2i}_{\mathbf{BP}_*\mathbf{BP}}(\mathbf{BP}_*,\mathbf{BP}_*)$, [68, Proposition 6.1] shows

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{s,2(s+t)}_{\mathbf{BP}_*\mathbf{BP}}(\mathbf{BP}_*,\mathbf{BP}_*) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & t = 1, s \geq 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\{\alpha_1^s\} & t = 0, s \geq 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\{\alpha_1^{s-1}\overline{\alpha}_{t+1}\} & 2 \leq t \leq 6, s \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

For p odd, [49, Theorem 5.2.6(a), (b)] shows

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{1,2k(p-1)}_{\mathbf{BP}_*\mathbf{BP}}(\mathbf{BP}_*,\mathbf{BP}_*) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^{n+1}\{\alpha_{k/n}\}, k = p^n r \text{ for } p \nmid r.$$

For the beta family of elements on the 2-line of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence we refer to [49, Chapter 4,§4].

Acknowledgements. We thank Aravind Asok and Kyle Ormsby for discussions at an early stage of this work, and Dan Isaksen for pointing out [1]. The authors gratefully acknowledge support by the RCN programs "Special Geometries" and "Topology in Norway", and the DFG priority programme "Homotopy theory and algebraic geometry". The third author was partially supported by the RCN Frontier Research Group Project no. 250399.

References

[1] M. Andrews and H. Miller. Inverting the Hopf map in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence. `http://math.mit.edu/∼mjandr/`, October 2014.

[2] A. Asok and J. Fasel. An explicit KO-degree map and applications. `arXiv:1403.4588`.

[3] A. Asok and J. Fasel. Algebraic vector bundles on spheres. *J. Topol.*, 7(3):894–926, 2014.

[4] A. Asok and J. Fasel. Splitting vector bundles outside the stable range and $\mathbf{A}^1$-homotopy sheaves of punctured affine spaces. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 28(4):1031–1062, 2015.

[5] A. Asok, K. Wickelgren, and T. B. Williams. The simplicial suspension sequence in $\mathbf{A}^1$-homotopy. `arXiv:1507.05152`.

[6] H. Bass and J. Tate. The Milnor ring of a global field. In *Algebraic K-theory, II: "Classical" algebraic K-theory and connections with arithmetic (Proc. Conf., Seattle, Wash., Battelle Memorial Inst., 1972)*, pages 349–446. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 342. Springer, Berlin, 1973.

[7] K. J. Becher. On the number of square classes of a field of finite level. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Quadratic Forms and Related Topics (Baton Rouge, LA, 2001)*, number Extra Vol., pages 65–84 (electronic), 2001.

[8] J. M. Boardman. Conditionally convergent spectral sequences. In *Homotopy invariant algebraic structures (Baltimore, MD, 1998)*, volume 239 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 49–84. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

[9] D. Dugger and D. C. Isaksen. Low-dimensional Milnor-Witt stems over $\mathbb{R}$. `arXiv:1502.01007`.

[10] D. Dugger and D. C. Isaksen. Motivic cell structures. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 5:615–652, 2005.

[11] D. Dugger and D. C. Isaksen. Motivic Hopf elements and relations. *New York J. Math.*, 19:823–871, 2013.

[12] R. Elman, N. Karpenko, and A. Merkurjev. *The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms*, volume 56 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.

[13] T. Geisser. Motivic cohomology over Dedekind rings. *Math. Z.*, 248(4):773–794, 2004.

[14] J. J. Gutiérrez, O. Röndigs, M. Spitzweck, and P. A. Østvær. On (co)localization of algebras over operads. `arXiv:`.

[15] J. J. Gutiérrez, O. Röndigs, M. Spitzweck, and P. A. Østvær. Motivic slices and coloured operads. *J. Topol.*, 5(3):727–755, 2012.

[16] P. S. Hirschhorn. *Model categories and their localizations*, volume 99 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

[17] M. J. Hopkins. Algebraic topology and modular forms. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I (Beijing, 2002)*, pages 291–317. Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002.

[18] J. Hornbostel. $\mathbb{A}^1$-representability of hermitian K-theory and Witt groups. *Topology*, 44(3):661–687, 2005.

[19] J. Hornbostel. Preorientations of the derived motivic multiplicative group. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 13(5):2667–2712, 2013.

[20] M. Hovey. *Model categories*, volume 63 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.

[21] M. Hovey, B. Shipley, and J. Smith. Symmetric spectra. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 13(1):149–208, 2000.

[22] M. Hoyois. A quadratic refinement of the Grothendieck-Lefschetz-Verdier trace formula. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 14(6):3603–3658, 2014.

[23] M. Hoyois. From algebraic cobordism to motivic cohomology. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 702:173–226, 2015.

[24] M. Hoyois, S. Kelly, and P. A. Østvær. The motivic Steenrod algebra in positive characteristic. `arXiv:1305.5690`, to appear in JEMS.

[25] P. Hu, I. Kriz, and K. Ormsby. Convergence of the motivic Adams spectral sequence. *J. K-Theory*, 7(3):573–596, 2011.

[26] J. F. Jardine. Motivic symmetric spectra. *Doc. Math.*, 5:445–553, 2000.

[27] M. Levine. The homotopy coniveau tower. *J. Topol.*, 1(1):217–267, 2008.

[28] M. Levine. Convergence of Voevodsky's slice tower. *Doc. Math.*, 18:907–941, 2013.

[29] M. Levine. A comparison of motivic and classical stable homotopy theories. *J. Topol.*, 7(2):327–362, 2014.

[30] M. Levine. The Adams-Novikov spectral sequence and Voevodsky's slice tower. *Geom. Topol.*, 19(5):2691–2740, 2015.

[31] S. Lichtenbaum. The construction of weight-two arithmetic cohomology. *Invent. Math.*, 88(1):183–215, 1987.

[32] M. A. Mandell. Flatness for the E_∞ tensor product. In *Homotopy methods in algebraic topology (Boulder, CO, 1999)*, volume 271 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 285–309. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.

[33] W. S. Massey. Products in exact couples. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 59:558–569, 1954.

[34] A. S. Merkurjev. Weight two motivic cohomology of classifying spaces for semisimple groups. `http://www.math.ucla.edu/~merkurev/papers/ssinv.pdf`, to appear in AJM.

[35] A. S. Merkurjev and A. A. Suslin. The group K_3 for a field. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 54(3):522–545, 1990.

[36] A. S. Merkurjev and A. A. Suslin. Motivic cohomology of the simplicial motive of a Rost variety. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 214(11):2017–2026, 2010.

[37] MFO. Algebraic K-theory and motivic cohomology. *Oberwolfach Rep.*, 10(2):1861–1913, 2013. Abstracts from the workshop held June 23–29, 2013, Organized by T. Geisser, A. Huber-Klawitter, U. Jannsen and M. Levine.

[38] J. Milnor. Algebraic K-theory and quadratic forms. *Invent. Math.*, 9:318–344, 1969/1970.

[39] F. Morel. The stable $\mathbb{A}^1$-connectivity theorems. *K-Theory*, 35(1-2):1–68, 2005.

[40] F. Morel. $\mathbb{A}^1$-algebraic topology. In *International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II*, pages 1035–1059. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006.

[41] F. Morel. *$\mathbb{A}^1$-algebraic topology over a field*, volume 2052 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.

[42] N. Naumann, M. Spitzweck, and P. A. Østvær. Motivic Landweber exactness. *Doc. Math.*, 14:551–593, 2009.

[43] A. Neeman. The Grothendieck duality theorem via Bousfield's techniques and Brown representability. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9(1):205–236, 1996.

[44] D. Orlov, A. Vishik, and V. Voevodsky. An exact sequence for $K_*^M/2$ with applications to quadratic forms. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 165(1):1–13, 2007.

[45] K. M. Ormsby and P. A. Østvær. Stable motivic π_1 of low-dimensional fields. *Adv. Math.*, 265:97–131, 2014.

[46] I. Panin and C. Walter. On the motivic commutative ring spectrum BO. `arXiv:1101.0650`.

[47] P. Pelaez. Multiplicative properties of the slice filtration. *Astérisque*, (335):xvi+289, 2011.

[48] P. Pelaez. On the functoriality of the slice filtration. *J. K-Theory*, 11(1):55–71, 2013.

[49] D. C. Ravenel. *Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres*, volume 121 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1986.

[50] O. Röndigs. On the η-inverted sphere. `arXiv:1602.08798`.

[51] O. Röndigs and P. A. Østvær. The multiplicative structure on the slices of hermitian K-theory and Witt theory. To appear in Homology Homotopy Appl.

[52] O. Röndigs and P. A. Østvær. Slices of hermitian K-theory and Milnor's conjecture on quadratic forms. `arXiv:1311.5833`, to appear in Geometry & Topology.

[53] O. Röndigs and P. A. Østvær. Motives and modules over motivic cohomology. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 342(10):751–754, 2006.

[54] O. Röndigs and P. A. Østvær. Modules over motivic cohomology. *Adv. Math.*, 219(2):689–727, 2008.

[55] O. Röndigs, M. Spitzweck, and P. A. Østvær. Cellularity of hermitian K-theory and Witt-theory. `arXiv:1603.05139`.

[56] M. Schlichting. Hermitian K-theory, derived equivalences, and Karoubi's fundamental theorem. `arXiv:1209.0848`.

[57] J.-P. Serre. *Cohomologie galoisienne*, volume 5 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, fifth edition, 1994.

[58] M. Spitzweck. Algebraic cobordism in mixed characteristic. `arXiv:1404.2542`.

[59] M. Spitzweck. A commutative $\mathbf{P}^1$-spectrum representing motivic cohomology over Dedekind domains. `arXiv:1207.4078`.

[60] M. Spitzweck. Operads, algebras and modules in model categories and motives. Available at `http://hss.ulb.uni-bonn.de/2001/0241/0241.pdf`.

[61] M. Spitzweck. Relations between slices and quotients of the algebraic cobordism spectrum. *Homology, Homotopy Appl.*, 12(2):335–351, 2010.

[62] M. Spitzweck and P. A. Østvær. Motivic twisted K-theory. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 12(1):565–599, 2012.

[63] V. Voevodsky. $\mathbf{A}^1$-homotopy theory. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I (Berlin, 1998)*, number Extra Vol. I, pages 579–604 (electronic), 1998.

[64] V. Voevodsky. Open problems in the motivic stable homotopy theory. I. In *Motives, polylogarithms and Hodge theory, Part I (Irvine, CA, 1998)*, volume 3 of *Int. Press Lect. Ser.*, pages 3–34. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2002.

[65] V. Voevodsky. A possible new approach to the motivic spectral sequence for algebraic K-theory. In *Recent progress in homotopy theory (Baltimore, MD, 2000)*, volume 293 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 371–379. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

[66] V. Voevodsky. Reduced power operations in motivic cohomology. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (98):1–57, 2003.

[67] V. Voevodsky. On the zero slice of the sphere spectrum. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova*, 246(Algebr. Geom. Metody, Svyazi i Prilozh.):106–115, 2004.

[68] R. Zahler. The Adams-Novikov spectral sequence for the spheres. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 96:480–504, 1972.

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Osnabrück, Germany.
e-mail: oliver.roendigs@uni-osnabrueck.de

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Osnabrück, Germany.
e-mail: mspitzwe@uni-osnabrueck.de

Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, Norway.
e-mail: paularne@math.uio.no